

a California joint powers agency

1776 Tribute Road, Suite 205 Sacramento, CA 95815 Office: 916.927.7223 Fax: 916.263.3341 www.calfairs.com

AGENDA CALIFORNIA AUTHORITY OF RACING FAIRS LIVE RACING COMMITTEE MEETING 11:00 A.M., TUESDAY, MARCH 3, 2009 VIA TELECONFERENCE

Notice is hereby given that a teleconference meeting of the Live Racing Committee will commence at 11:00 A.M., Tuesday, March 3, 2009. The meeting will be held at the Kahn, Soares & Conway Sacramento office located at 1415 L Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, California 95814.

AGENDA

- I. Approval of Minutes.
- II. Determination of Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting.
- III. Update on Solano County Fair Race Meet.
- IV. Update, discussion and action, if any, on Combined Fair Meeting.
- V. Update, discussion and action, if any, on Services and Contracts Managed by CARF.
- VI. Update, discussion and action, if any, on Summer Racing Program and Negotiations with Horsemen's Organizations.
- VII. Update on Recruitment of Horses from Other Jurisdictions.
- VIII. Update on Discussions with Golden Gate Fields on Its Use for Fair Racing in 2009.
 - IX. Update on Prospective New Satellite Facilities.
 - X. Update, discussion and action, if any, on Legislative Matters.
 - XI. Executive Director's Report.

CALIFORNIA AUTHORITY OF RACING FAIRS

Live Racing Committee Tuesday, December 9, 2008

Minutes

A meeting of the California Authority of Racing Fairs Live Racing Committee was held at 11:00 A.M., Tuesday, December 9, 2008. The meeting was conducted at the California Authority of Racing Fairs Conference Room, 1776 Tribute Road, Suite 205, Sacramento, California, 95815.

Live Racing Committee Members attending: John Alkire, Norb Bartosik, Debbie Cook and Rick Pickering. Joining by conference call: Chris Carpenter, Mike Paluszak and Stuart Titus.

Staff and Guests attending: Chris Korby, Larry Swartzlander, Heather Haviland, Margot Wilson, Rick Wood, Raechelle Gibbons, Kevin Wong, Dave Elliott and Dan White. Joining by conference call: Richard Lewis, Kate Phariss and Dan Jacobs.

Agenda Item 1 – Determination of Date, Time and Location of Next Meeting. The next CARF Board and Live Racing Committee meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, February 3, 2009 in Sacramento, same time and location.

Agenda Item 2 – Approval of Minutes from Sept. 3, 2008. Mr. Bartosik moved to approve the meeting minutes as presented with one grammatical correction. Mr. Pickering seconded, unanimously approved.

Agenda Item 3 – Election of Chair. Mr. Bartosik moved to nominate Mr. Alkire Chairman of the CARF Live Racing Committee. Mr. Pickering seconded, unanimously approved.

Agenda Item 4 – Executive Director's Report. Mr. Korby reported on the state of the racing industry in California, specifically MAGNA Entertainment which operates Santa Anita and Golden Gate Fields and the imminent closure of Hollywood Park. MAGNA Entertainment is facing significant debt and their long-term future is uncertain. Fairs need to remain attentive to ongoing changes in the racing landscape and the opportunities and challenges that might be created for Fairs.

Mr. Carpenter provided an update on the Bay Meadows racecourse property. The grandstand and barn area have been leveled. The San Mateo satellite is in full operation and is handling at a level just slightly below Golden Gate Fields running live. The satellite is facing challenges by being approximately one mile from the old Bay Meadows CalTrans drop off but attendance and handle are steady and both renovated halls are being utilized.

Mr. Bartosik requested the next agenda include an update on harness racing.

Mr. Alkire reported that the current Harness horsemen's organization has contacted Fresno regarding potentially running at the Fairgrounds.

Respectfully submitted,

Heather Haviland

CALIFORNIA AUTHORITY OF RACING FAIRS

Live Racing Committee Tuesday, February 3, 2009

Minutes

A meeting of the California Authority of Racing Fairs Live Racing Committee was held at 11:00 A.M., Tuesday, February 3, 2009. The meeting was conducted at the California Authority of Racing Fairs Conference Room, 1776 Tribute Road, Suite 205, Sacramento, California, 95815.

Live Racing Committee Members attending: John Alkire, Norb Bartosik, Debbie Cook and Rick Pickering. Joining by conference call: Chris Carpenter, Mike Paluszak, Tawny Tesconi and Stuart Titus.

Staff and Guests attending: Chris Korby, Heather Haviland, Margot Wilson, Dave Elliott, Dan White, Helen Shelley and Lisa Belser. Joining by conference call: Louie Brown, Scott Grieve and Kate Phariss.

Agenda Item 1 – Determination of Date, Time and Location of Next Meeting. The next CARF Board & Live Racing Committee meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, March 3, 2009 in Sacramento, location and time to be determined.

Agenda Item 2 – Approval of Minutes. Mr. Pickering moved to approve the meeting minutes as presented. Mr. Carpenter seconded, unanimously approved.

Agenda Item 3 – Discussion and Action, if any, on Legislative Matters. Mr. Brown provided an update on budget activity at the Capitol, which has created very little movement for legislation. CARF is working on potential language to extend the workmen's compensation agreement for Emerging Breeds, takeout increase for Fair facility infrastructure improvements (2% of exotics) and an increase in satellite revenues/commissions.

At the Western Fairs Association Conference, Steven Chambers introduced the idea of a larger California Fair network stimulus package that would transfer the obligation of Fair funding from horse racing to future gaming compacts. The concept, brought forth by the California Fair Alliance, is in the discussion phase.

Mr. Pickering shared concerns from Steve Beasley of the Orange County Fair regarding perceptions that the WFA presentation heavily favored the four large urban racing Fairs. Mr. Pickering explained that the \$80 million conceptually provided to racing Fairs in preliminary discussion was in addition to the overall potential allocation.

Agenda Item 4 – Discussion and Action, if any, on Application to CHRB for Implementation of AB 765 1% Increase in Takeout for 2009. Mr. Korby briefly reviewed the history of AB 765 which would allow Fairs to increase handle 1% for maintenance and capital improvements at a Fair's racetrack. Implementation of the bill was delayed due to a request by the racing industry to participate in a comprehensive state-wide bill. The industry bill was not successful. Mr. Korby asked if the Committee wished to go back to the California Horse Racing

Board with an application to implement the provisions of AB 765 effective 2009. Movement is critical to start accumulating monies to make improvements necessary for those Fairs planning to take on additional race dates. CARF was able to successfully get the bill passed through legislation and now there is a perceived obligation to move forward with implementation. Fairs are losing approximately \$1 million every year the takeout is not increased.

Mr. Pickering moved that CARF reactivate the request to the California Horse Racing Board to implement AB 765 beginning with the 2009 Fair race meets. Ms. Cook seconded, unanimously approved.

Agenda Item 5 – Report and Update on Racing Dates at Solano County Fair. Mr. Korby reported that a member from the Solano County Board of Supervisors was concerned with the plan to run Vallejo dates at Pleasanton under the umbrella of a combined Fair meet. The Solano County Fair Board had previously approved to run one week at Pleasanton and one week at Vallejo in 2009, then cease running at Vallejo in 2010 and beyond with a 5-8 year sliding scale financial arrangement for the Solano County Fair.

In November 2008, Chairman John Vasquez from Solano County expressed extreme concern in not being aware of Vallejo's plans to stop racing. Mr. Vasquez has appeared at two California Horse Racing Board meetings to request Vallejo return to its traditional dates. The CHRB asked the involved parties to meet and come to a resolution.

The Solano County Board of Supervisors has asked for a detailed presentation regarding the Solano County Fair's rationale to stop racing, including future plans for the property.

Mr. Korby stated that he, Mr. Pickering and Mr. Paluszak were meeting next week to proceed with the planning and execution of running five days of the Solano County Fair at Pleasanton.

The racing industry needs to be aware that the Solano County Fair wishes to stop conducting live racing at Vallejo and it is the Solano County Board of Supervisors that is resisting the Fair's plan.

Agenda Item 6 – Update, Discussion and Action, if any, on Racing-Related Contracts and Services. Mr. Korby reported that CARF was conducting standard annual contract review. One significant contract up for review is the printing of the daily racing program which might be consolidated with Golden Gate Fields. The program printing will be put out to bid.

Ms. Tesconi requested CARF meet with her to review her 2008 CARF bill. Mr. Korby reported that CARF had been meeting with individual Fairs to review billing. Ms. Tesconi asked that the item be placed on the next meeting agenda.

Agenda Item 7 – Report and Planning for 2009 Summer Racing Program. Mr. Korby, Mr. Swartzlander and Racing Secretary Tom Doutrich have been meeting to ensure the proper consolidation of the coordination between the Fairs and Golden Gate Fields for the

summer racing circuit. Mr. Doutrich will be playing a critical role in supervising the dates run at Golden Gate Fields in order to protect Sacramento, Ferndale and Fresno.

Mr. Bartosik asked to renew discussion regarding the request of \$20,000 in assistance for stabling and vanning during the 2009 Sacramento race meet. Mr. Bartosik also asked for financial assistance for a trainer's contest at Sacramento (the contest has traditionally cost Sacramento \$40,000) or a starter bonus of similar value.

Agenda Item 8 – Executive Director's Report. Mr. Korby reported that the California Horse Racing Board recently distributed a letter regarding the collection of the F&E shortfall. The letter was from the CHRB to all live racing producers in California requesting each party's share of the 2008 shortfall. There is concern that the figures requested by CHRB do not match the figures expected from F&E.

Mr. Pickering reported that Craig Fravel from Del Mar and Robert Hartman from Golden Gate Fields met with Steve Chambers, Mike Treacy and Bruce Lim to engage a wider Fair audience. From that meeting came an informal financial sub-committee whose purpose was to determine how to specifically get to \$40 million. According to that committee, there is potentially \$700,000 going to the General Fund from license fees that is not being accounted as part of the \$40 million. Discussion will continue and CARF needs to be a part of those discussions.

Respectfully submitted,

Heather Haviland

Supplemental Purse Fun	ds											
	2006		2006		2007		2007		2008	2008		
	Allocations	Supplementl	Performance	Total	Allocations	Supplementl	Performance	Total	Allocations	Supplementl	Performance	Total
	Budgeted	Paid	Paid	Paid	Budgeted	Paid	Paid	Paid	Budgeted	Paid	Paid	Paid
Stockton	105,600	73,411	89,740	163,151	105,600	0	85,120	85,120	0	0	52,700	52,700
Pleasanton		•	116,000	116,000			104,825	104,825		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	80,000	80,000
Vallejo			118,900	118,900		Wine Country	220,235	220,235		· ·	76,780	76,780
Santa Rosa		· ·	133,550	133,550		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0	0			89,910	89,910
San Mateo		· ·	107,760	107,760			76,145	76,145		- - -	60,290	60,290
Ferndale	192,000	199,895	57,800	257,695	192,000	187,918	51,895	239,813	192,000	203,667	37,270	240,937
Sacramento	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65,200	65,200
Fresno	288,000	316,818	102,190	419,008	288,000	373,199	85,060	458,259	362,000	362,000	75,620	437,620
		· · ·		anoma de la composição de								
Funds Used	585,600	590,124	725,940	1,316,064	585,600	561,117	623,280	1,184,397	554,000	565,667	537,770	1,103,437
CARF Admin Fee			22,000				22,000			· ·	22,000	
Interest Earned			63,790				59,129				47,061	
Funds Received		•	1,100,000				1,100,000				1,100,000	
Funds Available			82,593				35,326			-	56,950	
Performance Purse Per I	Runner-TB		\$150				\$130				\$100	
Performance Purse Per I	Runner-Mixed Br	eeds	\$80				\$75				\$70	***************************************
For Internal Use Only												

Pleasanton - Purses 2008						
	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	TB	Total
Generated Live	0.00	23,451.68	16,798.94	16,886.03	540,351.61	597,488.26
Generated Live	0.00	25,451.00	10,796.94	10,000.03	340,331.01	397,400.20
Out of Zone	0.00	9,249.43	6,625.56	6,659.91	213,116.65	235,651.55
Interstate	0.00	5,715.98	4,094.48	4,115.71	131,702.36	145,628.54
International AB509	0.00	2,410.04	1,726.36	1,735.31	55,529.80	61,401.51
Non-Merg Purse, R.F.	0.00	682.41	488.82	491.36	15,723.44	17,386.03
AB1418 Outs	0.00	1,377.87	986.99	992.11	31,747.49	35,104.46
Misc Revenue	0.00	116.40	83.38	83.81	2,681.94	2,965.53
Decoder Revenue	0.00	418.54	299.81	301.36	9,643.64	10,663.36
ADW (CA Residents)	32.26	991.88	752.15	1,580.07	215,130.48	218,486.84
ADW (Exports)	0.00	666.20	456.70	387.33	22,006.63	23,516.86
W/C Refund					5,743.23	5,743.23
AB480 Distribution					3,951.48	3,951.48
Budget Excess	0.00	7,177.06	5,141.08	5,167.73	165,367.09	182,852.97
Expense Excess	0.00	1,973.39	1,413.58	1,420.91	45,469.04	50,276.93
Over/Under Prior Yr	(1925.48)	(13,110.18)	(40,175.17)	(13,298.34)	31,732.61	(36,776.56)
		, , ,			·	
Total Generated	(1,893.22)	41,120.70	(1,307.30)	26,523.31	1,489,897.50	1,554,340.98
NTRA Mktg					3,796.03	3,796.03
SCOTW W/C program pyt					3,790.03	0.00
Purses Paid		54,917.00	48,416.00	36,810.00	1,392,328.00	1,532,471.00
Retro Purses		34,917.00	46,410.00	30,010.00	1,392,320.00	0.00
Assoc Fees	0.00	3,865.97	0.00	990.05	42,877.25	47,733.27
Total Paid	0.00	58,782.97	48,416.00	37,800.05	1,439,001.28	1,584,000.30
(O) II 1	(1,002,22)	(17, 660, 07)	(40,500,00)	(11.076.74)	50 00 C 22	(20, 650, 21)
(Over) Under	(1,893.22)	(17,662.27)	(49,723.30)	(11,276.74)	50,896.22	(29,659.31)
Starter Purse		(4,410.00)	(4,830.00)	(3,360.00)	(67,400.00)	(80,000.00)
Alameda County Fair - 2008			Owners/Breede	rs Distribution	18	
	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	TB	Total
Live Breeders	0.00	1,618.09	1,165.40	1,176.06	38,642.94	42,602.49
Intra Breeders	0.00	1,122.95	804.39	808.56	25,873.87	28,609.77
Inter Breeders	0.00	1,002.62	718.20	721.92	23,101.36	25,544.09
Int'l Breeders	0.00	273.51	195.92	196.94	6,301.98	6,968.35
RFee Breeders	0.00	42.21	30.24	30.39	972.58	1,075.42
ADW Breeders(CA) estimated	3.47	106.61	80.84	169.83	23,122.13	23,482.88
ADW Breeders(Exports)	0.00	41.21	28.25	23.96	1,361.24	1,454.66
	3.47	4,207.19	3,023.23	3,127.66	119,376.10	129,737.66
Live Owners	0.00	406.61	316.22	542.50	4,420.87	5,686.20
Intra Owners	0.00	163.76	117.31	117.91	3,773.27	4,172.25
Inter Owners	0.00	146.21	104.73	105.28	3,368.83	3,725.05
Int'l Owners	0.00	39.89	28.57	28.72	919.02	1,016.20
	0.00	756.47	566.83	794.41	12,481.99	14,599.70

Solano County Fair 2008						
	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	ТВ	Total
Generated Live	0.00	14,083.56	15,870.41	5,735.94	321,507.96	357,197.87
Out of Zone	0.00	8,264.76	9,313.35	3,366.06	188,672.83	209,617.00
Interstate	0.00	6,067.43	6,837.23	2,471.14	138,510.91	153,886.71
International	0.00	3,072.47	3,462.29	1,251.35	70,140.12	77,926.23
International AB509	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Non-Merg Purse,R.F	0.00	664.26	748.54	270.54	15,164.11	16,847.44
AB1418 2007 Outs Solano	0.00	669.55	754.50	272.69	15,284.91	16,981.66
Misc Revenue	0.00	134.71	151.81	54.87	3,075.32	3,416.71
Decoder Revenue	0.00	402.06	453.08	163.75	9,178.56	10,197.46
ADW (CA Residents)	36.38	860.91	1,076.65	1,118.47	195,308.50	198,400.91
ADW (Exports)	0.00	619.25	1,021.54	181.59	16,236.08	18,058.46
W/C Refund (Solano&Sonoma)					5,650.29	5,650.29
AB480 Distribution					3,625.18	3,625.18
Budget Excess	0.00	8,376.26	9,438.99	3,411.47	191,218.19	212,444.92
Expense Excess	0.00	501.07	564.64	204.08	11,438.73	12,708.52
Over/Under Prior YR Solano	(4,557.91)	(18,567.75)	(12,932.08)	(6,486.38)	74,120.31	31,576.19
Total Generated	(4,521.53)	25,148.54	36,760.94	12,015.57	1,259,132.01	1,328,535.54
NTRA Mktg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,291.08	3,291.08
SCOTW W/C program pyt					,	0.00
Purses Paid	0.00	59,141.00	52,939.00	26,879.00	1,171,878.00	1,310,837.00
Retro Purses	3100	27,212100	,, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,			0.00
Assoc Fees	0.00	2,418.17	3,567.14	464.87	37,003.07	43,453.25
Total Paid	0.00	61,559.17	56,506.14	27,343.87	1,212,172.15	1,357,581.33
(Over) Under	(4,521.53)	(36,410.63)	(19,745.20)	(15,328.30)	46,959.87	(29,045.80)
Starter Purse	0.00	(5,250.00)	(5,530.00)	(2,100.00)	(63,900.00)	(76,780.00)
Calara Carrata Fair 2000			O/D1	Distribusio		
Solano County Fair 2008			Owners/Breeder	rs Distribution	ns	
	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	TB	Total
Live Breeders	0.00	1,110.88	1,211.97	439.25	26,276.47	29,038.57
Intra Breeders	0.00	1,036.74	1,168.27	422.24	23,667.23	26,294.48
Inter Breeders	0.00	872.61	983.33	355.40	19,920.54	22,131.88
Int'l Breeders	0.00	356.90	402.18	145.36	8,147.52	9,051.96
RFee Breeders	0.00	41.09	46.30	16.73	937.99	1,042.11
ADW Breeders(CA)	3.91	92.53	115.72	120.21	20,991.68	21,324.05
ADW Breeders(Exports)	0.00	38.31	63.19	11.23	1,004.30	1,117.03
	3.91	3,549.06	3,990.96	1,510.42	100,945.73	110,000.08
Live Owners	0.00	305.03	327.50	211.18	2,804.89	3,648.60
Intra Owners	0.00	151.19	170.37	61.58	3,451.42	3,834.56
Inter Owners	0.00	127.26	143.41	51.83	2,905.21	3,227.71
Int'l Owners	0.00	52.05	58.65	21.20	1,188.23	1,320.13
	0.00	635.53	699.93	345.79	10,349.75	12,031.00

Sonoma County Fair 2008						
	A	A1. *	Mala	04.5	TD	T-4-1
	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	TB	Total
Generated Live	0.00	20,480.17	19,055.78	14,880.99	573,546.80	627,963.74
Generated Live	0.00	20,460.17	19,033.76	14,000.99	373,340.60	027,903.74
Out of Zone	0.00	7,708.51	7,172.39	5,601.04	215,876.68	236,358.62
Interstate	0.00	5,413.74	5,037.22	3,933.65	151,611.73	165,996.34
International	0.00	2,387.75	2,221.68	1,734.95	66,868.93	73,213.32
International AB509	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Non-Merg Purse,R.F	0.00	628.21	584.52	456.46	17,593.04	19,262.23
Tron triefg i disc,te.i	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
AB1418 2007 Outs Sonoma	0.00	830.75	772.97	603.63	23,265.14	25,472.49
Misc Revenue	0.00	113.74	105.83	82.64	3,185.21	3,487.42
Decoder Revenue	0.00	368.93	343.27	268.07	10,331.96	11,312.23
ADW (CA Residents)	47.48	752.39	938.60	804.55	211,576.44	214,119.46
ADW (Exports)	0.00	863.75	822.73	657.15	23,718.07	26,061.70
W/C Refund (Solano&Sonoma)	0.00	003.73	022.75	037.12	7,714.49	7,714.49
AB480 Distribution					3,972.90	3,972.90
TID TOO DISTINGUION					3,772.70	3,772.70
Budget Excess	0.00	8,052.53	7,492.48	5,851.01	225,511.09	246,907.12
Expense Excess	0.00	749.42	697.30	544.53	20,987.48	22,978.73
Over/Under Prior YR Sonoma	13,865.90	(2,249.80)	4,538.64	(20,042.62)	(2,050.11)	(5,938.00)
Over, ender 11101 TR Bonoma	13,003.90	(2,21).00)	1,550.01	(20,012.02)	(2,030.11)	(3,730.00)
Total Generated	13,913.38	46,100.10	49,783.40	15,376.05	1,553,709.85	1,678,882.78
Total Generated	13,713.30	10,100.10	15,705.10	10,570.05	1,555,765.05	1,070,002.70
NTRA Mktg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,291.08	3,291.08
SCOTW W/C program pyt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,2,1.00	0.00
Purses Paid	0.00	52,278.00	55,117.00	30,326.00	1,581,742.00	1,719,463.00
Retro Purses	0.00	22,270.00	55,117.55	20,220.00	1,001,7 12.00	0.00
Assoc Fees	0.00	4,493.21	4,869.66	581.10	45,507.27	55,451.24
Total Paid	0.00	56,771.21	59,986.66	30,907.10	1,630,540.35	1,778,205.32
10001100	0.00	00,771.21	27,700.00	20,507.120	1,000,010,00	1,770,200.02
(Over) Under	13,913.38	(10,671.11)	(10,203.26)	(15,531.04)	(76,830.50)	(99,322.53)
Starter Purse	0.00	(4,970.00)	(6,090.00)	(2,450.00)	(76,400.00)	(89,910.00)
Sonoma County Fair 2008			Owners/Breede	rs Distribution	ıs	
	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	TB	Total
Live Breeders	0.00	1,427.86	1,368.39	1,086.23	42,443.06	46,325.54
Intra Breeders	0.00	962.83	895.87	699.60	26,964.04	29,522.33
Inter Breeders	0.00	850.85	791.67	618.23	23,827.94	26,088.69
Int'l Breeders	0.00	278.40	259.03	202.28	7,796.45	8,536.16
RFee Breeders	0.00	38.86	36.16	28.23	1,088.23	1,191.48
ADW Breeders(CA)	5.10	80.87	100.88	86.47	22,740.15	23,013.47
ADW Breeders(Exports)	0.00	53.43	50.89	40.65	1,467.10	1,612.07
	5.10	3,693.09	3,502.88	2,761.69	126,326.97	136,289.74
Live Owners	0.00	337.88	356.46	503.17	4,761.28	5,958.79
Intra Owners	0.00	140.41	130.65	102.02	3,932.26	4,305.34
Inter Owners	0.00	124.09	115.46	90.16	3,475.06	3,804.77
Int'l Owners	0.00	40.60	37.78	29.50	1,137.00	1,244.88
	0.00	642.98	640.34	724.86	13,305.60	15,313.78

San Mateo Purses - 2008						
	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	ТВ	Total
Generated	0.00	0.00	0.00	8,583.29	433,268.60	441,851.89
Out of Zone	0.00	0.00	0.00	4,633.77	233,904.01	238,537.78
Interstate	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,287.25	165,934.08	169,221.33
International	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,372.32	69,272.18	70,644.50
Non-Merge Purse, RF	0.00	0.00	0.00	290.28	14,652.75	14,943.03
AB1418 Outs	0.00	0.00	0.00	504.29	25,455.54	25,959.83
19601.2 (a) redistrib	0.00	0.00	0.00	141.67	7,151.49	7,293.16
Misc Revenue	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.29	1,428.07	1,456.37
Decoder Revenue	0.00	0.00	0.00	193.26	9,755.28	9,948.54
ADW (CA Residents)	0.00	642.01	815.77	644.27	149,732.46	151,834.51
ADW (Exports)	0.00	0.00	0.00	140.29	18,740.31	18,880.60
W/C Refund	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.0.2	6,575.51	6,575.51
AB480 Distribution					2,775.28	2,775.28
Budget Excess	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,980.44	200,925.03	204,905.47
Expense Excess	0.00	0.00	0.00	145.74	7,356.74	7,502.48
Over/Under Prior Yr	(12,987.33)	(8,558.65)	(5,262.51)	17,413.03	41,367.40	31,971.94
Total Generated	(12,987.33)	(7,916.64)	(4,446.74)	41,358.18	1,388,294.74	1,404,302.21
NTRA Mktg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,764.71	2,764.71
SCOTW W/C program pyt					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0.00
Purses Paid				22,916.00	1,234,048.00	1,256,964.00
Retro Purses				,	-, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0.00
Assoc Fees	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,639.99	41,022.91	42,662.91
Total Paid	0.00	0.00	0.00	24,555.99	1,277,835.62	1,302,391.62
(Over) Under	(12,987.33)	(7,916.64)	(4,446.74)	16,802.19	110,459.12	101,910.59
Starter Purse	0.00	0.00	0.00	(1,190.00)	(59,100.00)	(60,290.00)
Supp Funds	0.00	0.00	0.00	(1,190.00)	(39,100.00)	60,290.00
Supp I unus						00,270.00
San Mateo County Fair - 2008			Owners/Breeder	rs Distribution	ns	
	Арру	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	ТВ	Total
Live Breeders	0.00	0.00	0.00	560.13	30,279.88	30,840.01
Intra Breeders	0.00	0.00	0.00	507.89	25,637.34	26,145.23
Inter Breeders	0.00	0.00	0.00	445.41	22,483.42	22,928.83
Int'l Breeders	0.00	0.00	0.00	144.34	7,285.96	7,430.30
RFee Breeders	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.96	906.35	924.31
ADW Breeders(CA)	0.00	66.87	84.97	67.11	15,595.82	15,814.77
ADW Breeders(Exports)	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.68	1,159.19	1,167.87
	0.00	66.87	84.97	1,751.51	103,347.97	105,251.32
Live Owners	0.00	0.00	0.00	245.32	3,347.37	3,592.69
Intra Owners	0.00	0.00	0.00	74.07	3,738.75	3,812.82
Inter Owners	0.00	0.00	0.00	64.96	3,278.85	3,343.81
Int'l Owners	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.05	1,062.47	1,083.52
	0.00	0.00	0.00	405.39	11,427.45	11,832.84

Humboldt Purses - 2008						
	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	TB	Total
Generated	0.00	21,735.25	24,167.02	0.00	68,978.22	114,880.49
Out of Zone	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Interstate	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
International	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Non-Merge Purse RF	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
AB1418 Outs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Misc Revenue	0.00	228.40	253.95	0.00	724.83	1,207.17
ADW (CA Residents)	0.00	294.38	292.73	172.62	43,287.68	44,047.41
ADW (Exports)	0.00	906.28	1,020.45	0.00	2,208.89	4,135.62
W/C Refund		, , , , , ,	-,	3.00	722.61	722.61
AB480 Distribution					775.16	775.16
Budget Excess	0.00	(3,518.69)	(3,912.36)	0.00	(11,166.79)	(18,597.84)
Expense Excess	0.00	613.21	681.82	0.00	1,946.07	3,241.11
Over/Under Prior Yr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total Generated	0.00	20,258.83	22,503.60	172.62	107,476.67	150,411.73
NTRA Mktg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	490.75	490.75
SCOTW W/C program pyt						0.00
Purses Paid	0.00	60,498.00	73,812.00	0.00	199,851.00	334,161.00
Retro Purses						0.00
Assoc Fees	0.00	6,049.80	7,381.20	0.00	5,995.53	19,426.53
Total Paid	0.00	66,547.80	81,193.20	0.00	206,337.28	354,078.28
(Over) Under	0.00	(46,288.97)	(58,689.60)	172.62	(98,860.61)	(203,666.56)
Performance Purse	0.00	(6,790.00)	(9,380.00)	0.00	(21,100.00)	(37,270.00)
	0.00	(0,770.00)	(2,300.00)	0.00	(21,100.00)	
Total (Over) Under						(240,936.56)
Humboldt County Fair 2008			Owners/Breeders	Distributions	3	
	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	TB	
Live Breeders	0.00	1,445.40	1,625.01	0.00	4,885.17	7,955.58
Intra Breeders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Inter Breeders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rfee Breeders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ADW Breeders(CA)	0.00	21.58	21.46	12.66	3,173.61	3,229.31
ADW Breeders(Exports)	0.00	56.06	63.12	0.00	136.63	255.81
	0.00	1,523.04	1,709.59	12.66	8,195.41	11,440.70
Live Owners	0.00	401.05	461.01	0.00	631.11	1,493.17
Intra Owners	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Inter Owners	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Int'l Owners	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	401.05	461.01	0.00	631.11	1,493.17

California Exposition & State Fair 2008						
	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	ТВ	Total
Generated Live	0.00	22,724.84	17,588.47	11,026.63	267,913.32	319,253.26
Out of Zone	0.00	17,479.31	13,528.56	8,481.38	206,071.46	245,560.71
Interstate	0.00	11,817.27	9,146.28	5,734.02	139,319.12	166,016.69
International	0.00	4,376.95	3,387.65	2,123.80	51,601.85	61,490.26
International AB509	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Non-Merg Purse,R.F	0.00	1,083.07	838.27	525.53	12,768.77	15,215.63
AB1418 2007 Outs Sacramento	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Misc Revenue	0.00	189.85	146.94	92.12	2,238.28	2,667.20
Decoder Revenue	0.00	741.45	573.87	359.77	8,741.32	10,416.41
ADW (CA Residents)	0.00	941.38	876.95	1,328.10	209,145.32	212,291.75
ADW (Exports)	0.00	865.92	789.14	230.42	8,670.99	10,556.47
W/C Refund (1st,2nd,3rd refunds)					8,019.50	8,019.50
AB480 Distribution					3,981.58	3,981.58
Budget Excess	0.00	17,013.13	13,167.75	8,255.17	200,575.43	239,011.49
Expense Excess	0.00	(1,541.55)	(1,193.12)	(748.00)	(18,174.03)	(21,656.70)
Over/Under Prior	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total Generated	0.00	75,691.63	58,850.76	37,408.95	1,100,872.90	1,272,824.24
NTRA Mktg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SCOTW W/C program pyt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Purses Paid	0.00	56,231.00	50,477.00	27,803.00	876,020.00	1,010,531.00
Retro Purses			,	,	,	0.00
Assoc Fees	0.00	7,643.01	5,942.23	1,510.69	33,167.92	48,263.85
Total Paid	0.00	63,874.01	56,419.23	29,313.69	909,187.92	1,058,794.85
(Over) Under	0.00	11,817.62	2,431.53	8,095.26	191,684.98	214,029.39
Starter Purse	0.00	(5,880.00)	(5,460.00)	(2,660.00)	(51,200.00)	(65,200.00)
California Exposition & State Fair 2008			Owners/Breeder	e Distribution	16	
Cantolina Exposition & State 1 an 2000			o whers, Breeder	5 Distribution		
.	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	TB	Total
Live Breeders	0.00	1,633.23	1,216.04	797.03	20,291.15	23,937.45
Intra Breeders	0.00	1,633.23 2,157.30	1,216.04 1,669.70	797.03 1,046.77	20,291.15 25,433.36	23,937.45 30,307.13
Intra Breeders Inter Breeders	0.00 0.00 0.00	1,633.23 2,157.30 1,816.10	1,216.04 1,669.70 1,405.62	797.03 1,046.77 881.22	20,291.15 25,433.36 21,410.84	23,937.45 30,307.13 25,513.78
Intra Breeders Inter Breeders Int'l Breeders	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1,633.23 2,157.30 1,816.10 503.48	1,216.04 1,669.70 1,405.62 389.68	797.03 1,046.77 881.22 244.30	20,291.15 25,433.36 21,410.84 5,935.73	23,937.45 30,307.13 25,513.78 7,073.19
Intra Breeders Inter Breeders Int'l Breeders RFee Breeders	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1,633.23 2,157.30 1,816.10 503.48 66.99	1,216.04 1,669.70 1,405.62 389.68 51.85	797.03 1,046.77 881.22 244.30 32.51	20,291.15 25,433.36 21,410.84 5,935.73 789.82	23,937.45 30,307.13 25,513.78 7,073.19 941.17
Intra Breeders Inter Breeders Int'l Breeders RFee Breeders ADW Breeders(CA)	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1,633.23 2,157.30 1,816.10 503.48 66.99 98.05	1,216.04 1,669.70 1,405.62 389.68 51.85 91.34	797.03 1,046.77 881.22 244.30 32.51 138.33	20,291.15 25,433.36 21,410.84 5,935.73 789.82 21,768.46	23,937.45 30,307.13 25,513.78 7,073.19 941.17 22,096.18
Intra Breeders Inter Breeders Int'l Breeders RFee Breeders	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1,633.23 2,157.30 1,816.10 503.48 66.99 98.05 53.57	1,216.04 1,669.70 1,405.62 389.68 51.85 91.34 48.82	797.03 1,046.77 881.22 244.30 32.51 138.33 14.25	20,291.15 25,433.36 21,410.84 5,935.73 789.82 21,768.46 536.35	23,937.45 30,307.13 25,513.78 7,073.19 941.17 22,096.18 652.99
Intra Breeders Inter Breeders Int'l Breeders RFee Breeders ADW Breeders(CA) ADW Breeders(Exports)	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1,633.23 2,157.30 1,816.10 503.48 66.99 98.05 53.57 6,328.72	1,216.04 1,669.70 1,405.62 389.68 51.85 91.34 48.82 4,873.05	797.03 1,046.77 881.22 244.30 32.51 138.33 14.25 3,154.40	20,291.15 25,433.36 21,410.84 5,935.73 789.82 21,768.46 536.35 96,165.72	23,937.45 30,307.13 25,513.78 7,073.19 941.17 22,096.18 652.99 110,521.89
Intra Breeders Inter Breeders Int'l Breeders RFee Breeders ADW Breeders(CA) ADW Breeders(Exports) Live Owners	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1,633.23 2,157.30 1,816.10 503.48 66.99 98.05 53.57 6,328.72	1,216.04 1,669.70 1,405.62 389.68 51.85 91.34 48.82 4,873.05	797.03 1,046.77 881.22 244.30 32.51 138.33 14.25 3,154.40	20,291.15 25,433.36 21,410.84 5,935.73 789.82 21,768.46 536.35 96,165.72	23,937.45 30,307.13 25,513.78 7,073.19 941.17 22,096.18 652.99 110,521.89
Intra Breeders Inter Breeders Int'l Breeders RFee Breeders ADW Breeders(CA) ADW Breeders(Exports) Live Owners Intra Owners	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	1,633.23 2,157.30 1,816.10 503.48 66.99 98.05 53.57 6,328.72 393.11 314.61	1,216.04 1,669.70 1,405.62 389.68 51.85 91.34 48.82 4,873.05 314.21 243.50	797.03 1,046.77 881.22 244.30 32.51 138.33 14.25 3,154.40 365.44 152.65	20,291.15 25,433.36 21,410.84 5,935.73 789.82 21,768.46 536.35 96,165.72 2,296.02 3,709.03	23,937.45 30,307.13 25,513.78 7,073.19 941.17 22,096.18 652.99 110,521.89 3,368.78 4,419.79
Intra Breeders Inter Breeders Int'l Breeders RFee Breeders ADW Breeders(CA) ADW Breeders(Exports) Live Owners	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1,633.23 2,157.30 1,816.10 503.48 66.99 98.05 53.57 6,328.72	1,216.04 1,669.70 1,405.62 389.68 51.85 91.34 48.82 4,873.05	797.03 1,046.77 881.22 244.30 32.51 138.33 14.25 3,154.40	20,291.15 25,433.36 21,410.84 5,935.73 789.82 21,768.46 536.35 96,165.72	23,937.45 30,307.13 25,513.78 7,073.19 941.17 22,096.18 652.99 110,521.89

Stockton - Purses 2008						
	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	ТВ	Total
	117					
Generated Live	0.00	15,082.79	11,447.03	6,425.18	186,977.60	219,932.60
	0.00	44 747 44	0.7.62.52	4.010.20	1.10.100.50	1.60.055.10
Out of Zone	0.00	11,545.66	8,762.53	4,918.38	143,128.62	168,355.19
Interstate	0.00	8,677.19	6,585.52	3,696.43	107,568.99	126,528.14
International	0.00	4,182.45	3,174.26	1,781.70	51,848.84	60,987.25
Non-Merg Purse,	0.00	843.72	640.34	359.42	10,459.36	12,302.83
AB1418 Outs	0.00	1,007.56	764.69	429.22	12,490.50	14,691.96
Misc Revenue	0.00	159.30	120.90	67.86	1,974.81	2,322.88
Decoder Revenue	0.00	548.82	416.52	233.79	6,803.56	8,002.69
ADW (CA Residents)	0.00	798.90	545.77	896.51	150,272.45	152,513.63
ADW (Exports)		734.28	572.84	240.31	9,762.99	11,310.42
W/C Refund					3,331.43	3,331.43
AB480 Distribution					2,777.39	2,777.39
	0.00	0.442.04		2 10 1 20	10100100	122 200 00
Budget Excess	0.00	8,462.04	6,422.24	3,604.78	104,901.83	123,390.89
Expense Excess	0.00	463.30	351.62	197.36	5,743.46	6,755.75
Over/Under Prior Yr	19.49	(1,006.67)	21,976.16	619.96	150,000.00	171,608.94
Total Generated	19.49	51,499.34	61,780.42	23,470.91	948,041.81	1,084,811.98
NITD A MILE.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,583.45	2,583.45
NTRA Mktg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,383.43	
SCOTW W/C program pyt	0.00	52 (72 00	42 024 00	22 926 00		0.00
Purses Paid	0.00	53,672.00	43,934.00	22,836.00	775,404.00	895,846.00
Assoc Fees	0.00	4,943.27	6,021.19	903.62	27,589.33	39,457.41
Total Paid	0.00	58,615.27	49,955.19	23,739.62	805,576.78	937,886.86
(Over) Under	19.49	(7,115.92)	11,825.23	(268.71)	142,465.03	146,925.12
Starter Purse	0.00	(4,620.00)	(4,620.00)	(1,960.00)	(41,500.00)	(52,700.00)
San Joaquin County Fair - 2008			Owners/Breeder	rs Distribution	ns	
1 3						
	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	TB	Total
Live Breeders	0.00	1,135.12	852.29	468.81	14,745.67	17,201.89
Intra Breeders	0.00	1,133.12	939.38	527.27	15,343.98	18,048.37
Inter Breeders	0.00	1,237.74	939.38	512.18	13,343.98	17,531.85
Int'l Breeders	0.00	487.50	369.99	207.67	6,043.40	7,108.56
RFee Breeders	0.00	52.19	39.99	22.23	6,043.40	7,108.36
ADW Breeders(CA)	0.00	95.33	65.13	106.98	17,932.04	18,199.48
ADW Breeders(CA) ADW Breeders(Exports)	0.00	45.42	35.44	14.87	603.90	699.63
DISCUES (EXPORTS)	0.00	4,255.62	3,214.33	1,860.02	70,220.82	79,550.78
Liva Overnore	0.00	202.92	220.45	226.64	1 514 22	2 272 24
Live Owners	0.00	292.83	239.45	226.64	1,514.32	2,273.24
Intra Owners	0.00	180.50	136.99	76.89	2,237.59	2,631.97
Inter Owners	0.00	175.34	133.07	74.69	2,173.66	2,556.77
Int'l Owners	0.00	71.09	53.95	30.28	881.28	1,036.61
	0.00	719.76	563.47	408.51	6,806.86	8,498.59

Fresno Purses - 2008						
	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	ТВ	Total
Generated	0.00	24,919.09	25,438.94	28,933.34	298,938.07	378,229.44
Overlap Redis	0.00	24,919.09	23,436.94	26,933.34	290,930.07	0.00
Overlap Redis	0.00	24,919.09	25,438.94	28,933.34	298,938.07	378,229.44
	0.00	24,717.07	23,430.74	20,733.34	270,730.07	370,227.44
Commissions Withheld	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Non-Merg Purse, Rights Fee (Nevada)	0.00	(118.68)	(121.15)	(137.79)	(1,423.69)	(1,801.31)
Over/Under Prior Yr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
AB1418 Outs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Misc Revenue	0.00	147.40	150.48	171.15	1,768.27	2,237.29
ADW (CA Residents)	0.00	303.13	386.18	784.19	84,559.30	86,032.80
ADW (Exports)	0.00	898.45	536.42	441.91	8,025.44	9,902.22
W/C Refund					2,342.55	2,342.55
AB480 Distribution					1,519.14	1,519.14
Budget Excess	0.00	1,207.97	1,233.17	1,402.56	14,491.20	18,334.90
Expense Excess	0.00	683.03	697.28	793.06	8,193.83	10,367.19
Expense Excess	0.00	063.03	091.28	793.00	0,193.03	10,307.19
Total Generated	0.00	28,040.39	28,321.31	32,388.41	418,414.12	507,164.22
Total Generated	0.00	20,040.37	20,321.31	32,300.41	710,717.12	307,104.22
NTRA Mktg					1,324.38	1,324.38
SCOTW W/C program pyt					1,62 11.00	0.00
Purses Paid	0.00	60,649.00	63,292.00	53,488.00	709,000.00	886,429.00
Assoc Fees	0.00	6,064.90	6,329.20	2,139.52	21,270.00	35,803.62
Total Paid	0.00	66,713.90	69,621.20	55,627.52	731,594.38	923,557.00
(Over) Under	0.00	(38,673.51)	(41,299.89)	(23,239.11)	(313,180.26)	(416,392.78)
Prfrmnc Purse	0.00	(5,740.00)	(6,720.00)	(6,160.00)	(57,000.00)	(75,620.00)
Total Supplemental Funds						(492,012.78)
						(13 = ,0 = = 11, 0)
Fresno District Fair - 2008			Owners/Breeder	rs Distribution	S	
	Appy	Arabian	Mules	Qtr	ТВ	Total
Live Breeders	0.00	1,535.99	1,558.62	1,781.57	19,591.93	24,468.11
Intra Breeders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Inter Breeders	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
R Fee Breeders	0.00	(7.34)	(7.49)	(8.52)	(88.06)	(111.42)
ADW Breeders(CA)	0.00	19.78	133.12	25.20	5,518.43	5,696.53
ADW Breeders(Exports)	0.00	55.58	33.18	27.34	496.42	612.52
	0.00	1,604.01	1,717.43	1,825.59	25,518.72	30,665.74
		,	,. ,	,	- ,- •	- , - ,
Live Owners	0.00	379.16	405.67	811.76	2,528.77	4,125.36
Intra Owners	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Inter Owners	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Int'l Owners	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	379.16	405.67	811.76	2,528.77	4,125.36

Takeout Summary Rep	ort				
Date Range:	06/01/2008 - 10/31/2008				
Race Type:	All Races				
Hosts:	Alameda County Fair				
Locations:	All Locations				
Tracks:	All Tracks				
	On Track	Off Track	Total CA	Out of State	Total
License Fees	58,241.18	139,975.98	198,217.16	25,842.40	224,059.56
Exotic License	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	0
F and E Fund	35,598.76	35,279.99	70,878.75	0	70,878.75
License Reduction	0	0	0	0	0
Total License	93,839.94	175,255.97	269,095.91	25,842.40	294,938.31
-					
Equine Research Lab	5,824.15	13,997.78	19,821.93	0	19,821.93
-					
Workers Comp Fund	13,045.75	27,842.36	40,888.11	31,392.67	72,280.78
-					
City Tax to State	0	0	0	0	0
City/County Tax	19,219.52	46,191.92	65,411.44	0	65,411.44
Total City Tax	19,219.52	46,191.92	65,411.44	0	65,411.44
-					
Promotion Fund	9,056.97	55,990.18	65,047.15	0	65,047.15
Van/Stabling	26,038.77	160,971.73	187,010.50	0	187,010.50
Location Fee	10,162.11	288,518.89	298,681.00	0	298,681.00
Expense Fund	135,854.41	839,851.53	975,705.94	0	975,705.94
Total Sim Distribs	181,112.26	1,345,332.33	1,526,444.59	0	1,526,444.59
-					
Purses	363,862.91	453,122.61	816,985.52	144,142.30	961,127.82
Exotic Purses	25,613.56	0	25,613.56	0	25,613.56
Overlap Purses	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	18,474.89	34,953.59	53,428.48	0	53,428.48
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	0
SB2000 Purses	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Purses	407,951.36	488,076.20	896,027.56	144,142.30	1,040,169.86
-					
Owners Premiums	4,316.67	10,283.03	14,599.70	0	14,599.70
-				_	_
SB2000 Breeders	0	0	0	0	0
Breeder/Sires Awards	27,955.77	66,853.03	94,808.80	8,915.90	103,724.70
Total Breeder/Sires	27,955.77	66,853.03	94,808.80	8,915.90	103,724.70
- 	000 000 5	400 007 07	000 000 55	4444644	040 704 75
Track Commissions	339,203.04	463,397.22	802,600.26	144,131.44	946,731.70
Exotic Commissions	25,645.73	0	25,645.73	0	25,645.73
Overlap Track	10 474 27	0	52 440 67	0	50 440 07
Breakage	18,474.27	34,945.40	53,419.67	0	53,419.67
Minus Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
License Reduction	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Track	383,323.04	498,342.62	881,665.66	144,131.44	1,025,797.10
Commingle Cuests		^	^	1 602 504 27	1 602 504 27
Commingle Guests	0	0	0	1,603,504.37	1,603,504.37
Proxy Fee AB509		0	0	0	
Interstate Hosts	27,886.45	157,793.68	185,680.13	0	185,680.13
Intrastate Hosts		157,793.00	_	0	100,000.13
Proxy Host Fee	0	0	0	0	
1 10AY 1108L FEE	- 0	U	U	0	
Retained from Public	1 164 474 04	2 820 060 02	3 004 443 93	1 057 020 00	5 052 272 04
	1,164,474.91 4,659,639.49	2,829,968.92 11,167,556.08	3,994,443.83 15,827,195.57	1,957,929.08 7,388,145.23	5,952,372.91 23,215,340.80
Payable to Public	4,009,039.49	11,107,350.08	10,021,190.01	1,300,145.23	∠3,∠13,340.8U
TOTAL HANDLE	F 924 144 40	12 007 525 00	10 021 620 40	0.246.074.24	20 167 712 74
TOTAL HANDLE	5,824,114.40	13,991,5∠5.00	19,821,639.40	3,340,074.3T	29,167,713.71

Takeout Summary Rep Date Range:	06/01/2008 - 10/31/2008				
Race Type:	All Races				
Hosts:	California State Fair				
Locations:	All Locations				
Tracks:	All Tracks				
TIACKS.	On Track	Off Track	Total CA	Out of State	Total
License Fees	31,719.47	138,980.66	170,700.13	15,142.85	185,842.98
Exotic License	01,719.47	138,980.00	170,700.13	15,142.65	105,042.90
Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	0
F and E Fund	16,028.98	23,641.46	39,670.44	0	39,670.44
License Reduction	10,028.98	23,041.40	39,070.44	0	39,070.44
Total License	47,748.45	162,622.12	210,370.57	15,142.85	225,513.42
-	77,740.40	102,022.12	210,070.07	10,142.00	220,010.42
Equine Research Lab	3,172.00	13,898.38	17,070.38	0	17,070.38
-	0,172.00	10,000.00	17,070.00		17,070.00
Workers Comp Fund	6,619.07	26,309.12	32,928.19	16,201.27	49,129.46
-	3,0.0.0.	20,000.12	02,020.10	10,201121	10,120110
City Tax to State	0	0	0	0	0
City/County Tax	10,467.38		56,330.92	0	56,330.92
Total City Tax	10,467.38	45,863.54	56,330.92	0	56,330.92
-	10, 101.00	10,000.01	00,000.02		00,000.02
Promotion Fund	6,276.18	55,591.71	61,867.89	0	61,867.89
Van/Stabling	18,043.98		177,871.20	0	177,871.20
Location Fee	6,763.98		284,724.17	0	284,724.17
Expense Fund	94,142.58		928,022.97	0	928,022.97
Total Sim Distribs	125,226.72	1,327,259.51	1,452,486.23	0	1,452,486.23
-	120,220.72	1,027,200.01	1,102,100.20		1, 102, 100.20
Purses	185,379.37	469,024.50	654,403.87	84,464.72	738,868.59
Exotic Purses	10,937.17	0	10,937.17	01,101.72	10,937.17
Overlap Purses	0	0	0	0	0,007117
Breakage	10,331.07	32,184.09	42,515.16	0	42,515.16
Minus Break	0	02,101100	0	0	0
SB2000 Purses	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Purses	206,647.61	501,208.59	707,856.20	84,464.72	792,320.92
-		001,200.00	,	0 1, 10 111 =	. 02,020.02
Owners Premiums	2,380.56	10,160.29	12,540.85	0	12,540.85
SB2000 Breeders	0	0	0	0	0
Breeder/Sires Awards	15,225.32	66,382.01	81,607.33		86,831.55
Total Breeder/Sires	15,225.32	66,382.01	81,607.33	5,224.22	86,831.55
_					
Track Commissions	174,690.52	479,176.12	653,866.64	84,454.32	738,320.96
Exotic Commissions	11,055.66	0	11,055.66	0	11,055.66
Overlap Track	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	10,330.51	32,175.50	42,506.01	0	42,506.01
Minus Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
License Reduction	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Track	196,076.69	511,351.62	707,428.31	84,454.32	791,882.63
-					······································
Commingle Guests	0	0	0	769,015.30	769,015.30
Proxy Fee AB509	0	0	0	0	0
Interstate Hosts	19,793.56	176,308.36	196,101.92	0	196,101.92
Intrastate Hosts	0	0	0	0	0
Proxy Host Fee	0	0	0	0	0
-		J			
Retained from Public	633,357.36	2,841,363.54	3,474,720.90	974,502.68	4,449,223.58
Payable to Public	2,538,580.14				***************************************
-	_,,	, , , , , , , , , , , ,	-,-	,, = = =,= 3.130	,,
TOTAL HANDLE	3 171 937 50	13,898,005.30	17,069 942 80	4,643 100 36	21 713 043 16

Takeout Summary Rep					
Date Range:	06/01/2008 - 10/31/2008				
Race Type:	All Races		·····		
Hosts:	Fresno District Fair				
Locations:	All Locations				
Tracks:	All Tracks				
	On Track	Off Track	Total CA	Out of State	
License Fees	11,436.24	7,004.97	18,441.21	7,620.65	26,061.86
Exotic License	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	0
F and E Fund	28,590.61	17,512.65		0	46,103.26
License Reduction Total License	0 40,026.85	0 24,517.62	0 64,544.47	7,620.65	72,165.12
- Equine Research Lab	2,859.07	1,751.42	4,610.49	0	4,610.49
- Workers Comp Fund	6,949.27	5,934.51	12,883.78	8,763.33	21,647.11
- City Tax to State	0	0	0	0	0
City/County Tax	9,434.89	5,779.08	15,213.97	0	15,213.97
Total City Tax	9,434.89	5,779.08	15,213.97	0	15,213.97
Promotion Fund	0	7,004.97	7,004.97	0	7,004.97
Van/Stabling	0	20,139.27	20,139.27	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Location Fee	0	35,840.19		0	
Expense Fund	0	105,074.30		0	105,074.30
Total Sim Distribs	0	168,058.73		0	168,058.73
-		100,000.70	100,0000	<u> </u>	100,0000
Purses	229,810.82	69,213.98	299,024.80	42,506.41	341,531.21
Exotic Purses	19,447.07	0	19,447.07	0	19,447.07
Overlap Purses	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	11,778.54	5,472.62	17,251.16	0	17,251.16
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	0
SB2000 Purses	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Purses	261,036.43	74,686.60	335,723.03	42,506.41	378,229.44
Owners Premiums	2,443.15	1,682.21	4,125.36	0	4,125.36
SB2000 Breeders	0	0	0	0	0
Breeder/Sires Awards	13,723.45	8,115.36	21,838.81	2,629.30	24,468.11
Total Breeder/Sires	13,723.45	8,115.36		2,629.30	
Track Commissions	207,924.07	70,893.10	278,817.17	42,501.22	321,318.39
Exotic Commissions	19,805.44	70,093.10	19,805.44	42,301.22	
Overlap Track	13,003.44	0	13,003.44	0	
Breakage	11,778.34	5,469.96	17,248.30	0	17,248.30
Minus Breakage	0	0,400.00	0	0	0
License Reduction	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Track	239,507.85	76,363.06		42,501.22	358,372.13
Commingle Guests	0	0	0	439,183.39	439,183.39
Proxy Fee AB509	0	0	0	0	0
Interstate Hosts	0	0	0	0	0
Intrastate Hosts	0	0	0	0	0
Proxy Host Fee	0	0	0	0	0
Retained from Public	575,980.96	366,888.59	942,869.55	543 204 30	1,486,073.85
Payable to Public	2,283,075.54		3,667,423.95		
-					
TOTAL HANDLE	2,859,056.50	1,751,237.00	4,610,293.50	2,687,577.40	7,297,870.90

Takeout Summary Rep	ort				
Date Range:	06/01/2008 - 10/31/2008				
Race Type:	All Races				
Hosts:	Humboldt County Fair				
Locations:	All Locations				
Tracks:	All Tracks				
	On Track	Off Track	Total CA	Out of State	Total
License Fees	2,895.88	3,320.27	6,216.15	2,310.71	8,526.86
Exotic License	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	0
F and E Fund	7,239.73	8,300.97	15,540.70	0	15,540.70
License Reduction	0	0	0	0	0
Total License	10,135.61	11,621.24	21,756.85	2,310.71	24,067.56
-					
Equine Research Lab	723.96	830	1,553.96	0	1,553.96
Workers Comp Fund	1,801.95	2,744.86	4,546.81	2,445.97	6,992.78
City Tax to State	0	0	0	0	n
City/County Tax	2,389.08	2,739.32	5,128.40	0	5,128.40
Total City Tax	2,389.08	2,739.32	5,128.40	0	5,128.40
Promotion Fund	0	3,320.27	3,320.27	0	3,320.27
Van/Stabling	0	9,545.82	9,545.82	0	9,545.82
Location Fee	0	16,601.39	16,601.39	0	16,601.39
Expense Fund	0	49,804.32	49,804.32	0	49,804.32
Total Sim Distribs	0	79,271.80	79,271.80	0	79,271.80
Purses	58,269.75	32,682.38	90,952.13	12,889.16	103,841.29
Exotic Purses	5,052.66	02,002.00	5,052.66	0	5,052.66
Overlap Purses	0,002.00	0	0,002.00	0	0,002.00
Breakage	3,105.64	2,880.90	5,986.54	0	5,986.54
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	0
SB2000 Purses	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Purses	66,428.05	35,563.28	101,991.33	12,889.16	114,880.49
-	,	•	·	·	•
Owners Premiums	623.47	869.7	1,493.17	0	1,493.17
SB2000 Breeders	0	0	0	0	0
Breeder/Sires Awards	3,475.06	3,683.15	7,158.21	797.37	7,955.58
Total Breeder/Sires	3,475.06	3,683.15	7,158.21	797.37	7,955.58
-	,	•	·		,
Track Commissions	52,720.26	33,549.75	86,270.01	12,886.17	99,156.18
Exotic Commissions	5,135.54	0	5,135.54	0	5,135.54
Overlap Track	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	3,105.50	2,878.75	5,984.25	0	5,984.25
Minus Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
License Reduction	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Track	60,961.30	36,428.50	97,389.80	12,886.17	110,275.97
Commingle Guests	0	0	0	122,864.12	122,864.12
Proxy Fee AB509	0	0	0	0	0
Interstate Hosts	0	0	0	0	0
Intrastate Hosts	0	0	0	0	0
Proxy Host Fee	0	0	0	0	0
-					-
Retained from Public	146,538.48	173,751.85	320,290.33	154,193.50	474,483.83
Payable to Public	577,430.72	656,320.25	1,233,750.97	585,466.42	1,819,217.39
- TOTAL HANDLE			1,554,041.30		2,293,701.22
IOIALIANDLL	123,303.20	000,012.10	1,004,041.00	100,000.32	۷,۷۵۵,۱۵۱.۷۷

Takeout Summary Rep	ort				
Date Range:	06/01/2008 - 10/31/2008				
Race Type:	All Races				
Hosts:	San Joaquin County Fair				
Locations:	All Locations				
Tracks:	All Tracks				
	On Track	Off Track	Total CA	Out of State	Total
License Fees	13,592.21	101,510.40	115,102.61	14,227.12	129,329.73
Exotic License	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	0
F and E Fund	7,305.24	18,862.41	26,167.65	0	26,167.65
License Reduction	0	0	0	0	0
Total License	20,897.45	120,372.81	141,270.26	14,227.12	155,497.38
-					
Equine Research Lab	1,359.20	10,151.18	11,510.38	0	11,510.38
-					
Workers Comp Fund	2,937.75	18,369.78	21,307.53	16,079.92	37,387.45
- 0''	_	_			-
City Tax to State	0	0 400 00	07,000,70	0	07.000 = 0
City/County Tax	4,485.47	33,498.32	37,983.79	0	37,983.79
Total City Tax	4,485.47	33,498.32	37,983.79	0	37,983.79
- Promotion Fund	2,514.82	40,604.10	43,118.92	0	42 440 00
Van/Stabling	7,230.05	116,736.40	123,966.45	0	43,118.92 123,966.45
Location Fee	3,206.54	203,019.88	206,226.42	0	206,226.42
Expense Fund	37,721.91	609,059.86	646,781.77	0	646,781.77
Total Sim Distribs	50,673.32	969,420.24	1,020,093.56	0	1,020,093.56
	30,073.32	909,420.24	1,020,093.30	0	1,020,093.30
Purses	83,876.38	377,631.88	461,508.26	79,355.56	540,863.82
Exotic Purses	5,453.53	0	5,453.53	79,555.50	5,453.53
Overlap Purses	0,400.00	0	0,400.00	0	0,400.00
Breakage	4,515.49	24,970.34	29,485.83	0	29,485.83
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	20,100.00
SB2000 Purses	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Purses	93,845.40	402,602.22	496,447.62	79,355.56	575,803.18
-		, , , ,		-,	,
Owners Premiums	1,047.32	7,451.27	8,498.59	0	8,498.59
-					
SB2000 Breeders	0	0	0	0	0
Breeder/Sires Awards	6,524.31	48,458.12	54,982.43	4,908.24	59,890.67
Total Breeder/Sires	6,524.31	48,458.12	54,982.43	4,908.24	59,890.67
-					
Track Commissions	78,916.83	385,074.38	463,991.21	79,347.09	543,338.30
Exotic Commissions	5,483.54	0	5,483.54	0	5,483.54
Overlap Track	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	4,514.99	24,961.66	29,476.65	0	29,476.65
Minus Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
License Reduction	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Track	88,915.36	410,036.04	498,951.40	79,347.09	578,298.49
-				700 044 00	700 044 00
Commingle Guests	0	0	0	783,211.20	783,211.20
Provide Food ADECCO			^		^
Proxy Fee AB509	9 750 76	121 550 70	140 210 46	0	140 240 46
Interstate Hosts Intrastate Hosts	8,759.76	131,559.70	140,319.46	0	140,319.46 0
Proxy Host Fee	0	0	0	0	0
- 10Ay 11081 FEE	0	U	0	0	U
Retained from Public	279,445.34	2,151,919.68	2,431,365.02	977,129.13	3,408,494.15
Payable to Public	1,079,779.06	7,999,078.72	9,078,857.78	3,680,963.37	12,759,821.15
-	1,073,779.00	1,000,010.12	3,070,007.70	5,000,000.07	12,700,021.10
TOTAL HANDLE	1,359,224.40	10.150 998 40	11,510,222.80	4.658 092 50	16,168,315.30
	1,000,227.40	. 5, 155,556.70	. 1,010,222.00	1,000,002.00	. 0, . 00,0 10.00

Takeout Summary Repo	ort				
Date Range:	06/01/2008 - 10/31/2008				
Race Type:	All Races				
Hosts:	San Mateo County Fair				
Locations:	All Locations				
Tracks:	All Tracks				
Tradito.	On Track	Off Track	Total CA	Out of State	Total
License Fees	43,076.87	61,545.02	104,621.89	21,407.30	126,029.19
Exotic License	0	0	0	0	120,020.10
Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	0
F and E Fund	26,683.80	22,251.11	48,934.91	0	48,934.91
License Reduction	0	0	0	0	0,001.01
Total License	69,760.67	83,796.13		21,407.30	174,964.10
-	30,1 30.01	30,730.73	100,000.00	21,107.00	17 1,00 1110
Equine Research Lab	6,227.98	10,437.57	16,665.55	0	16,665.55
-	0,227.00	10,101.01	10,000.00	•	10,000.00
Workers Comp Fund	12,386.70	20,241.81	32,628.51	25,851.54	58,480.05
-					
City Tax to State	0	0	0	0	0
City/County Tax	20,552.30		54,995.41	0	54,995.41
Total City Tax	20,552.30	34,443.11	54,995.41	0	54,995.41
-					
Promotion Fund	14,238.31	41,748.96	· ·	0	55,987.27
Van/Stabling	40,935.13	·		0	160,963.98
Location Fee	18,124.62	208,745.41		0	226,870.03
Expense Fund	213,574.75			0	839,810.94
Total Sim Distribs	286,872.81	996,759.41	1,283,632.22	0	1,283,632.22
-					
Purses	357,607.75	381,607.32	739,215.07	119,401.51	858,616.58
Exotic Purses	19,903.84	0	19,903.84	0	19,903.84
Overlap Purses	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	18,465.84	23,269.24	41,735.08	0	41,735.08
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	0
SB2000 Purses	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Purses	395,977.43	404,876.56	800,853.99	119,401.51	920,255.50
-					
Owners Premiums	4,445.74	7,387.10	11,832.84	0	11,832.84
-					
SB2000 Breeders	0	0	0	0	0
Breeder/Sires Awards	29,894.21	50,064.54	79,958.75	7,385.62	87,344.37
Total Breeder/Sires	29,894.21	50,064.54	79,958.75	7,385.62	87,344.37
-					
Track Commissions	340,392.92	388,986.84	729,379.76	119,396.38	848,776.14
Exotic Commissions	19,776.20	0	19,776.20	0	19,776.20
Overlap Track	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	18,465.28	23,261.70	41,726.98	0	41,726.98
Minus Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
License Reduction	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Track	378,634.40	412,248.54	790,882.94	119,396.38	910,279.32
Commingle Guests	0	0	0	1,318,529.03	1,318,529.03
-		0	0	.,010,020.00	1,010,020.00
Proxy Fee AB509	0	0	0	0	0
Interstate Hosts	50,763.47	125,637.42	176,400.89	0	176,400.89
Intrastate Hosts	0	123,037.42	170,400.89	0	170,400.89
Proxy Host Fee	0	0	0	0	0
-	U	0	0	U	
Retained from Public	1,255,515.71	2,145,892.19	3,401,407.90	1,611,971.38	5,013,379.28
Payable to Public	4,972,442.39	8,291,378.01	13,263,820.40	6,258,880.83	
ayabie io Fublic	4,312,442.39	0,231,370.01	10,200,020.40	0,200,000.03	13,344,101.23
TOTAL HANDLE	6,227,958.10	10 427 270 20	16,665,228.30	7,870,852.21	24,536,080.51
TOTAL HANDLE	0,221,958.10	10,437,270.20	10,000,228.30	1,010,002.21	∠ 4,530,080.51

Takeout Summary Rep	ort				
Date Range:	06/01/2008 - 10/31/2008				
Race Type:	All Races				
Hosts:	Solano County Fair				
Locations:	All Locations				
Tracks:	All Tracks				
	On Track	Off Track	Total CA	Out of State	Total
License Fees	20,452.01	144,569.24	165,021.25	22,110.74	187,131.99
Exotic License	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	0
F and E Fund	11,705.59	33,568.75	45,274.34	0	45,274.34
License Reduction	0	0	0	0	0
Total License	32,157.60	178,137.99	210,295.59	22,110.74	232,406.33
_					
Equine Research Lab	2,045.18	14,457.31	16,502.49	0	16,502.49
-					
Workers Comp Fund	4,356.99	28,459.26	32,816.25	26,973.08	59,789.33
-					
City Tax to State	0	0	0	0	0
City/County Tax	6,749.14	47,707.81	54,456.95	0	54,456.95
Total City Tax	6,749.14	47,707.81	54,456.95	0	54,456.95
-					
Promotion Fund	3,498.56	57,827.39	61,325.95	0	61,325.95
Van/Stabling	10,058.37	166,254.16	176,312.53	0	176,312.53
Location Fee	4,067.88	297,241.44	301,309.32	0	301,309.32
Expense Fund	52,478.18	867,411.65		0	919,889.83
Total Sim Distribs	70,102.99	1,388,734.64	1,458,837.63	0	1,458,837.63
-					
Purses	126,221.80	500,693.79	626,915.59	123,329.00	750,244.59
Exotic Purses	8,563.83	0	8,563.83	0	8,563.83
Overlap Purses	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	6,619.67	33,199.72	39,819.39	0	39,819.39
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	0
SB2000 Purses	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Purses	141,405.30	533,893.51	675,298.81	123,329.00	798,627.81
-					
Owners Premiums	1,510.54	10,520.46	12,031.00	0	12,031.00
_					
SB2000 Breeders	0	0	0	0	0
Breeder/Sires Awards	9,816.98	69,071.38	78,888.36	7,628.53	86,516.89
Total Breeder/Sires	9,816.98	69,071.38	78,888.36	7,628.53	86,516.89
-					
Track Commissions	118,196.13	511,204.36	629,400.49	123,318.24	752,718.73
Exotic Commissions	8,560.96	0	8,560.96	0	8,560.96
Overlap Track	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	6,618.99	33,190.53	39,809.52	0	39,809.52
Minus Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
License Reduction	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Track	133,376.08	544,394.89	677,770.97	123,318.24	801,089.21
-	-			4 05	1055555
Commingle Guests	0	0	0	1,357,570.92	1,357,570.92
- DE- AD500					
Proxy Fee AB509	0	0	0	0	0
Interstate Hosts	10,902.46	163,422.59	174,325.05	0	174,325.05
Intrastate Hosts	0	0	0	0	0
Proxy Host Fee	0	0	0	0	0
-	440 400 00	0.070.700.01	0.004.000.40	4.000.000.51	E 050 450 01
Detelored for Divi	412,423.26	2,978,799.84	3,391,223.10	1,660,930.51	5,052,153.61
Retained from Public				~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~
Retained from Public Payable to Public	1,632,769.94			~~~~~~~	19,462,854.47
	1,632,769.94		13,110,828.20	6,352,026.27	19,462,854.47

Takeout Summary Repo	ort				
Date Range:	06/01/2008 - 10/31/2008				
Race Type:	All Races				
Hosts:	Sonoma County Fair				
Locations:	All Locations				
Tracks:	All Tracks				
	On Track	Off Track	Total CA	Out of State	Total
License Fees	48,063.57	160,994.05	209,057.62	30,376.36	239,433.98
Exotic License	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	0
F and E Fund	34,254.63	41,163.06	75,417.69	0	75,417.69
License Reduction	0	0	0	0	. 0
Total License	82,318.20	202,157.11	284,475.31	30,376.36	314,851.67
-		,	,	,	
Equine Research Lab	4,806.35	16,099.81	20,906.16	0	20,906.16
Workers Comp Fund	9,893.23	32,078.29	41,971.52	37,627.97	79,599.49
City Tax to State	0	0	0	0	0
City/County Tax	15,860.93	53,127.91	68,988.84	0	68,988.84
Total City Tax	15,860.93	53,127.91	68,988.84	0	68,988.84
Promotion Fund	5,523.61	64,397.50	69,921.11	0	69,921.11
Van/Stabling	15,880.25	185,142.57	201,022.82	0	201,022.82
Location Fee	5,509.03	331,171.31	336,680.34	0	336,680.34
Expense Fund	82,853.60	965,960.64	1,048,814.24	0	1,048,814.24
Total Sim Distribs	109,766.49	1,546,672.02	1,656,438.51	0	1,656,438.51
Purses	313,322.68	545,443.22	858,765.90	169,430.13	1,028,196.03
Exotic Purses	22,165.74	0	22,165.74	0	22,165.74
Overlap Purses	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	17,265.45	35,904.80	53,170.25	0	53,170.25
Minus Break	0	0	0	0	0
SB2000 Purses	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Purses	352,753.87	581,348.02	934,101.89	169,430.13	1,103,532.02
-					
Owners Premiums -	3,530.90	11,782.88	15,313.78	0	15,313.78
SB2000 Breeders	0	0	0	0	0
Breeder/Sires Awards	23,070.51	76,922.45	99,992.96	10,479.76	110,472.72
Total Breeder/Sires	23,070.51	76,922.45	99,992.96	10,479.76	110,472.72
-					
Track Commissions	289,176.84	557,215.69	846,392.53	169,417.43	1,015,809.96
Exotic Commissions	22,374.72	0	22,374.72	0	22,374.72
Overlap Track	0	0	0	0	0
Breakage	17,264.81	35,894.58	53,159.39	0	53,159.39
Minus Breakage	0	0	0	0	0
License Reduction	0	0	0	0	0
Total to Track	328,816.37	593,110.27	921,926.64	169,417.43	1,091,344.07
Commingle Guests	0	0	0	1,895,791.36	1,895,791.36
Proxy Fee AB509	0	0	0	0	0
Interstate Hosts	16,273.56	190,578.93	206,852.49	0	206,852.49
Intrastate Hosts	0	0	0	0	0
Proxy Host Fee	0	0	0	0	0
-					
Retained from Public	947,090.41	3,303,877.69		2,313,123.01	6,564,091.11
Payable to Public	3,859,262.49	12,795,464.41	16,654,726.90	8,780,214.72	25,434,941.62
- TOTAL HANDLE	4,806,352.90	16,099,342.10	20,905,695.00	11,093,337.73	31,999,032.73

visitorcustom.xsl Page 1 of 8

CA Authority of Racing Fairs 3/3/2009

AB 136 (Silva) Horse racing: harness or quarter horse races: imported races. (I-01/20/2009

html pdf)

Introduced: 01/20/2009

Status: 02/26/2009-Referred to Com. on G.O.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would delete that limitation regarding the total number of harness or quarter

horse races imported in a calendar year. This bill contains other existing laws.

Organization: CARF

AB 246 (Price) Horse racing: deductions and distributions: trust funds. (I-02/10/2009 html

ndf)

Introduced: 02/10/2009

Status: 02/11/2009-From printer. May be heard in committee March 13.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would require a person licensed to conduct a horse racing meeting to hold in trust the distributions required to be made pursuant to the Horse Racing Law until the funds are paid to the various distributees. The bill would provide that these required deductions, except for those that enure to the benefit of the racing association, are trust funds and shall not be used by the racing association for any purpose other than for payment to those distributees as directed by the Horse Racing Law. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Organization: CARF

AB 446 (Niello) Public employees' retirement: benefits. (I-02/24/2009 html pdf)

introduced: 02/24/2009

Status: 02/25/2009-From printer. May be heard in committee March 27.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: The Public Employees' Retirement Law calculates service retirement allowances, in part, based on years of credited service. Members of the Public Employees' Retirement System may receive service credit for public service not otherwise subject to credit, upon payment of specified additional contributions. Existing law authorizes specified members of that system, including employees or officers of the state, the university, a school employer, or a contracting agency and certain legislative employees, to elect to make additional contributions and receive up to 5 years of additional retirement service credit, as defined, subject to specified limitations. This bill would make a technical, nonsubstantive change to that provision.

Organization: CARF

AB 512 (Yamada) Agricultural land: Williamson Act: compatible uses. (I-02/24/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/24/2009

Status: 02/25/2009-From printer. May be heard in committee March 27.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would also include horse breeding and training facilities as a compatible use of

land under a Williamson Act contract.

Organization: CARF

AB 544 (Coto) Joint powers agreement: Tule River Tribal Council. (I-02/25/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/25/2009

Status: 02/26/2009-From printer. May be heard in committee March 28.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would amend the act to authorize the Tule River Tribal Council, as the governing body of the Tule River Indian Reservation of California, a federally recognized Indian tribe to enter into a joint powers agreement with the City of Porterville to create a joint powers agency, known as the Porterville Airport Area Development Authority (PAADA), for the sole purpose of developing approximately 1,200 acres of land in the vicinity of the Porterville Airport. The bill would specify the membership of the PAADA's 5-member board and require that all actions taken by PAADA be by an affirmative vote of 4 members of the board. The bill would authorize PAADA to take various actions, including jointly planning land use, incurring debt, making lease purchase arrangements, and issuing revenue bonds and certificates of participation. The bill would prohibit PAADA from authorizing or issuing bonds pursuant to the Marks-Roos Local Bond Pooling Act of 1985, unless the funded public improvements will be owned and maintained by PAADA or one or more public agency members, and the revenue streams pledged to repay the bonds derive from PAADA or one or more of its public agency members. The bill would repeal its provisions on January 1, 2039. This bill contains other related provisions.

Organization: CARF

AB 605 (Portantino) Horse racing: proposition parimutuel pool wagers. (I-02/25/2009 html

pdf)

Introduced: 02/25/2009

Status: 02/26/2009-From printer. May be heard in committee March 28.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would permit thoroughbred horse racing results to be included in proposition

parimutuel pool wagers. This bill contains other existing laws.

Organization: CARF

AB 662 (Hagman) California State Lottery: construction. (I-02/25/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/25/2009

Status: 02/26/2009-From printer. May be heard in committee March 28.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered Summary: Would make legislative findings regarding the proposed construction of a new lottery complex estimated to cost \$185,000,000. The bill would prohibit the commission from proceeding with the construction of the California State Lottery Complex project, or any

proceeding with the construction of the California State Lottery Complex project, or any similar project, and would authorize the use of up to \$40,000,000 for the renovation of any existing building used by the commission for lottery operations. The bill would require the commission to allocate for the benefit of public education the difference between \$185,000,000 and the amount expended for renovation pursuant to these provisions. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Organization: CARF

AB 707 (Nestande) Tribal gaming: grants to local agencies. (I-02/26/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/26/2009

Status: 03/01/2009-From printer. May be heard in committee March 30.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Existing law provides for the distribution of moneys in the Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund for grants to local government agencies impacted by tribal gaming. Existing law provides for the establishment of an Individual Tribal Casino Account for each tribe that operates a casino within a county that has gaming devices that are subject to an obligation to contribute to the Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund. Existing law requires that 60% of each Individual Tribal Casino Account be available for nexus grants on a yearly basis to cities and counties impacted by tribes that are paying into the Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund, according to a 4-part nexus test, as specified. This bill would make a technical, nonsubstantive change to these provisions.

Organization: CARF

visitorcustom.xsl Page 3 of 8

AB 734 (Hill) Horse racing: satellite wagering facilities. (I-02/26/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/26/2009

Status: 02/27/2009-From printer. May be heard in committee March 29.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would increase by 1% the percentages that are used to determine the amount to be distributed as satellite wagering commissions. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Organization: CARF

AB 763 (Chesbro) Horse racing: Humboldt County Fair. (I-02/26/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/26/2009

Status: 02/27/2009-From printer. May be heard in committee March 29.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would during calendar periods when a thoroughbred association or fair in the northern zone and the Humboldt County Fair simultaneously conduct live race meetings, permit the Humboldt County Fair and a thoroughbred association in the southern zone when conducting a live race meeting to accept wagers on the result of out-of-state thoroughbred horse races, if specified conditions are met. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Organization: CARF

AB 813 (Hall) Gambling Control Act: administration. (I-02/26/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/26/2009

Status: 03/01/2009-From printer. May be heard in committee March 30.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would require that the examination and inspection of the equipment, supplies, documents, papers, books, and records of a gambling establishment take place during standard business hours.

Organization: CARF

AB 840 (Garrick) Horse racing. (I-02/26/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/26/2009

Status: 02/27/2009-From printer. May be heard in committee March 29.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Existing law expresses the Legislature's intent of the Horse Racing Law is to allow parimutuel wagering on horse races, while assuring protection of the public, among other matters. This bill would make a technical, nonsubstantive change to those provisions.

Organization: CARF

AB 945 (Committee on Agriculture) Equine drugs. (I-02/26/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/26/2009

Status: 02/27/2009-From printer. May be heard in committee March 29.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Fioor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would delete the exemption from the provisions on the drugging of horses

applicable to any horse show, competition, or sale certified by the secretary.

Organization: CARF

AB 1499 (Evans) Horse racing: workers' compensation. (I-02/27/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/27/2009

Status: 03/02/2009-Read first time.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would also authorize a fair to deduct an additional 0.5% of the total amount handled in exotic parimutuel pools of races for any breed, other than races solely for

visitorcustom.xsl Page 4 of 8

thoroughbreds. This deduction would also be for similar purposes and subject to similar conditions as the quarter horse racing association and harness racing association authorizations discussed above. This authorization would also expire on January 1, 2014. This bill contains other related provisions.

Organization: CARF

AB 1505 (Smyth) Gambling: lotteries. (I-02/27/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/27/2009

Status: 03/02/2009-Read first time.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Existing law defines a lottery as any scheme for the disposal or distribution of property by chance among persons who have paid or promised to pay valuable consideration for a chance to obtain that property or a portion, share, or interest therein, upon any agreement, understanding, or expectation that is to be so distributed or disposed of, whether it is called a lottery, raffle, gift enterprise, or any other name. This bill would make a technical, nonsubstantive change to these provisions.

Organization: CARF

ABX2 1 (Portantino) State employment: salary freeze. (I-12/11/2008 html pdf)

Introduced: 12/11/2008

Status: 01/05/2009-Read first time.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would make findings and declarations regarding the budget deficit facing the state. The bill would, until January 1, 2012, prohibit a person employed by the state whose base salary on the effective date of the bill is greater than \$150,000 per year from receiving a salary increase while employed in the same position or classification, and from receiving payment for overtime work or a bonus. The bill would exempt from this prohibition a person whose compensation is governed by an operative memorandum of understanding, as described above, a person employed in a classification that is subject to oversight by a federal receiver, a person who has been exempted by executive order of the Governor, as specified, and a person whose salary is set pursuant to the California Constitution. This bill contains other related provisions.

Organization: CARF

(Price) Relative to National Problem Gambling Awareness Week. (C-03/02/2009 html

pdf)

HR 9

Introduced: 02/19/2009

Status: 03/02/2009-From committee: Be adopted. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (March 2).

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary:

Organization: CARF

SB 30 (Denham) State property. (I-12/02/2008 html pdf)

Introduced: 12/02/2008

Status: 01/29/2009-To Com. on G.O.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would require the department to identify not less than \$1,000,000,000 worth of state property that can be sold immediately to pay for the retirement of outstanding general obligation bonds issued by the state, thereby helping to close the state's budget deficit. State agencies and departments that would be affected by the sale of land or structures would be required to determine the costs and benefits of leasing back their existing space or finding new space. This bill contains other related provisions.

Organization: CARF

SB 126 (Cedillo) Bingo: remote caller bingo. (I-02/05/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/05/2009

visitorcustom.xsl Page 5 of 8

Status: 02/23/2009-To Com. on G.O.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would delete the reference to school districts in the provisions specifying the organizations that may conduct bingo games and would instead authorize charitable organizations affiliated with a school district to conduct bingo games. This bill contains other related provisions.

Organization: CARF

SB 213 (Florez) Gambling establishments: proposition players. (I-02/23/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/23/2009

Status: 02/24/2009-From print. May be acted upon on or after March 26.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would provide that nothing in the Gambling Control Act shall be construed to prohibit a 3rd-party provider of proposition player services, or a player employed by such a provider, from servicing more than one table at a time. This bill contains other related provisions.

Organization: CARF

SB 222 (Ducheny) Unemployment compensation: wages. (I-02/23/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/23/2009

Status: 02/24/2009-From print. May be acted upon on or after March 26.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would revise this provision to exclude remuneration in excess of \$21,000. This

bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Organization: CARF

SB 241 (Runner) Retail food facilities. (I-02/24/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/24/2009

Status: 02/25/2009-From print. May be acted upon on or after March 27.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would revise this definition to include the shell egg of an avian species, as specified, except a balut and an egg product. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Organization: CARF

SB 243 (Harman) Gambling Control Act. (I-02/24/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/24/2009

Status: 02/25/2009-From print. May be acted upon on or after March 27.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: The Gambling Control Act provides for the licensure of certain individuals and establishments involved in various gambling activities, and for the regulation of those activities, by the California Gambling Control Commission. Existing law provides for the enforcement of those activities by the Department of Justice. This bill would make a technical, nonsubstantive change to those provisions.

Organization: CARF

SB 254 (Wiggins) Horses: sale, purchase, or transfer. (I-02/24/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/24/2009

Status: 02/25/2009-From print. May be acted upon on or after March 27.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would provide that it is unlawful for any person to act as a dual agent, as defined, unless certain conditions are met, and would make it unlawful for a person acting as an agent to receive in excess of \$500 in compensation or in other items of value, related

visitorcustom.xsl Page 6 of 8

to that transaction, other than from the agent's principal, unless certain conditions are met. The bill would allow any person injured by a violation of its provisions to recover treble damages, plus other expenses. The bill would provide that the board may suspend or revoke the license of any person who violates its provisions.

Organization: CARF

SB 335 (Harman) State property. (I-02/25/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/25/2009

Status: 02/26/2009-From print. May be acted upon on or after March 28.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Existing law requires the Department of General Services to maintain a complete and accurate statewide inventory of all real property held by the state, to categorize the inventory by agency and geographical location, to include specified information in the inventory, and to update the inventory annually. Existing law also requires the department to prepare a separate report of all properties declared surplus or properties with no identified current or projected use, and to update the report annually. This bill would make a technical, nonsubstantive change to this provision.

Organization: CARF

SB 357 (Ducheny) Tribal gaming: grants to local jurisdictions. (I-02/25/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/25/2009

Status: 02/26/2009-From print. May be acted upon on or after March 28.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would extend the operation of the above provisions relating to the administration and awarding of grants to local jurisdictions until January 1, 2020.

Organization: CARF

SB 358 (Ducheny) Indian tribes: economic development. (I-02/25/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/25/2009

Status: 02/26/2009-From print. May be acted upon on or after March 28.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would require the secretary to establish the Native American Business Revolving Loan and Guarantee Program for the purpose of providing nongaming business loans and loan guarantees to qualified Indian tribes, as defined. The bill would create the Native American Business Revolving Loan and Guarantee Program Account within the California Small Business Expansion Fund, solely for the purpose of receiving state, federal, or local government moneys, other public or private moneys, and tribal government contributions. The bill would continuously appropriate the moneys in the account to the director for the purpose of implementing and administering the program. By authorizing the expenditure of funds from a continuously appropriated account, the bill would make an appropriation. The bill would require that the program and the steps necessary to implement it and make it operative not be undertaken until the secretary has determined that funds of a sufficient amount to create a loan pool and pay program administrative costs have been received into the account. The bill would require the director to provide for the development and implementation of the application review process for the program, subject to specified requirements. The bill would specify the maximum amount of a loan or loan guarantee granted under the program and would require a tribe applying for a loan to provide matching funds in a specified amount. The bill would create the Native American Business Finance Council, consisting of 9 members, who are appointed by, and serve at the pleasure of, the Governor, to provide policy and program guidance regarding the development and operation of the program. The bill would require the director to annually report to the secretary on the activities of the program, to include within that report information pertaining to the loss experience for loans and loan guarantees made pursuant to the program, and, no later than January 1, 2011, to report to the Governor and the Legislature the results of an independent audit of the program. The bill would enact other related provisions.

Organization: CARF

SB 495 (Benoit) Tribal gaming: revenue sharing. (I-02/26/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/26/2009

Status: 02/27/2009-From print. May be acted upon on or after March 28.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Existing law creates in the State Treasury the Indian Gaming Revenue Sharing Trust Fund and the Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund for the receipt and deposit of moneys received by the state from certain Indian tribes pursuant to the terms of gaming compacts entered into with the state, and authorizes moneys in those funds to be used for certain purposes. Existing law provides for the transfer of moneys from the Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund to the Indian Gaming Revenue Sharing Trust Fund under certain circumstances. Existing law provides that, if that transfer results in a surplus, the funds shall remain in the Indian Gaming Revenue Sharing Trust Fund for disbursement in future years, and if necessary, adjustments shall be made to future distributions from the Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund to the Indian Gaming Revenue Sharing Trust Fund. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to these provisions.

Organization: CARF

SB 517 (Florez) Horse racing: fairs: capital outlay funding. (I-02/26/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/26/2009

Status: 02/27/2009-From print. May be acted upon on or after March 28.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would instead require any racing association to make that additional 1% deduction. By increasing the amount to be deposited into the Fair and Exposition Fund, which is continuously appropriated, the bill would make an appropriation. This bill contains other related provisions.

Organization: CARF

SB 577 (Denham) Horse racing: satellite wagering facilities. (I-02/27/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/27/2009

Status: 03/02/2009-Read first time.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Existing law, the Horse Racing Law, permits the California Horse Racing Board to authorize an association licensed to conduct a racing meeting also to operate a satellite wagering facility at its racetrack inclosure, under specified conditions that differ between the northern zone and the central and southern zones, and provides specific guidelines for the operation and location of these facilities. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to these provisions.

Organization: CARF

SB 586 (Yee) State property: sale. (1-02/27/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/27/2009

Status: 03/02/2009-Read first time.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would require the director, prior to an unspecified date, to sell, at fair market value, upon those terms and conditions determined by the director, a specified parcel of state property located in the County of San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco to any interested party. The bill would exempt an "as-is" sale, exchange, sale, sale combined with an exchange, or transfer of this parcel from certain provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). If the sale, exchange, sale combined with an exchange, or transfer of the parcel is not an "as-is" sale and close of escrow is contingent on satisfying a local governmental approval for entitlement or land use requirements, including compliance by the local government with certain provisions of CEQA, then the execution of the purchase and sale agreement or exchange agreement would be exempt from those provisions of CEQA. This bill contains other related provisions.

Organization: CARF

visitorcustom.xsl Page 8 of 8

SB 610 (Corbett) Gambling establishments: Santa Clara County. (I-02/27/2009 html pdf)

Introduced: 02/27/2009

Status: 03/02/2009-Read first time.

Dead/2YR 1st Desk 1st Policy 1st Fiscal 1st Floor 2nd Desk 2nd Policy 2nd Fiscal 2nd Floor Conf./Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered

Summary: Would provide that, notwithstanding the above provisions, a gambling establishment licensed by the commission and operating in the City of San Jose on January 1, 2010, may change its location within the County of Santa Clara by providing the commission with notice at least 3 months prior to that change. The bill would provide that these provisions do not supersede any local measure prohibiting or regulating gambling establishments.

Organization: CARF

Total rows: 32

DRAFT FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY

Two Percent Satellite Commission Raised to Three Percent

NOTE: This language adjusts the commission paid to satellite wagering facilities (also know as "location fees") from two percent to three percent, both for traditional totalisator wagers and for ADW wagers. It deletes the language that maintains the same take-out off-track and on-track.

19605.7. The total percentage deducted from wagers at satellite wagering facilities in the northern zone shall be distributed as set forth in this

section. Amounts deducted under this section shall be distributed as follows:

(a) For thoroughbred meetings, 1.3 percent of the amount handled by the satellite wagering facility on conventional and exotic wagers shall be distributed to the racing association for payment to the state as a license fee, 3 percent shall be distributed to the satellite wagering facility as a commission for the right to do business, as a franchise, and this commission is not for the use of any real property, 2.5 percent or the amount of actual operating expenses, as determined by the board, whichever is less, shall be distributed to an organization described in Section 19608.2, and 0.54 percent shall be deposited with the official registering agency pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 19617.2 and shall thereafter be distributed in accordance with subdivisions (b), (c) and (d) of Section 19617.2, and 0.033 percent distributed to the Center for Equine Health and 0.067 percent distributed to the California Animal Health and Food Safety Laboratory, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California at Davis. It is the intent of the Legislature that the 0.033 percent of funds distributed to the Center for Equine Health shall supplement, and not supplant, other funding sources.

(b) For harness, quarter horse, Appaloosa, Arabian, or mixed breed meetings, 0.4 percent of the amount handled by the satellite wagering facility on conventional and exotic wagers shall be distributed to the racing association for payment to the state as a license fee, for fair meetings, 1 percent of the amount handled by the satellite wagering facility on conventional and exotic wagers shall be distributed to the fair association for payment to the state as a license fee, 3 percent shall be distributed to the satellite wagering facility as a commission for the right to do business, as a franchise, and this commission is not for the use of any real property, and 6 percent of the amount handled by the satellite wagering facility or the amount of actual operating expenses, as determined by the board, whichever is less, shall be distributed to an organization described in Section 19608.2. In addition, in the case of quarter horses, 0.4 percent shall be deposited with the

Deleted: shall be the same as the ¶ deductions for wagers at the racetrack where the racing meeting is ¶ being conducted and

Deleted: 2

Deleted: 2

official registering agency pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 19617.7 and shall thereafter be distributed in accordance with subdivisions (c), (d), and (e) of Section 19617.7; in the case of Appaloosas, 0.4 percent shall be deposited with the official registering agency pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 19617.9 and shall thereafter be distributed in accordance with subdivisions (c), (d), and (e) of Section 19617.9; in the case of Arabians, 0.4 percent shall be held by the association to be deposited with the official registering agency pursuant to Section 19617.8, and shall thereafter be distributed in accordance with Section 19617.8; in the case of standardbreds, 0.4 percent shall be distributed for the California Standardbred Sires Stakes Program pursuant to Section 19619; in the case of thoroughbreds, 0.48 percent shall be deposited with the official registering agency pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 19617.2 and shall thereafter be distributed in accordance with subdivisions (b), (c), and (d) of Section 19617.2; and 0.033 percent shall be distributed to the Center for Equine Health and 0.067 percent shall be distributed to the California Animal Health and Food Safety Laboratory, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California at Davis. It is the intent of the Legislature that the 0.033 percent of funds distributed to the Center for Equine Health shall supplement, and not supplant, other funding sources.

- (c) In addition to the distributions specified in subdivision (a) and (b), for mixed breed meetings, 1 percent of the total amount handled by each satellite wagering facility shall be distributed to an organization described in Section 19608.2 for promotion of the program at satellite wagering facilities. For harness meetings, 0.5 percent of the total amount handled by each satellite wagering facility shall be distributed to an organization described in Section 19608.2 for the promotion of the program at satellite wagering facilities, and 0.5 percent of the total amount handled by each satellite wagering facility shall be distributed according to a written agreement for each race meeting between the licensed racing association and the organization representing the horsemen participating in the meeting. For quarter horse meetings 0.5 percent of the total amount handled by satellite wagering facility on races run in California shall be distributed to an organization described in Section 19608.2 for the promotion of the program at satellite wagering facilities, 0.5 percent of the total amount handled by each satellite wagering facility on out-of-state and out-of-country imported races shall be distributed to the official quarter horse registering agency for the purposes of Section 19617.75, and 0.5 percent of the total amount handled by each satellite wagering facility on all races shall be distributed according to a written agreement for each race meeting between the licensed racing association and the organization representing the horseman participating in the meeting.
- (d) Additionally, for thoroughbred, harness, quarter horse, mixed breed, and fair meetings, 0.33 percent of the total amount handled by each satellite wagering facility shall be paid to the city or county in which the satellite wagering facility is located pursuant to Section 19610.3 or 19610.4.
- (e) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a racing association is responsible for the payment of the state license fee as required by this section.

19605.71. The total percentage deducted from wagers at satellite wagering facilities in the central and southern zone shall be distributed as set

forth in this section. Amounts deducted by a satellite wagering facility under this section shall be distributed as follows:

(a) For thoroughbred meetings, 2 percent of the amount handled by the satellite wagering facility on conventional and exotic wagers shall be distributed to the racing association for payment to the state as a license fee, $\sqrt{3}$ percent shall be distributed to the satellite wagering facility as a commission for the right to do business, as a franchise, and this commission is not for the use of any real property, 2.5 percent or the amount of actual operating expenses, as determined by the board, whichever is less, shall be distributed to an organization described in Section 19608.2, and 0.54 percent shall be deposited with the official registering agency pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 19617.2 and shall thereafter be distributed in accordance with subdivisions (b), (c), and (d) of Section 19617.2, and 0.033 percent shall be distributed to the Center for Equine Health and 0.067 percent shall be distributed to the California Animal Health and Food Safety Laboratory, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California at Davis. It is the intent of the Legislature that the 0.033 percent of funds distributed to the Center for Equine Health shall supplement, and not supplant, other funding sources.

(b) For harness, quarter horse, Appaloosa, Arabian, or mixed breed meetings, 0.4 percent of the amount handled by the satellite wagering facility on conventional and exotic wagers shall be distributed to the racing association for payment to the state as a license fee, for fair meetings, 1 percent of the amount handled by the satellite wagering facility on conventional and exotic wagers shall be distributed to the racing association for payment to the state as a license fee, $\sqrt{3}$ percent shall be distributed to the satellite wagering facility as a commission for the right to do business, as a franchise, and this commission is not for the use of any real property, and 6 percent of the amount handled by the satellite wagering facility or the amount of actual operating expenses, as determined by the board, whichever is less, distributed to an organization described in Section 19608.2. In addition, in the case of quarter horses, 0.4 percent shall be deposited with the official registering agency pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 19617.7 and shall thereafter be distributed in accordance with subdivisions (c), (d), and (e) of Section 19617.7; in the case of Appaloosas, 0.4 percent shall be deposited with the official registering agency pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 19617.9 and shall thereafter be distributed in accordance with subdivisions (c), (d), and (e) of Section 19617.9; in the case of Arabians, 0.4 percent shall be held by the association to be deposited with the official registering agency, pursuant to Section 19617.8, and thereafter shall be distributed in accordance with Section 19617.8; in the case of standardbreds, 0.4 percent shall be distributed for the California Standardbred Sires Stakes Program pursuant to Section 19619; in the case of thoroughbreds, 0.48 percent shall be deposited with the official registering agency pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 19617.2 and shall thereafter be distributed in accordance with subdivisions (b), (c), and (d) of Section 19617.2; and 0.033 percent shall be distributed to the Center for Equine Health and

Deleted: shall be the¶
same as the percentage deducted
from wagers at the racetrack where¶
the racing meeting is being
conducted and

Deleted: 2

Deleted: 2

- 0.067 percent shall be distributed to the California Animal Health and Food Safety Laboratory, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California at Davis. It is the intent of the Legislature that the 0.033 percent of funds distributed to the Center for Equine Health shall supplement, and not supplant, other funding sources.
- (c) In addition, for Appaloosa and mixed breed meetings, 1 percent shall be distributed to an organization described in Section 19608.2 for promotion of the program at satellite wagering facilities. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, on wagers made in the Counties of Orange and Los Angeles on thoroughbred races conducted in the County of Orange or Los Angeles, or both, excluding the 50th District Agricultural Association, the amount deducted for promotion of the satellite wagering program at satellite wagering facilities shall be 0.5 percent. Any of the promotion funds that are not distributed in the year in which they are collected may be distributed in the following year. If promotion funds distributed in any year exceed the amount collected for that year, the funds distributed in the following year shall be reduced by the excess amount. For harness meetings, 0.5 percent of the total amount handled by each satellite wagering facility shall be distributed to an organization described in Section 19608.2 for the promotion of the program at satellite wagering facilities, and 0.5 percent of the total amount handled by each satellite wagering facility shall be distributed according to a written agreement for each race meeting between the licensed racing association and the organization representing the horsemen participating in the meeting. For quarter horse meetings 0.5 percent of the total amount handled by satellite wagering facility on races run in California shall be distributed to an organization described in Section 19608.2 for the promotion of the program at satellite wagering facilities, 0.5 percent of the total amount handled by each satellite wagering facility on out-of-state and out-of-country imported races shall be distributed to the official quarter horse registering agency for the purposes of Section 19617.75, and 0.5 percent of the total amount handled by each satellite wagering facility on all races shall be distributed according to a written agreement for each race meeting between the licensed racing association and the organization representing the horseman participating in the meeting.
- (d) Additionally, for thoroughbred, harness, quarter horse, mixed breed, and fair meetings, 0.33 percent of the total amount handled by the satellite wagering facility shall be paid to the city or county in which the satellite wagering facility is located pursuant to Section 19610.3 or 19610.4.
- (e) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a racing association is responsible for the payment of the state license fee as required by this section.

ADW 2%_LOCATION FEES ALSO ADJUSTED TO 3%

19604. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in addition to parimutual wagering otherwise authorized by this chapter, advance deposit wagering may be conducted upon approval of the board. The board may authorize any racing association or fair, during the calendar period it is licensed by the board to conduct a live racing

meeting in accordance with the provisions of Article 4 (commencing with Section 19480), to accept advance deposit wagers or to allow these wagers through a betting system or a multijurisdictional wagering hub in accordance with the following:

- (a) Racing associations and racing fairs may form a partnership, joint venture, or any other affiliation in order to further the purposes of this section.
- (b) As used in this section, "advance deposit wagering" means a form of parimutuel wagering in which a person residing within California or outside of this state establishes an account with a licensee, a board-approved betting system, or a board-approved multijurisdictional wagering hub located within California or outside of this state, and subsequently issues wagering instructions concerning the funds in this account, thereby authorizing the entity holding the account to place wagers on the account owner's behalf. An advance deposit wager may be made only by the entity holding the account pursuant to wagering instructions issued by the owner of the funds communicated by telephone call or through other electronic media. The licensee, a betting system, or a multijurisdictional wagering hub shall ensure the identification of the account's owner by utilizing methods and technologies approved by the board. Further, at the request of the board, any licensee, betting system, or multijurisdictional wagering hub located in California, and any betting system or multijurisdictional wagering hub located outside of this state that accepts wagering instructions concerning races conducted in California or accepts wagering instructions from California residents, shall provide a full accounting and verification of the source of the wagers thereby made, including the zone and breed, in the form of a daily download of parimutuel data to a database designated by the board. Additionally, when the board approves a licensee, a betting system, or a multijurisdictional wagering hub, whether located within California or outside of this state, to accept advance deposit wagering instructions on any race or races from California residents, the licensee, betting system, or multijurisdictional wagering hub may be compensated pursuant to a contractual agreement with a California licensee, in an amount not to exceed 6.5 percent of the amount handled on a race or races conducted in California, and in the case of a race or races conducted in another jurisdiction, may be compensated in an amount not to exceed 6.5 percent, plus a fee to be paid to the host racing association not to exceed 3.5 percent, of the amount handled on that race or races. The amount remaining after the payment of winning wagers and after payment of the contractual compensation and host fee, if any, shall be distributed as a market access fee in accordance with subdivision (g). As used in this section, "market access fee" means the contractual fee paid by a betting system or multijurisdictional wagering hub to the California licensee for access to the California market for wagering purposes. As used in this section, "licensee" means any racing association or fair, or affiliation thereof authorized in subdivision (a).
- (c) (1) The board shall develop and adopt rules to license and regulate all phases of operation of advance deposit wagering for licensees, betting systems, and multijurisdictional wagering hubs located in California. Betting systems and multijurisdictional wagering hubs located and operating in California shall be approved by the board prior to establishing advance deposit wagering accounts or accepting wagering instructions concerning those accounts and

shall enter into a written contractual agreement with the bona fide labor organization that has historically represented the same or similar classifications of employees at the nearest horse racing meeting. Permanent state or county employees and nonprofit organizations that have historically performed certain services at county, state, or district fairs may continue to provide those services, notwithstanding this requirement.

- (2) The board shall develop and adopt rules and regulations requiring betting systems and multijurisdictional wagering hubs to establish security access policies and safeguards, including, but not limited to, the following:
- (A) The betting system or wagering hub shall utilize the services of a board-approved independent third party to perform identity, residence, and age verification services with respect to persons establishing an advance deposit wagering account.
- (B) The betting system or wagering hub shall utilize personal identification numbers (PINs) and other technologies to assure that only the accountholder has access to the advance deposit wagering account.
- (C) The betting system or wagering hub shall provide for withdrawals from the wagering account only by means of a check made payable to the accountholder and sent to the address of the accountholder or by means of an electronic transfer to an account held by the verified accountholder or the accountholder may withdraw funds from the wagering account at a facility approved by the board by presenting verifiable personal and account identification information.
- (D) The betting system or wagering hub shall allow the board access to its premises to visit, investigate, and place expert accountants and other persons it deems necessary for the purpose of ensuring that its rules and regulations concerning credit authorization, account access, and other security provisions are strictly complied with.
- (3) The board shall prohibit advance deposit wagering advertising that it determines to be deceptive to the public. The board shall also require, by regulation, that every form of advertising contain a statement that minors are not allowed to open or have access to advance deposit wagering accounts.
- (d) As used in this section, a "multijurisdictional wagering hub" is a business conducted in more than one jurisdiction that facilitates parimutuel wagering on races it simulcasts and other races it offers in its wagering menu.
- (e) As used in this section, a "betting system" is a business conducted exclusively in this state that facilitates parimutual wagering on races it simulcasts and other races it offers in its wagering menu.
- (f) In order for a licensee, betting system, or multijurisdictional wagering hub to be approved by the board to conduct advance deposit wagering, it shall meet both of the following requirements:
- (1) All wagers thereby made shall be included in the appropriate parimutuel pool of the host racing association or fair under a contractual agreement with the applicable California licensee, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter.
- (2) The amounts deducted from advance deposit wagers shall be in accordance with the provisions of this chapter.
 - (g) The amount received as a market access fee from advance

deposit wagers, which shall not be considered for purposes of Section 19616.51, shall be distributed as follows:

- (1) An amount equal to 0.0011 multiplied by the amount handled on advance deposit wagers originating in California for each racing meeting shall be distributed to the Center for Equine Health to establish the Kenneth L. Maddy Fund for the benefit of the School of Veterinary Medicine at the University of California at Davis.
- (2) An amount equal to 0.0003 multiplied by the amount handled on advance deposit wagers originating in California for each racing meeting shall be distributed to the Department of Industrial Relations to cover costs associated with audits conducted pursuant to Section 19526 and for the purposes of reimbursing the State Mediation and Conciliation Service for costs incurred pursuant to this bill. However, if that amount would exceed the costs of the Department of Industrial Relations, the amount distributed to the department shall be reduced, and that reduction shall be forwarded to an organization designated by the racing association or fair described in subdivision (a) for the purpose of augmenting a compulsive gambling prevention program specifically addressing that problem.
- (3) An amount equal to 0.00165 multiplied by the amount handled on advance deposit wagers that originate in California for each racing meeting shall be distributed as follows:
- (A) One-half of the amount shall be distributed to supplement the trainer-administered pension plans for backstretch personnel established pursuant to Section 19613. Moneys distributed pursuant to this subparagraph shall supplement, and not supplant, moneys distributed to that fund pursuant to Section 19613 or any other provision of law.
- (B) One-half of the amount shall be distributed to the welfare fund established for the benefit of horsemen and backstretch personnel pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 19641. Moneys distributed pursuant to this subparagraph shall supplement, and not supplant, moneys distributed to that fund pursuant to Section 19641 or any other provision of law.

(4) With respect to wagers on each breed of racing that originate
in California, an amount equal to $ullet$ 3 percent of the first two hundred
fifty million dollars (\$250,000,000) of handle from all advance
deposit wagers originating from within California annually, an amount
equal to 2.5 percent of the next two hundred fifty million dollars
(\$250,000,000) of handle from all advance deposit wagers originating
from within California annually, and an amount equal to 💂 2 percent of
handle from all advance deposit wagers originating from within
California in excess of five hundred million dollars (\$500,000,000)
annually, shall be distributed as satellite wagering commissions. The
satellite wagering facility commissions calculated in accordance
with this subdivision shall be distributed to each satellite wagering
facility and racing association or fair in the zone in which the
wager originated in the same relative proportions that the satellite
wagering facility or the racing association or fair generated
satellite commissions during the previous calendar year. In the event
of a reduction in the satellite wagering commissions, pursuant to
this section, the benefits therefrom shall be distributed equitably
as purses and commissions to all associations and racing fairs
generating advance deposit wagers in proportion to the handle
generated by those associations and racing fairs. For purposes of
this section, the purse funds distributed pursuant to Section

Deleted: 1.5

Deleted: 1

19605.72 shall be considered to be satellite wagering facility commissions attributable to thoroughbred races at the locations described in that section.

Korby January 16, 2009 DRAFT-For Internal Use Only Korby—January 16, 2009

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS: Section 19605.74 is hereby added to the Business and Professions Code:

19605.74 (a) The Legislature finds and declares that the existence of high caliber thoroughbred racing in California is important to this state's agricultural economy and a source of employment for thousands of Californians. The California horse racing industry is being threatened by the potential closure of one or more thoroughbred racing venues with a consequent shortage of facilities at which thoroughbred race horses can race, stable and train. It is the intent of the Legislature to establish a fund to be utilized for the financing of capital improvements, for the acquisition of real property and for the development of infrastructure required for the racing, stabling and training of thoroughbred race horses in Northern California through the redistribution of the parimutuel handle on all exotic wagers made on thoroughbred races and emerging breed races run in the Northern Zone. This section shall be known as the California Racing Infrastructure Development and Economic Stimulus Act of 2009.

- (b) Notwithstanding Section 19610, every thoroughbred association or fair that conducts a racing meet in the Northern Zone shall deduct an additional amount not to exceed two percent of the total amount handled in all parimutuel pools of exotic wagers on thoroughbred races or on emerging breed races at fairs to be used for the purposes set out in subdivision (a). The amount initially deducted and distributed pursuant to this section shall be two percent of the total amount handled in all parimutuel pools of exotic wagers on thoroughbred races or emerging breed races at Fairs run in the Northern Zone, but that allocation may be adjusted by the board, except that any adjustment by the board shall ensure funds sufficient to meet the intent of subdivisions (f)(3) and (f)(4) herein. In any event, however, the adjusted amount may not exceed two percent of the amount handled in all parimutuel pools of exotic wagers on thoroughbred races or emerging breed races run in the Northern zone, to finance capital improvements, to acquire real property or to develop infrastructure required for the racing, stabling and training of thoroughbred race horses in the Northern Zone.
- (c) The funds collected pursuant to subdivision (b) from all parimutuel pools on exotic wagers on thoroughbred races or emerging breed races at fairs arising out of wagering activities within the inclosure of a thoroughbred association or fair conducting a race meeting, at all satellite wagering facilities within this state, and through advance deposit wagering by residents of this state, shall be distributed to the organization described in subdivision (e) to be used in accordance with subdivision (f).
- (d) Any thoroughbred association or fair that authorizes a betting system located outside of this state to accept wagers on its races and to combine those wagers in the association's or fair's exotic wagers parimutuel pools, including, but not limited to, a multijurisdictional wagering hub as to wagers made by residents other than those of this state, shall deduct the amount specified in subdivision (b) in addition to any other

Comment [CC1]: Language modified from 19607 ff, the sections that set up the Stabling Funds North and South. Suggesting that the mechanism we use for adjusting the percentage be analogous to that already set out in 19607 for the Stabling Funds.

applicable deductions specified in law. The amount deducted pursuant to this subdivision shall be distributed to the organization described in subdivision (e) to be used in accordance with subdivision (f). This additional deduction shall not be included in the amount on which license fees are determined pursuant to Section 19602 or Section 19616.51.

- (e) The organization shall be the California Authority of Racing Fairs, or its successor, a joint powers agency formed pursuant to Chapter 5 of Division 7 of Title 1 of the Government Code. The organization shall account annually to the California Horse Racing Board with respect to the expenditure and distribution of funds received by the organization pursuant to subdivisions (c) and (d), and shall obtain an independent audit of fund generation and distribution. A copy of the completed audit shall be forwarded to the California Horse Racing Board within 45 days of its receipt by the organization.
- (f) (1) The amount distributed to the organization described in subdivision (e) shall be deposited by that organization in separate accounts and such funds and any interest thereon shall be expended for the financing of such capital improvements as are deemed necessary and appropriate in the Northern zone by the organization described in Section 19607.2 to provide adequate stabling training and related facilities for thoroughbred horse racing in the Northern zone
- (f) (2) Any financing plan or proposal shall be submitted to and approved by the organization described in subdivision (e) prior to any expenditure of funds, pursuant to this subsection. However, such approval may be withheld solely on the basis that funds generated pursuant to subdivision (b) are not sufficient for repayment of debt to finance the improvements determined by the joint resolution described in this subdivision.
- (f) (3) Such approval by such organization may provide for the payment of such amounts to one or more joint powers agencies for the repayment of the principal of, interest on, and costs of issuance of, and as security, including any coverage factor, pledged to the payment of, bonds issued or to be issued by such joint powers agency or other debt service or expense, including repayment of any advances made or security required by any provider of credit enhancement or liquidity for those bonds or other indebtedness or expenses of maintaining that credit enhancement or liquidity, incurred for the purpose of constructing or acquiring improvements or the purchase of real property to provide adequate facilities for racing, stabling and training thoroughbred race horses at fairgrounds in the Northern Zone or for the purpose of refunding bonds or other indebtedness incurred for those purposes.
- (f) (4) As used in this subsection (f) "coverage factor" means revenues in excess of the amount necessary to pay debt service on the bonds or other indebtedness, up to an amount equal to 100 per cent more than the amount of that debt service, which such joint powers agency, pursuant to the resolution of indenture under which the bonds or other indebtedness are or will be issued, pledges as additional security for the payment of that debt service or as is required to have or maintain as a condition to the issuance of additional bonds or other indebtedness.

Comment [CC2]: Decision on allocations made by Northern Stabling and Vanning Committee.

Comment [CC3]: Northern Stabling and Vanning

Comment [CC4]: INTENT: The JPA may deny improvements recommended by Northern Stabling Committee ONLY IF the revenue is not sufficient to service the debt. The decision-making authority to determine actual, specific improvements is vested in the Northern Stabling Committee.

- (g) It is the intent of the Legislature in enacting this section to provide the revenues necessary for the financing by one or more joint powers agencies of the facilities and improvements described in subparagraph (f)(1) and (f)(3)of this section all of which shall be deemed to be public capital improvements within the meaning of Article 4 (commencing with section 6584) of Chapter 5 of Division 7 of Title 1 of the Government Code. Payments described in paragraph (f)(1) of this section shall continue through whatever period of time is necessary to repay any borrowings of joint powers funding mechanism, including, but not limited to, retirement of bonded indebtedness, loan repayments, and monthly payments involving lease-purchase programs made by a joint powers agency to finance the improvements referenced in subparagraph (f)(1) of this section.
- (h) The State of California does hereby pledge to the holders of any bonds or other indebtedness, and with those joint powers agencies which may enter into agreements with fairs or other third parties or authorize bonds or other indebtedness to be issued, in reliance on the allocations set forth in this section, that the State will not alter or change the structure of funding and deposits set forth in, or the pledge of funds for debt service, security, including any coverage factors, and expenses, pursuant to this section until the bonds and other indebtedness are fully paid or discharged. However nothing precludes any alteration or change, if and when, adequate provision has been made by law for the payment of debt service on the bonds and other indebtedness, and the right to so alter or change is hereby reserved. Joint powers agencies may include this pledge and undertaking of the State in the bonds or agreements evidencing other indebtedness.
- (i) The approval described in (f)(3) shall provide for the disbursement to the organization described in Section 19607.2 of any funds over and above those necessary to accomplish the financing of improvements referred to subsection (f)(1) and (f)(3) including any required coverage factor and reserves. The organization described in Section 19607.2 shall thereafter annually disburse the total amount so received solely for any or all of the following purposes: the amount, if any, required to insure that stabling and vanning funds provided for in section 19607.1 of this code are sufficient to cover the expenses of vanning and stabling in the northern zone; and alternatively or additionally; to pay down the principal on any bonded indebtedness incurred to provide and maintain adequate racing, stabling and training facilities, including the purchase of real property, in the northern zone, and, additionally or alternately, to supplement thoroughbred purses paid in the northern zone; to promote and market thoroughbred racing in the northern zone, which purpose may include supplemental additions to parimutuel pools in order to promote racing events in the northern zone.

Comment [CC5]: Northern Stabling and Vanning Committee

Section 19606.1 is amended as follows:

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

19606.1. (a) Except as otherwise provided in Section 19606.3, all revenues distributed to the state as license fees from satellite wagering facilities shall be deposited in a separate account in the fund and, notwithstanding Section 13340 of the Government Code, are continuously appropriated from that account to the Department of Food

and Agriculture, for allocation by the Secretary of Food and Agriculture, at his or her discretion, for the purposes set forth in paragraphs (1) to (6), inclusive. The concurrence of the Director of Finance shall be required for allocations pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (2). Allocations pursuant to paragraphs (3) to (6), inclusive, shall be made with the concurrence of the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification.

(1) For the repayment of the principal of, interest on, and costs of issuance of, and as security, including any coverage factor, pledged to the payment of, bonds issued or to be issued by a joint powers agency or other debt service or expense, including repayment of any advances made or security required by any provider of credit enhancement or liquidity for those bonds or other indebtedness or expenses of maintaining that credit enhancement or liquidity, incurred for the purpose of constructing or acquiring improvements at

a fair's racetrack inclosure, a fair's race track grandstand, a fair's race track surface, a

Formatted: Font: Bold, Italic

fair's race track barn and stable area, satellite wagering facilities at fairs, health and safety repair projects, or handicapped access compliance projects at fairs or for the purpose of refunding bonds or other indebtedness incurred for those purposes. As used in this paragraph, "coverage factor" means revenues in excess of the amount necessary to pay debt service on the bonds or other indebtedness, up to an amount equal to 100 percent more than the amount of that debt service, which a joint powers agency, pursuant to the resolution or indenture under which the bonds or other indebtedness are or will be issued, pledges as additional security for the payment of that debt service or is required to have or maintain as a condition to the issuance of additional bonds or other indebtedness. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the department may also commit any funds available for allocation under Article 10 (commencing with Section 19620) to complete projects funded under this paragraph in the priority described in this paragraph.

- (2) For payment to the State Race Track Leasing Commission to be pledged for the repayment of debt necessary to construct a racetrack grandstand at the 22nd District Agricultural Association fairgrounds. This payment shall be made only if the Secretary of Food and Agriculture determines, annually, that all other pledged revenues have been applied to the repayment of that debt and have been determined by the secretary to be inadequate for that purpose.
- (3) For the payment of expenses incurred in establishing and operating satellite wagering facilities at fairs.
- (4) For the support of an equipment and operating fund to produce and display a consolidated California signal at satellite wagering facilities and fairs.
- (5) For health and safety repair projects at fairs, which includes fire and life safety improvement projects, California Code of

Regulations compliance projects, and long-term deferred maintenance projects.

- (6) For the development and payment of revenue generating projects, the establishment of pilot projects to restructure the current fair system, and for projects realizing a cost savings for more efficient utilization of existing fair resources.
- (b) The Secretary of Food and Agriculture may not make an allocation for purposes of paragraphs (2) to (6), inclusive, of subdivision (a) until the payments required in any fiscal year pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) have been funded.
- (c) Pursuant to subdivision (a), the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification shall review and concur, or not concur, with the secretary's determination of the allocations to be made pursuant to paragraphs (3) to (6), inclusive, of subdivision (a) in total, and the committee may not add to, or delete projects or line items from, the proposed allocations.
- (d) Approval of the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification is deemed complete when one of the following conditions is met:
- (1) The annual budget act is enacted.
- (2) If the secretary's recommendations are received by the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification after the enactment of the annual budget act, the recommendations shall be deemed approved 30 days after they are received unless they are rejected by the committee.
- (e) If the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification does not concur with the secretary's recommendations, the secretary may submit another set of recommendations to the committee pursuant to this section.
- (f) The payments required in any fiscal year for the purposes of paragraphs (1) to (3), inclusive, of subdivision (a) shall be made before any transfer is made pursuant to subdivision (g).
- (g) Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (f), when the revenues deposited in the separate account exceed eleven million dollars (\$11,000,000) in any fiscal year, 98 percent of the amount in excess of eleven million dollars (\$11,000,000) shall be transferred to the Fair and Exposition Fund for allocation in accordance with Sections 19620.1 and 19630.
- (h) All of the costs of administering the accounts created by subdivision (a) and Section 19606.3 shall be charged to the respective accounts.

2009-10 SECOND EXTRAORDINARY SESSION AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL NO. 16

Amendment 1 In line 1 of the heading, strike out "Ducheny" and insert:

Ashburn

Amendment 2

Below line 1 of the heading, insert:

(Principal coauthor: Assembly Member Galgiani)

Amendment 3

Strike out line 1 of the title and insert:

An act to amend Sections 19606.1, 19606.3, and 19620.1 of, to add Section 19620.2 to, and to repeal and add Section 19616.51 of, the Business and Professions Code, relating to horse racing, and making an appropriation therefor.

Amendment 4

On page 1, before line 1, insert:

SECTION 1. Section 19606.1 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:

- 19606.1. (a) Except as otherwise provided in Section 19606.3, all revenues distributed to the state as license fees from satellite wagering facilities. All revenues transferred pursuant to Section 19620.2 shall be deposited in a separate account in the fund and, notwithstanding Section 13340 of the Government Code, are continuously appropriated from that account to the Department of Food and Agriculture, for allocation by the Secretary of Food and Agriculture, at his or her discretion, for the purposes set forth in paragraphs (1) to (6), inclusive. The concurrence of the Director of Finance shall be required for allocations pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (2). Allocations pursuant to paragraphs (3) to (6), inclusive, shall be made with the concurrence of the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification.
- (1) For the repayment of the principal of, interest on, and costs of issuance of, and as security, including any coverage factor, pledged to the payment of, bonds issued or to be issued by a joint powers agency or other debt service or expense, including repayment of any advances made or security required by any provider of credit enhancement or liquidity for those bonds or other indebtedness or expenses of maintaining that credit enhancement or liquidity, incurred for the purpose of constructing or acquiring improvements at a fair's racetrack inclosure, satellite wagering facilities at fairs, health and safety repair projects, or handicapped access compliance projects



at fairs or for the purpose of refunding bonds or other indebtedness incurred for those purposes. As used in this paragraph, "coverage factor" means revenues in excess of the amount necessary to pay debt service on the bonds or other indebtedness, up to an amount equal to 100 percent more than the amount of that debt service, which a joint powers agency, pursuant to the resolution or indenture under which the bonds or other indebtedness are or will be issued, pledges as additional security for the payment of that debt service or is required to have or maintain as a condition to the issuance of additional bonds or other indebtedness. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the department may also commit any funds available for allocation under Article 10 (commencing with Section 19620) to complete projects funded under this paragraph in the priority described in this paragraph.

(2) For payment to the State Race Track Leasing Commission to be pledged for the repayment of debt necessary to construct a racetrack grandstand at the 22nd District Agricultural Association fairgrounds. This payment shall be made only if the Secretary of Food and Agriculture determines, annually, that all other pledged revenues have been applied to the repayment of that debt and have been determined by the secretary

to be inadequate for that purpose.

(3) For the payment of expenses incurred in establishing and operating satellite wagering facilities at fairs.

(4) For the support of an equipment and operating fund to produce and display

a consolidated California signal at satellite wagering facilities and fairs.

(5) For health and safety repair projects at fairs, which includes fire and life safety improvement projects, California Code of Regulations compliance projects, and long-term deferred maintenance projects.

(6) For the development and payment of revenue generating projects, the establishment of pilot projects to restructure the current fair system, and for projects realizing a cost savings for more efficient utilization of existing fair resources.

- (b) The Secretary of Food and Agriculture may not make an allocation for purposes of paragraphs (2) to (6), inclusive, of subdivision (a) until the payments required in any fiscal year pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) have been funded.
- (c) Pursuant to subdivision (a), the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification shall review and concur, or not concur, with the secretary's determination of the allocations to be made pursuant to paragraphs (3) to (6), inclusive, of subdivision (a) in total, and the committee may not add to, or delete projects or line items from, the proposed allocations.
- (d) Approval of the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification is deemed complete when one of the following conditions is met:

(1) The annual budget act is enacted.

- (2) If the secretary's recommendations are received by the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification after the enactment of the annual budget act, the recommendations shall be deemed approved 30 days after they are received unless they are rejected by the committee.
- (e) If the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification does not concur with the secretary's recommendations, the secretary may submit another set of recommendations to the committee pursuant to this section.

- (f) The payments required in any fiscal year for the purposes of paragraphs (1) to (3), inclusive, of subdivision (a) shall be made before any transfer is made pursuant to subdivision (g).
- (g) Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (f), when the revenues deposited in the separate account exceed eleven million dollars (\$11,000,000) in any fiscal year, 98 percent of the amount in excess of eleven million dollars (\$11,000,000) shall be transferred to the Fair and Exposition Fund for allocation in accordance with Sections 19620.1 and 19630.
- (h) All of the costs of administering the accounts created by subdivision (a) and Section 19606.3 shall be charged to the respective accounts.
- SEC. 2. Section 19606.3 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:
- 19606.3. The first one million one hundred thousand dollars (\$1,100,000) of all-revenues distributed to racing associations for payment to the state as license fees funds for distribution as purses generated at satellite wagering facilities statewide from wagering on thoroughbred horse racing shall be deposited in a special account in the fund and, notwithstanding Section 13340 of the Government Code, are hereby continuously appropriated to the Department of Food and Agriculture for supplementing purses at fair meetings to achieve the purposes of Section 19606.4.
- SEC. 3. Section 19616.51 of the Business and Professions Code is repealed. 19616.51. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if the total amount paid to the state as license fees by racing associations and fairs pursuant to this chapter is less than forty million dollars (\$40,000,000) in any calendar year, beginning January 1, 2001, and thereafter, all associations and fairs that conducted live racing during the year of the shortfall shall remit to the state, on a pro rata basis according to the amount paid as license fees by each association or fair, the amount necessary to bring the total amount paid to the state as license fees to forty million dollars (\$40,000,000). The amounts due under this section, if any, shall be paid from the amount available for commissions, purses, and breeder awards, and shall be paid to the board prior to March 1 of the year following the year of the shortfall.
- SEC. 4. Section 19616.51 is added to the Business and Professions Code, to read:
- 19616.51. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and in lieu of any license fee payable to the state prescribed for or referred to in Section 19491, 19491.5, 19596.3, 19601, 19601.2, 19602, 19603, 19604, 19605.25, 19605.35, 19605.45, 19605.6, 19605.7, 19605.71, 19606.5, 19606.6, 19610.8, 19611, 19612, 19614, 19616, 19616.1, 19616.2, or 19641, any association or fair that conducts a racing meeting shall only pay a license fee to the state to fund the board and the equine drug testing program as follows:
- (1) All racing associations and fairs including all breeds of racing shall participate in the funding of the board in accordance with a formula devised by the board in consultation with the industry.
- (2) The baseline funding for the board and equine drug testing program in the first fiscal year after the enactment of this section shall be the amount approved in the 2008–09 Budget Act.
- (3) Adjustments to the funding in subsequent budget years may only be made by an act of the Legislature.

- (b) The license fee reductions resulting from subdivision (a), after payments to fund the board and the equine drug testing program, shall be distributed as follows:
- (1) For thoroughbred racing only, 3 percent of the amount of the reduction shall be deposited with the official registering agency pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 19617.2, and shall thereafter be distributed in accordance with subdivisions (b), (c), and (d) of Section 19617.2. The remaining amount shall be distributed to the association that conducts the racing meeting and to horsemen participating in that racing meeting as follows:
 - (A) Fifty percent to the association as commissions.

(B) Fifty percent to the horsemen as purses.

- (2) For quarter horse racing, 2.5 percent of the amount of the reduction shall be deposited with the official registering agency pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 19617.7, and shall thereafter be distributed in accordance with subdivisions (c), (d), (e), and (f) of Section 19617.7, the remaining amount shall be distributed to the association that conducts the racing meeting and to horsemen participating in that racing meeting as follows:
 - (A) Fifty percent to the association as commissions.

(B) Fifty percent to the horsemen as purses.

- (3) For harness racing, 6 percent of the amount of the reduction shall be distributed as specified in Section 19617.6, the remaining amount shall be distributed to the association that conducts the racing meeting and to horsemen participating in that racing meeting as follows:
 - (A) Fifty percent to the association as commissions.

(B) Fifty percent to the horsemen as purses.

- (4) For all other breeds, the remaining amount shall be distributed to the association that conducts the racing meeting and to horsemen participating in that racing meeting as follows:
 - (A) Fifty percent to the association as commissions.

(B) Fifty percent to the horsemen as purses.

- SEC. 5. Section 19620.1 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:
- 19620.1. (a) From the total revenue received by the board, including revenues transferred from the Satellite Wagering Account pursuant to subdivision (g) of Section 19606.1, but excluding money received pursuant to Sections 19640 and 19641, the sum of two hundred sixty-five thousand dollars (\$265,000) plus an amount equal to of 1 percent of the gross amount of money handled in the annual parimutuel pool generated within this state, or the maximum amount received by the state from the parimutuel pool of a racing meeting held in this state, whichever is less, shall be paid into the State Treasury to the credit of the Fair and Exposition Fund. If the revenues paid into the Fair and Exposition Fund under this section are in excess of thirteen million dollars (\$13,000,000) in any fiscal year, one-half of the amount in excess of the thirteen million dollars (\$13,000,000) shall be transferred to the General Fund.
- (b) From the total revenue received by the board, exclusive of money received pursuant to Sections 19640 and 19641, and in addition to the funds paid into the State Treasury to the credit of the Fair and Exposition Fund as specified in subdivision (a), the Legislature shall annually appropriate and the board shall deposit to the credit of

the Fair and Exposition Fund, such sums as it deems necessary for the following purposes:

- (1) For the support of the board, including any costs and expenses incurred by the Attorney General in the enforcement of this chapter as shall be authorized by the board, including, compensation including any fringe benefits paid to stewards and to the official veterinarian, and an amount not less than the amount expended in the 1994–95 fiscal year for the costs of laboratory testing related to horse racing pursuant to Section 19580.
- (2) To the Department of Food and Agriculture for the oversight of the network of California fairs receiving money from the fund.
- (3) To the Department of Food and Agriculture for the contributions, or the cost of benefits in lieu of contributions, payable to the Unemployment Fund by the network of California fairs receiving funds pursuant to this article, as a result of unemployment insurance coverage pursuant to Section 605 of the Unemployment Insurance Code.

(4) To the Department of Food and Agriculture for the auditing of all district

agricultural association fairs, county fairs, and citrus fruit fairs.

- SEC. 6. Section 19620.2 is added to the Business and Professions Code, to read:
- 19620.2. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, on July 1, 2009, and on each July 1 thereafter, 32 million dollars (\$32,000,000) shall be transferred from the General Fund and paid into the State Treasury to the credit of the separate account of the Fair and Exposition Fund specified in Section 19606.1 and shall be continuously appropriated for allocation as specified in that section and Section 19620.1 only for the financial support of the network of California fairs.

SEC. 7. This act shall become effective on July 1, 2009.

Amendment 5

On page 1, strike out lines 1 and 2

Senate Bill No. 16

CHAPTER 12

An act to amend Sections 19606.1, 19606.3, and 19620.1 of, to add Section 19620.2 to, and to repeal and add Section 19616.51 of, the Business and Professions Code, relating to horse racing, and making an appropriation therefor.

[Approved by Governor February 20, 2009. Filed with Secretary of State February 20, 2009.]

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 16, Ashburn. Horse racing: license fees.

Existing law, the Horse Racing Law, provides that if the total amount paid to the state as license fees by racing associations and fairs is less than \$40,000,000 in any calendar year, all associations and fairs that conducted live racing during the year of the shortfall shall remit to the state, on a pro rata basis according to the amount paid as license fees by each association or fair, the amount necessary to bring the total amount paid to the state as license fees to \$40,000,000.

This bill would delete those provisions and instead provide that, notwithstanding any other provision of law and in lieu of any license fee payable to the state prescribed for or referred to in specified provisions of the Horse Racing Law, any association or fair that conducts a racing meeting shall pay a license fee to fund the California Horse Racing Board and the equine drug testing program in accordance with a formula devised by the board in consultation with the industry, as provided. The bill would provide that the license fee reductions resulting from these provisions, after payments to fund the board and the equine drug testing program, shall be distributed as specified for thoroughbred racing, quarter horse racing, harness racing, and all other breeds.

Existing law provides that revenues from satellite wagering are deposited in a separate account in the Fair and Exposition Fund and that, if those revenues exceed \$11,000,000 in a fiscal year, 98 percent of the excess is transferred to the Fair and Exposition Fund for specified allocations. Existing law also provides that, after the Fair and Exposition Fund receives more than \$13,000,000 in a fiscal year, half of the excess is transferred to the General Fund.

This bill would require, on July 1, 2009, and each July 1 thereafter, the transfer of \$32,000,000 from the General Fund to be paid into the State Treasury to the credit of the separate account of the Fair and Exposition Fund created for satellite wagering revenues, and would continuously appropriate those moneys for allocation for the financial support of the network of California fairs. The bill would require that all of the amount in

2

Ch. 12 -2-

excess of \$11,000,000 be transferred to the Fair and Exposition Fund for allocation as specified in existing law, except that no part of this transferred money would be further transferred to the General Fund.

Existing law requires the first \$1,100,000 of all revenues distributed to racing associations for payment to the state as license fees to be deposited in a special account in the Fair and Exposition Fund and continuously appropriated to the Department of Food and Agriculture for supplementing purses at fair meetings to achieve certain specified purposes.

This bill would instead require the first \$1,100,000 of all funds for distribution as purses generated at satellite wagering facilities statewide from wagering on thoroughbred horse racing to be deposited in that special account for those purposes.

Under existing law, revenues distributed to the state as license fees from horse racing are required to be deposited in the Fair and Exposition Fund and are continuously appropriated to the Department of Food and Agriculture for various regulatory and general governmental purposes.

Because this bill would require that General Fund moneys be deposited into, and distributed from, that fund, the bill would make an appropriation.

This bill would become effective on July 1, 2009.

Appropriation: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 19606.1 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:

- 19606.1. (a) All revenues transferred pursuant to Section 19620.2 shall be deposited in a separate account in the fund and, notwithstanding Section 13340 of the Government Code, are continuously appropriated from that account to the Department of Food and Agriculture, for allocation by the Secretary of Food and Agriculture, at his or her discretion, for the purposes set forth in paragraphs (1) to (6), inclusive. The concurrence of the Director of Finance shall be required for allocations pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (2). Allocations pursuant to paragraphs (3) to (6), inclusive, shall be made with the concurrence of the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification.
- (1) For the repayment of the principal of, interest on, and costs of issuance of, and as security, including any coverage factor, pledged to the payment of, bonds issued or to be issued by a joint powers agency or other debt service or expense, including repayment of any advances made or security required by any provider of credit enhancement or liquidity for those bonds or other indebtedness or expenses of maintaining that credit enhancement or liquidity, incurred for the purpose of constructing or acquiring improvements at a fair's racetrack inclosure, satellite wagering facilities at fairs, health and safety repair projects, or handicapped access compliance projects at fairs or for the purpose of refunding bonds or other indebtedness incurred for those purposes. As used in this paragraph, "coverage factor"

—3— Ch. 12

means revenues in excess of the amount necessary to pay debt service on the bonds or other indebtedness, up to an amount equal to 100 percent more than the amount of that debt service, which a joint powers agency, pursuant to the resolution or indenture under which the bonds or other indebtedness are or will be issued, pledges as additional security for the payment of that debt service or is required to have or maintain as a condition to the issuance of additional bonds or other indebtedness. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the department may also commit any funds available for allocation under Article 10 (commencing with Section 19620) to complete projects funded under this paragraph in the priority described in this paragraph.

- (2) For payment to the State Race Track Leasing Commission to be pledged for the repayment of debt necessary to construct a racetrack grandstand at the 22nd District Agricultural Association fairgrounds. This payment shall be made only if the Secretary of Food and Agriculture determines, annually, that all other pledged revenues have been applied to the repayment of that debt and have been determined by the secretary to be inadequate for that purpose.
- (3) For the payment of expenses incurred in establishing and operating satellite wagering facilities at fairs.
- (4) For the support of an equipment and operating fund to produce and display a consolidated California signal at satellite wagering facilities and fairs.
- (5) For health and safety repair projects at fairs, which includes fire and life safety improvement projects, California Code of Regulations compliance projects, and long-term deferred maintenance projects.
- (6) For the development and payment of revenue generating projects, the establishment of pilot projects to restructure the current fair system, and for projects realizing a cost savings for more efficient utilization of existing fair resources.
- (b) The Secretary of Food and Agriculture may not make an allocation for purposes of paragraphs (2) to (6), inclusive, of subdivision (a) until the payments required in any fiscal year pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) have been funded.
- (c) Pursuant to subdivision (a), the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification shall review and concur, or not concur, with the secretary's determination of the allocations to be made pursuant to paragraphs (3) to (6), inclusive, of subdivision (a) in total, and the committee may not add to, or delete projects or line items from, the proposed allocations.
- (d) Approval of the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification is deemed complete when one of the following conditions is met:
 - (1) The annual budget act is enacted.
- (2) If the secretary's recommendations are received by the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification after the enactment of the annual budget act, the recommendations shall be deemed approved 30 days after they are received unless they are rejected by the committee.

Ch. 12 — 4 —

- (e) If the Joint Committee on Fairs Allocation and Classification does not concur with the secretary's recommendations, the secretary may submit another set of recommendations to the committee pursuant to this section.
- (f) The payments required in any fiscal year for the purposes of paragraphs (1) to (3), inclusive, of subdivision (a) shall be made before any transfer is made pursuant to subdivision (g).
- (g) Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (f), when the revenues deposited in the separate account exceed eleven million dollars (\$11,000,000) in any fiscal year, the amount in excess of eleven million dollars (\$11,000,000) shall be transferred to the Fair and Exposition Fund for allocation in accordance with Sections 19620.1 and 19630.
- (h) All of the costs of administering the accounts created by subdivision (a) and Section 19606.3 shall be charged to the respective accounts.
- SEC. 2. Section 19606.3 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:
- 19606.3. The first one million one hundred thousand dollars (\$1,100,000) of all funds for distribution as purses generated at satellite wagering facilities statewide from wagering on thoroughbred horse racing shall be deposited in a special account in the fund and, notwithstanding Section 13340 of the Government Code, are hereby continuously appropriated to the Department of Food and Agriculture for supplementing purses at fair meetings to achieve the purposes of Section 19606.4.
- SEC. 3. Section 19616.51 of the Business and Professions Code is repealed.
- SEC. 4. Section 19616.51 is added to the Business and Professions Code, to read:
- 19616.51. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and in lieu of any license fee payable to the state prescribed for or referred to in Section 19491, 19491.5, 19596.3, 19601, 19601.2, 19602, 19603, 19604, 19605.25, 19605.35, 19605.45, 19605.6, 19605.7, 19605.71, 19606.5, 19606.6, 19610.8, 19611, 19612, 19614, 19616, 19616.1, 19616.2, or 19641, any association or fair that conducts a racing meeting shall only pay a license fee to the state to fund the board and the equine drug testing program as follows:
- (1) All racing associations and fairs including all breeds of racing shall participate in the funding of the board in accordance with a formula devised by the board in consultation with the industry.
- (2) The baseline funding for the board and equine drug testing program in the first fiscal year after the enactment of this section shall be the amount approved in the 2008–09 Budget Act.
- (3) Adjustments to the funding in subsequent budget years may only be made by an act of the Legislature.
- (b) The license fee reductions resulting from subdivision (a), after payments to fund the board and the equine drug testing program, shall be distributed as follows:
- (1) For thoroughbred racing only, 3 percent of the amount of the reduction shall be deposited with the official registering agency pursuant to subdivision

5 Ch. 12

- (a) of Section 19617.2, and shall thereafter be distributed in accordance with subdivisions (b), (c), and (d) of Section 19617.2. The remaining amount shall be distributed to the association that conducts the racing meeting and to horsemen participating in that racing meeting as follows:
 - (A) Fifty percent to the association as commissions.
 - (B) Fifty percent to the horsemen as purses.
- (2) For quarter horse racing, 2.5 percent of the amount of the reduction shall be deposited with the official registering agency pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 19617.7, and shall thereafter be distributed in accordance with subdivisions (c), (d), (e), and (f) of Section 19617.7, the remaining amount shall be distributed to the association that conducts the racing meeting and to horsemen participating in that racing meeting as follows:
 - (A) Fifty percent to the association as commissions.
 - (B) Fifty percent to the horsemen as purses.
- (3) For harness racing, 6 percent of the amount of the reduction shall be distributed as specified in Section 19617.6, the remaining amount shall be distributed to the association that conducts the racing meeting and to horsemen participating in that racing meeting as follows:
 - (A) Fifty percent to the association as commissions.
 - (B) Fifty percent to the horsemen as purses.
- (4) For all other breeds, the remaining amount shall be distributed to the association that conducts the racing meeting and to horsemen participating in that racing meeting as follows:
 - (A) Fifty percent to the association as commissions.
 - (B) Fifty percent to the horsemen as purses.
- SEC. 5. Section 19620.1 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:
- 19620.1. (a) From the total revenue received by the board, including revenues transferred from the Satellite Wagering Account pursuant to subdivision (g) of Section 19606.1, but excluding money received pursuant to Sections 19640 and 19641, the sum of two hundred sixty-five thousand dollars (\$265,000) plus an amount equal to ⁶³/₁₀₀ of 1 percent of the gross amount of money handled in the annual parimutuel pool generated within this state, or the maximum amount received by the state from the parimutuel pool of a racing meeting held in this state, whichever is less, shall be paid into the State Treasury to the credit of the Fair and Exposition Fund.
- (b) From the total revenue received by the board, exclusive of money received pursuant to Sections 19640 and 19641, and in addition to the funds paid into the State Treasury to the credit of the Fair and Exposition Fund as specified in subdivision (a), the Legislature shall annually appropriate and the board shall deposit to the credit of the Fair and Exposition Fund, such sums as it deems necessary for the following purposes:
- (1) For the support of the board, including any costs and expenses incurred by the Attorney General in the enforcement of this chapter as shall be authorized by the board, including, compensation including any fringe benefits paid to stewards and to the official veterinarian, and an amount not

Ch. 12 — 6 —

less than the amount expended in the 1994–95 fiscal year for the costs of laboratory testing related to horse racing pursuant to Section 19580.

- (2) To the Department of Food and Agriculture for the oversight of the network of California fairs receiving money from the fund.
- (3) To the Department of Food and Agriculture for the contributions, or the cost of benefits in lieu of contributions, payable to the Unemployment Fund by the network of California fairs receiving funds pursuant to this article, as a result of unemployment insurance coverage pursuant to Section 605 of the Unemployment Insurance Code.
- (4) To the Department of Food and Agriculture for the auditing of all district agricultural association fairs, county fairs, and citrus fruit fairs.
- SEC. 6. Section 19620.2 is added to the Business and Professions Code, to read:
- 19620.2. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, on July 1, 2009, and on each July 1 thereafter, 32 million dollars (\$32,000,000) shall be transferred from the General Fund and paid into the State Treasury to the credit of the separate account of the Fair and Exposition Fund specified in Section 19606.1 and shall be continuously appropriated for allocation as specified in that section and Section 19620.1 only for the financial support of the network of California fairs.
 - SEC. 7. This act shall become effective on July 1, 2009.

THE SACRAMENTO BEE sacbee.com

This story is taken from Sacbee / Sports

NBA unveils plans for Kings arena at Cal Expo

tbizjak@sacbee.com

Published Saturday, Feb. 28, 2009

National Basketball Association officials laid out a sparkling vision for Cal Expo on Friday with artists' drawings of an imposing basketball arena, fairground Ferris wheels and scores of town houses, shops and restaurants on teeming, pedestrian-packed streets.

The vision, however, is still way short on details on how to make the multibillion-dollar project pencil out, and who would pay what share, they admitted.

The presentation, nearly two years in the making, was good enough, however, to win a thumbs up from the Sacramento Kings, who are desperate for an arena that can make more money.

It also won a quick go-ahead from Cal Expo officials eager to reinvent their faded fairgrounds. "This project far exceeds our wildest expectations of how Cal Expo could be," Cal Expo board member Rex Hime said.

The Cal Expo board authorized its staff Friday to begin a national search for a developer to partner with Cal Expo and the NBA on what would be a massive, 25-year building project, starting with an arena and modern fairgrounds at the eastern edge of the property, where the racetrack now stands.

Several board members balked at the vote, complaining they and the public only saw the plan and its complex financial analysis that afternoon.

"We're so much better than this," member Marko Mlikotin lamented.

Kings officials expressed appreciation in a statement issued by their publicist.

"We are encouraged by the NBA's presentation on the potential for a new arena in Sacramento," the statement read. "We look forward to receiving more details as plans develop.

"We have always been supportive and appreciative of the league's efforts and the process to find a solution that works in Sacramento."

The first phase of the plan calls for tearing down the eastern third of the Cal Expo site, then constructing an arena, hotel, parking garages, some retail, and a huge new Expo Center building that would serve year-round as a meeting hall, and for sports events, car and boat shows, livestock shows and other events, including exhibits during the annual State Fair.

Far more constrained than the current sprawling Cal Expo and fairgrounds, it would contain an open space for a midway and a farm and agriculture center.

Plans for the entire 350-acre project call for offices, housing and retail centers at the west end, to be built in phases through 2036.

The arena and new Expo Center may be completed by 2013, consultants said, but NBA representatives said that timing is uncertain, given the down real estate market.

Also uncertain are details on how to finance the arena. A consultant's report puts the construction costs of the arena and Expo Center at \$500million to \$600million, but does not list all costs involved in planning, land preparation and entitlements for the arena, nor does it break out any costs for the arena alone. NBA representatives declined to offer any estimate of the arena cost alone.

The NBA said its plan is to seek funds from three sources.

The NBA and Cal Expo will ask a private developer to pay a substantial share.

The Kings ownership has been asked to kick in an undisclosed amount, John Moag, the NBA's point man on the project said.

The third revenue source is expected to be possessory interest taxes, similar to property taxes, that would be generated in increasing amounts over the years on the Cal Expo site as the land values go up.

Moag said on Friday he did not know yet how much money to expect from each of those three sources.

That financing concept may require Cal Expo – which is state-owned – to win legislative approval for designation as a tax increment funding district.

A Cal Expo consultant, Claude Gruen, said it is not certain yet whether the state would do that.

The proposal does not require any new public tax.

NBA representative Moag said the Kings and league learned, the hard way, that Sacramento taxpayers will not foot the bill. Two years ago, a ballot measure for a taxpayer-funded arena failed at the polls.

"That is why this effort is so difficult and will be for some time to come," Moag said. "In some respects, everything you hear today is irrelevant until we hear from the developer, the folks who will write the checks."

Landing that developer appears to be another tough task. Moag said his group has talked

with developers who say the plan could work. But he said the economic climate may have to improve before a developer signs on.

The state would retain rights to land on the entire site, although a developer would control the structures.

That arrangement led to a disagreement among consultants Friday over how much the lease deal would be worth over time for a developer during the residential and hotel phases of the project – an issue that could have bearing on whether a developer feels the deal is worthwhile.

Representatives of the New York-based ERA firm, hired by the NBA, said their analysis suggests a developer can collect sufficient rents and other payments.

However, Cal Expo's consultant Gruen said he sees considerably lower values in the deal for a developer and warned that sometimes grand visions can become "a horror show."

He advised Cal Expo officials to move forward cautiously and see what the development community says.

Several Sacramento city and county officials praised the project and urged the Cal Expo board to push it forward.


Assemblyman Dave Jones, D-Sacramento, a non-voting ex-officio member of the Cal Expo board, sharply challenged consultants on what he considered misleading dollar figures in the publicly released project overview. Jones argued the city and county may not receive projected new tax revenues from the project, and it may end up costing them to provide police and fire services.

ShareThis


Call The Bee's Tony Bizjak, (916) 321-1059.



Location/Site Gensler



Existing Conditions



Gensler

Trends and Responses

Market/Demographics	WAL-MARY CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	
Economic		
Social		
Environment		

CalExpo Community

A vibrant, dense and pedestrianized mixed-use development district promoting the local agricultural movement and highly sustainable strategies in support of the CalExpo brand.

- 1. Compact and Mixed Use
- 2. Pedestrian-friendly design
- 3. Transit Oriented Design (TOD)
- 4. Environmentally Passive Design
- 5. Stormwater Mitigation
- 6. Shared Parking
- 7. Other Sustainable Strategies













Aerial View Gensler



Summary Master Plan



Aerial View Gensler



- Land Use Programming
- Fair Grounds Market Feasibility Study
- Fair Grounds Operations Forecast
- Financial Analysis of Mixed-Use Program
- Economic and Financial Impact Analysis of the Overall Project

Land Use Concept: Goals

- Develop a dense and varied land use program with integrated and adjacent green spaces will transform the CalExpo site into an attractive, vibrant, topnotch mixed-use community
- Create a *regional destination* with new, state-of-the-art State Fair facilities and NBA arena for entertainment, including the State Fair, professional sproting events, preforming arts, concerts, trade shows, family shows, and other events
- Proved a source of funding for constructing new CalExpo facilities and infrastructure improvements for the entire site, and promote long-term financial stability and success for CalExpo.

Gensler

Site Diagrams: Land Use









Land Use Programming

- Historical and projected socio-demographic trends
 - Population Trends
 - Age Trends
 - Employment Trends
- Market trends and analysis
 - Office Market Trends
 - Retail Market Trends
 - Residential Market Trends
 - Expo Events and Fair Attendance Trends
- Comparables from other mixed-use developments adjacent to sports arenas and stadiums
- Interviews with real estate professionals and economic development and planning officials as well as real estate players

Land Use Concept: Arena-driven Synergies

- Proximity to sports arenas and stadiums trigger "locational energy" associated with the site, significantly increasing demand for mixed-use space and attracting private investment
- ERA analyzed development potential at several projects:
 - <u>L.A. Live</u> 5.6 MSF total, \$2.5 billion mixed-use investment
 - Nationwide Arena District
 1.5 MSF total, \$1 billion mixed-use investment
 - Victory Park
 4 MSF total, \$3 billion mixed-use investment
 - Westgate City Center
 8 MSF total, \$2 billion mixed-use investment

Land Use Concept: Arena-driven Synergies

- Arenas serve as "anchor tenants" drawing new visitors, and support guaranteed event days, creating increased economic stability for adjacent uses
- Drives market performance of mixed-use components:
 - Retail rents are over 50% higher within sports-driven districts
 - Retail rents increase at over three times the pace a within the sports-driven districts (18.7% vs. 6.0% annually)
 - Office rents are 4.5% higher within sports-driven districts
 - Office rents increase at nearly twice the pace within the sports-driven districts (4.9% vs. 2.5% annually)

Mixed-Use Program: Land Value Analysis

- Financial analysis reflects the "developer's perspective"
- Mix of uses creates a distinctive place to work, live, and play
- Proximity to arena and other activity centers providing entertainment
- Critical mass / density of uses establish "place"
- View corridors provide a natural amenity
- Potential for mass transit to serve the development

Land Value Analysis: Assumptions

- Absorption and phasing are based on national and local economic and real estate conditions
- Revenue assumptions reflect first-class mixed-use development based on market realities of the Sacramento area
- Cost assumptions reflect typical mid/high density construction
- Parking strategy maximizes the use of surface parking and delays structured parking until later phases
- Developers could potentially access tax increment financing to fund certain infrastructure improvements
- Land payments occur over time, as development progresses

Land Value Estimates:

With TIF

Delivery Years	Development Program	Land Value (Nominal\$)
2013 - 2017	1.5 MSF	\$31.7 M
2019 - 2023	2.5 MSF	\$127.1 M
2026 - 2030	2.9 MSF	\$249.7 M
2032 - 2036	1.7 MSF	\$211.6 M
Total	8.6 MSF	\$620.0 M

Note: Estimates do not reflect CalExpo or NA facilities.

Without TIF

Delivery	Development	Land Value
Years	Program	(Nominal\$)
2013 - 2017	1.5 MSF	\$21.7 M
2019 - 2023	2.5 MSF	\$106.2 M
2026 - 2030	2.9 MSF	\$220.9 M
2032 - 2036	1.7 MSF	\$190.9 M
Total	8.6 MSF	\$539.7 M

Note: Estimates do not reflect CalExpo or NA facilities.

Economic and Fiscal Impact Analysis

- Economic impact from construction of the arena and Cal Expo facilities, with development budget ranging from \$500 million to \$600 million
- Economic impact from construction of the 8.6-million-square- foot mixed-use program
- Recurring economic impacts associated with ongoing operations at full buildout and operations
- Fiscal benefits, including property, sales, occupancy, and income tax revenues at full build-out and operations

Economic Impacts: Construction

Arena/CalExpo Construction

Economic		Employee	Employment
Impact		Compensation	
Direct	\$500 - \$600 M	\$138 - \$166 M	3,100 - 3,700
Indirect/Induced	\$405 - \$486 M	\$94 - \$112 M	2,600 - 3,100
Total	\$905 - \$1,186 M	\$232 - \$278 M	5,700 - 6,800

Mixed-Use Program Construction

Economic Impact		Employee Compensation	Employment
Direct	\$1.91 B	\$525 M	11,700
Indirect/Induced	\$1.55 B	\$358 M	10,000
Total	\$3.45 B	\$882 M	21,700

Annual Economic Impact: Operations

Economic			Employment
Impact		Compensation	
Direct	\$3.29 B	\$661 M	15,100
Indirect/Induced	\$2.55 B	\$583 M	14,900
Total	\$5.85 B	\$1,244 M	30,000

Annual Fiscal Benefit: Annual Tax Revenues

Tax	State	County/City	Total
Property	-	\$24.3 M	\$24.3 M
Sales	\$44.5 M	\$10.7 M	\$55.2 M
Income	\$7.6 M	1	\$7.6 M
Occupancy	-	\$4.5 M	\$4.5 M
Total	\$52.1 M	\$39.4 M	\$91.5 M

CalExpo Market Feasibility Study

- Current Fair and Non-Fair Operating Characteristics
- Local Market Characteristics
- Competitive Market Characteristics
- Activity Forecast
- Target Market Segment Opportunities
- Projected Non-Fair Events and Attendance
- Projected Fair Attendance & Parking Requirements
- Facility Program Requirements

CalExpo Market Study: Fair Outlook

- State Fair remains Cal Expo's dominant event
- Peak Fair days generate over 80,000 daily visitors
- New facility will have modest impact on Fair attendance
- ERA visitation forecast:
 - Initial surge of near 1 million visitors
 - About 900,000 visitors in Year 5
 - Gradually building toward 1 million by Year 10
- As the mixed-use development program unfolds, Fair parking access and onsite circulation programs must be carefully planned to accommodate peak demand days

CalExpo Market Feasibility Study: Non-Fair Events


- New Cal Expo facilities will expand year-round events:
 - Arena and redevelopment will increase market exposure
 - New meeting/conference facilities will attract weekday events
 - New facilities will grow the Sacramento visitor market
- ERA forecasts:
 - 133 to 154 non-Fair events over five-year period
 - Attendance growth from 900,000 to over 1,130,000
 - Large Expo events could attract up to 20,000 visitors daily
- To adequately accommodate attendees and exhibitors during during days with concurrent arena events, physical design issues, including parking or outdoor space capacity must be carefully considered

- Exhibit Space: 250,000-300,000 SF of climate-controlled, free span, multipurpose, flat-floor exhibit space
- <u>Trade/Conference Space</u>: Up to 100,000 SF of functional conference space with lobby, break-out rooms, and kitchen
- <u>Attached Covered Space</u>: 325,000-400,000 SF of multipurpose space for events (e.g., horse/livestock)
- Adjacent Open Outdoor Space: 1 million SF outdoor space for Fair carnival, seasonal fairs, and other outdoor events
- Adjacent Parking: 5,000+ spaces for Expo events and up to 15,000 spaces (or transportation program) for the Fair
- RV Campground: At least 300 fully-equipped spaces, with expansion areas to accommodate future demand

CalExpo Facility: Financial Projections

- Current (2007) operations include the State Fair, Expo events program, horseracing, simulcast, waterpark, and RV park:
 - \$27.7 million combined gross operating revenues
 - \$2.2 million net operating income
- Proposed changes to the Cal Expo activity program:
 - Live horseracing ending in 2012
 - Waterpark operations ending in 2016
- ERA operations forecast (2012 to 2016):
 - \$26.6 to \$27.5 million annual gross operating revenues
 - \$1.2 to \$1.8 million annual net operating income
- Financial impacts do not reflect:
 - · Loss of racing operations revenue during the construction period
 - Long-term and recurring benefits from reduced deferred maintenance, and debt service

Site Diagrams: Green Network



Site Diagrams: Circulation










Circulation




Site Access Gensler




Expo Zone & Fairgrounds



Expo Zone & Fairgrounds



CalExpo Fairtime: Arrival







Main Gate













Exhibition Boulevard




CalExpo Fairtime: The Fairgrounds




CalExpo Fairtime: Exhibition Building & Arena Gensler





CalExpo Fairtime: Exhibition Hall Program





CalExpo Fairtime: Exhibition Hall Program








CalExpo Non-Fairtime: The Fairgrounds



CalExpo Non-Fairtime: The Fairgrounds





Circulation Diagrams

Auto

→ INGRESS/EGRESS

PRIMARY SERVICE ENTRY

PRIMARY VEHICULAR CIRCULATION


SECONDARY VEHICULAR CIRCULATION

MAIN ROAD NETWORK (TWO-WAY TRAFFIC)

— SECONDARY SITE ACCESS

OVERFLOW PARKING

// PARKING ZONE



Circulation

PEDESTRIAN ZONE

VEHICULAR ZONE

••• PEDESTRIAN ROUTE

PROPOSED TRANSIT NODE

PROPOSED TRANSIT ROUTE

DROP OFF ACCESS




Parking

Fairtime

KEY	FAIR	OTHER
STRUCTURED PARKING	5,330	7,530
SURFACE PARKING	6,550	2,280
BASEMENT GARAGE	1,530	
TOTALS	13,410	9,810

Non-Fairtime

KEY	ARENA	OTHER
STRUCTURED PARKING SURFACE PARKING BASEMENT GARAGE	5,330 350 1,530	7,530 7,480
TOTALS	7,210	15,010







Summary Master Plan

Gensler



Phasing









2036



Solano County Fairground Facility for Horse Racing



Preliminary Facility Conditions Assessment Report

Department of General Services
Division of Architectural Services
Solano County





Solano County Fairground Facility for Horse Racing

A. Introduction

This Preliminary Facility Condition Assessment Report has been prepared to identify the necessary repairs and improvements, including reasonable cost estimates presented as a cost range until costs can be more succinctly defined. The goal of this recommendation is to make the Solano County Fairground Horse Racing Facility meet minimum operating and safety standards to continue its horse racing activities for the next five to ten year period. Based on historic use, the existing facility is currently much larger than what current and projected operations require. Therefore, the recommendation is limited to the work needed if the facility is "right-sized" for its needs. This approach will require, however, some new construction in order to house functions in the existing facility that are functionally obsolete.

Solano County Fairground Facility for Horse Racing is in need of critical repairs, renovation, site improvements, and some new construction with an estimated total project cost organized by priorities as follows:

> Priority 1 (Critical): \$6-7M Priority 2 (Trending Toward Critical): \$5-6M Priority 3 (Moderate/Low Priority): \$.3-.5M Total Project Cost Range \$11.3 - \$13.5M

The total project cost range accommodates seasonal operations over the next ten year period. A Priority Driven Schedule of Work (Attachment A) is provided with this report to allow for phased implementation of the project, as determined by the Board. This estimated cost is based on a "right-sized" facility that would be operational with some existing facilities suspended from further use. The "right sized" facilities include:

Existing Structures – 136,000 sq. ft.:

- 1. 1967 Grandstand (Box and General Seating Capacity of 1,278)
- 2. 14 Barns (Including Holding & Testing Barn and Receiving Barn)
- 3. Jockeys' Quarters/Administrative Offices
- 4. Dormitory for Grooms
- 5. 12 Tack Sheds
- 6. 13 Tack Sheds Renovated as Grooms Accommodations
- 7. Restroom Building Facilities (1 Public and 2 for Grooms in "Backstretch" Barn Area.



View of Track from Club House Seating Area. Rotted rafter rails



View of Grandstand looking North (Constructed of concrete, wood & steel) Lack of lateral bracing at roof support



"Back stretch" View to North Deteriorated Barn Roofs



Solano County Fairground Facility for Horse Racing

New Construction -8,500 sq, ft,:

- 8. Jockeys' Quarters Addition—2,400 sq. ft.
- 9. Dormitory Addition for Grooms--2,500 sq. ft.
- 10. Press Box—1,800 sq. ft.
- 11. Photo Finish/Official Room--1,800 sf. ft.

The total built area of the existing Horse Racing Facility includes approximately 327,000 sq. ft. See Attachment B. Of the existing 327,000 sq. ft., the 'right sized' facilities include 136,000 sq. ft. of existing space plus 8,500 sq. ft. of new construction, which together provide a combined total of 144,500 sq. ft. for a "right-sized" facility to fit current stated needs. See Attachment C.

Existing Improvements

The Horse Racing facility, which occupies approximately 110 acres of the total 152-acre Fairground site, includes the following existing buildings/areas:

- 1. 7 furlong dirt track (7/8 mile)
- 2. Grandstand (Including seating for 6,000 people, concessions, wagering, press box, and restroom areas)
- 3. Club House/Seating Areas
- 4. Jockeys' Quarters/Administrative Offices
- 5. Dormitory for Grooms (sleeps 32)
- 6. Stables (22) including 870 stalls
- 7. Tack Sheds (25)
- 8. Holding and Testing Stable
- 9. Receiving Stable (1)
- 10. Restroom Buildings (3)
- 11. Stable Maintenance Building
- 12. Horseman Recreational Vehicle (RV) Park

The only area that appears not to need immediate attention is the dirt track itself. The other buildings require repair to avoid further deterioration and loss of capital investment.

Identified Deficiencies

Specifically, deficiencies were observed in the following areas:

- A. Structural anomalies and inadequacies
- B. Leaking roofs
- C. Inadequate accessibility provisions and other building code-related issues
- D. Functional inadequacies/obsolescence
- E. Cosmetic deterioration
- F. Site drainage/localized ponding and flooding



Barns & Tack Shed Rotted trim, deteriorated paint



Outdated Jockeys' Quarters (Concrete Block and Wood Construction)



Standing Water @ Barn area Site



Solano County Fairground Facility for Horse Racing

B. History/Background/Use

The Solano County Fairground master plan began in 1945 as a horse racing facility. The original survey included a racetrack, bleachers, concession area, five lean-to enclosures, nine barns, and a show tent. The track appears to have been initially constructed with the horse receiving barn and men's/ women's toilet building following in 1952. The original Grandstand in its current location was originally constructed in 1955. The facility quickly grew to include various other buildings including Jockeys' Quarters in 1956 and several Barns in 1957. The original Grandstand expanded to the north in 1967 with an addition to the west in 1978. The Club House Seating Area located to the south of the original Grandstand was also added during the 1970's. The Grooms Dormitory was completed in 1982. Various other improvements such as utility upgrades, fire protection, drainage installations, and the Horseman RV Park have occurred throughout the 1990's up to 2007.

The Solano County Fairground is operational periodically year-round but the activities surrounding the Horse Racing facilities are most active from early June until mid-October according to the Fair Operations Manager. Horse racing currently occurs 2 weeks during this season that coincides with the Solano County Fair. Up to 300 stalls may be used at any one time. A total of 870 stalls are available at the Barns. Of the 300 horses visiting the facility at any one time, sixty percent require only day accommodations, while 40% remain on the grounds. As many as 150+ grooms require overnight accommodations during the horse racing period. The existing dormitory (1982) provides only sixteen 10'x10' rooms, which are sometimes inhabited by 3-4 grooms. Other grooms sleep in the Tack Sheds while others sleep in trailers. The Jockeys' Quarters provide limited space for day storage and toilet, shower, and changing facilities for the jockeys while they are on site. The facility was not originally designed to serve, nor does it currently provide services to women jockeys. Other than as previously noted, jockeys typically do not stay on the grounds, they stay in nearby hotels.

C. Assessment Methodology

Information gathering for this Preliminary Facility Condition Assessment study of the Solano County Fairgrounds Horse Racing Facility included:

- A. Field observations
- B. Photographic survey



Outdated Wagering Counters at Grandstand (First Level)



Outdated Kitchen Facility at Jockeys' Quarters



Outdated Public Toilet Rooms



- C. Interviews with Mike Paluszak, Fair General Manager, and Stephen G. Hales, Fair Operations Manager; and
- D. Review of several documents including previous plans, reports, and studies.

The Preliminary Facility Condition Assessment includes recommendations that propose areas for further study, which may occur concurrently within the process of design. Based upon recommendations, which are pending further study, a cost range of improvements was developed. Due to time constraints, it was not possible to engage authorities having jurisdiction in the process to determine definitive code implications pertaining to the recommended improvements or to engage Fair staff to discuss the operational impacts of the recommendations.



Overall conditions of the facility were found to be in a state of deterioration and ill-repair. If immediate action is not taken, the County assets are vulnerable to exponential and potentially irreversible loss. The observed deficiencies noted are in relation to current building code requirements and normal design/construction industry practices and standard of care. Many of the existing conditions are 'grandfathered' and are not required to meet current code requirements. However, if improvements are made, then improvements in those areas must comply with current codes. In general, deficiencies were noted in the following areas:

- 1. Compromised structural integrity (mainly lack of lateral bracing)
- 2. Inadequate fire and egress improvements
- 3. Moisture intrusion mainly through leaking roofs
- 4. Rotting of exposed wood including structural members
- 5. Incomplete accessibility provisions for persons living with disabilities
- 6. Inadequate site drainage evident by flooded site areas and standing water
- 7. Outmoded/obsolete building components and systems
- 8. Functional inadequacies in relation to current operational need
- 9. Protracted cosmetic deterioration/appearance

For a brief outline description of various deficiencies by functional area, see Attachment D—Deficiencies.



Compromised Accessibility at Grandstand



Grandstand Stairs to Press Box Lack of landing and extended handrails



Roof Leaks & Deterioration @ Grandstand (Concrete & Wood Construction)



E. Recommendations

If time and cost permits, and before construction work proceeds, the following are recommendations for further study. These analyses may be performed as part of the architectural/engineering scope of contracted services to make improvements if the Board elects to proceed with improvements:

- 1. Feasibility Study and Cost-Benefit Analysis for Horse Racing
- 2. Complete Architectural Assessment and Code Analysis
- 3. Complete Structural Study Including Seismic Analysis
- 4. Civil Engineering Investigation regarding Drainage Issues
- 5. Hazardous Material Assessment Report
- 6. Fire Protection System Testing and Assessment
- 7. Verification of Code-required Improvements in Affected Areas with Authorities Having Jurisdiction, Risk Management and Operational Impacts with Fair Staff.

See Attachment E—Recommendations for suggested improvements to correct identified deficiencies in each area.

F. Conclusion


Solano County Fairground Facility for Horse Racing requires repairs, renovation, site improvements, and some new construction with an estimated overall total project cost range of \$11.3 — \$13.5M for a "right-sized" project to accommodate seasonal operations for an estimated period of five to ten years. To inform the Board of Supervisors, the Preliminary Facility Condition Assessment prepared by the Division of Architectural Services (DAS) recommends that the County pursue further studies and analyses as noted in Section E (above) of this report including a Feasibility Study and Cost-Benefit Analysis of the Horse Racing Facility. DAS anticipates a 2-3 year project schedule including entitlements, design, and construction. Pending findings from further study, DAS would be in a better position to formulate more definitive recommendations and project schedule for the Board's consideration and to develop various options regarding the Horse Racing Facility, including protecting the Racing Facility as the centerpiece of the Solano County Fairground improvements and preserving a long history of horse racing activity that launched the original master plan at the inception of the Fairground in 1945.



Grandstand Concession



Water Infiltration at Grandstand



Grandstand Seating Area



ATTACHMENT A

Area of Work	Priority #1 Critical & Immediate	Priority #2 Critical Tending Toward High Priority	Priority #3 Need With Moderate/ Low Priority	Priority #4 Routine Maint. Issues	Priority #5 Grand- fathered	Needs Further Study
-Roof Replacement	X					
-ADA/Code RestRm. Upgrade	X					X
-Stair Towers (2)	X					X
-Elevator Addition	X					X
-ADA Seating	X					X
-Int. Adequate Lighting		X				
-Emerg. Ltg./Exit Signs	X					X
-New Press Box	X					X
-New Photo Finish/Judges Area		X				X
-ADA Mutuels Counter	X					X
-Updated Concession/Areas		X				
-Updated Mutuels Counter		X				
-Painting Exterior/Interior		X				
JOCKEYS' QUARTERS					+	
-Update Existing Facilities	X					X
-Update Kitchen Facilities	X					X
-Roof Replacement	X					
-Painting Interior/Exterior		X				
-Emergency Ltg./Exit	X					X
-Addition for M/W Facilities		X				X
GROOMS DORMITORY					+	
-Code Upgrade: Restrms./Shwrs.	X					X
-Roof Replacement	X					
-Interior/Exterior Painting		X				
-Emergency Ltg./Exit Signs	X					X
-Smoke Detectors	X					
-New Interior Lighting		X				
-New Grooms Dormitory		X				
HOLDING/TESTING BARN					+	
-Roof Replacement	X					
-Exterior Painting		X				
-Repairs		X				
BARNS (13 OF 23) TO USE					+	
-Roof Replacement	X					
-Exterior Painting	1	X				
-Repairs		X				
-Lighting		X			+	
-Waste Management System	X					X



ATTACHMENT A — continued

Area of Work	Priority #1 Critical & Immediate	Priority #2 Critical Tending Toward High Priority	Priority #3 Need With Moderate/ Low Priority	Priority #4 Routine Maint. Issues	Priority #5 Grand- fathered	Needs Further Study
-Roof Replacement (if kept)	X					
TACK SHEDS (12)						
-Roof Replacement	X					
-Exterior Painting		X				
-Repairs		X				
TACK SHEDS—GROOM DORMS						
-Renovate for Overnight Use	X					X
-Roof Replacement	X					
-Painting Exterior/Interior		X				
-New Window Installation	X					X
-Emergency Ltg. And Exit Signs	X					X
-Smoke Detectors	X					
-New Lighting		X				X
PUBLIC RESTROOM (BEHIND GRANDSTAND)						
-ADA/Code Updated Facilities	X					X
-Roof Replacement	X					
-Exterior/Interior Painting		X				
RESTROOMS/SHOWERS (2) (BACKSTRETCH)						
-Code Compliance/Update Fac.	X					X
-Roof replacement	X					
-Repairs		X				
SITE						
-Repair Paving at Parking Lots		X				
-Repair Paving at Roadways		X				
-Repair (e) A/C Standee Ramp		X		1		
-Landscape Entry w/Marquee			X			X
-Grandstand Entry Plaza			X			X
SITE DRAINAGE SW QUARDRANT						
-New Retention Ponds	X					X
-New Pump Station	X	1				X
-Drainage Sys. At Backstretch	X			1		X





ATTACHMENT A — continued

Area of Work	Priority #1	Priority #2	Priority #3	Priority #4	Priority #5	Needs
	Critical & Immediate	Critical Tend- ing Toward High Priority	Need With Moderate/ Low Priority	Routine Maint. Is- sues	Grand- fathered	Further Study
HORSEMAN RV PARK						
-Electrical Upgrade at 56 Hook- ups		X				X
FURTHER STUDY					1	
-Feasibility Study/Cost Analysis	X					
-Full Arch. Assessment/Code Analysis	X					
-Structural Study/Seismic Anal.						
-Civil Eng.—Drainage Issues	X					
-Haz. Mat. Assessment/Testing	X					
-Fire Protection Assessment/Anal.	X					
-Verification of Code-req'd Im- provements with Jurisdictional Agencies	X					
ESTIMATED COST IMPACT						
-Estimated Cost Range for Construction	\$4,300,000	\$3,450,000	\$290,000			
-Contingency 15%	\$645,000	\$517,500	\$43,500			
-Estimated Soft Costs 35% (Includes A/E Consultant, Project Management, Specialty Consult- ants, Plan Check & Fees, Inspec- tion and Testing)	\$1,505,000	\$1,207,500	\$101,500			
-Further Studies per Recommendations Per Report Section E	\$50,000					
-Soils Investigation/Survey	\$25,000					
-Furnishings / Equipment (TBD)						
TOTAL PROJECT COST RANGE	\$6,525,000 \$6-7M	\$5,175,000 \$5-6M	\$435,000 \$.35 M			

Estimated Grand Total Project Cost Range: \$11.3M—\$13.5M

(Priorities 1, 2 and 3)







ATTACHMENT D—DEFICIENCIES

Grandstand

- 1. Lack of apparent lateral structural bracing/support
- 2. Inadequate access for persons living with disabilities to Grandstand seating areas, toilet rooms, and wagering counters
- 3. Code compliance issues at two (2) sets of stairs from ground level to mezzanine level without landings; lack of extended handrails at all stairs as well as proper guardrails at overlooks and level changes
- 4. Outdated/obsolete facilities including toilet rooms
- 5. Inadequate/poor lighting at interiors on ground level and mezzanine levels
- 6. Flooring containing asbestos is installed at the mezzanine level requiring hazardous material abatement
- 7. Roofs are Leaking
- 8. Inadequate (narrow) stair access to Press Box, lack of structural bracing, poor lighting, and lack of cable trays
- 9. Lack of designated Photo Finish and Officials Area
- 10. Outdated mutuel windows (wagering counters and support area behind counters)
- 11. Emergency lighting, and exiting provisions
- 12. Cracked/peeling paint

Jockeys' Quarters

- 1. Functional inadequacy to serve both male and female jockeys
- 2. Outdated kitchen facilities
- 3. Outdated toilet rooms, showers, and support services
- 4. Lack of seismic strapping at hot water heater
- 5. Roof replacement needed
- 6. Cracked/peeling exterior paint

Grooms Dormitory

- 1. Functional inadequacy to accommodate number of grooms needing overnight accommodations
- 2. Outdated toilet rooms
- 3. Lack of emergency lighting, exit signs, and smoke detectors
- 4. Roof replacement needed
- 5. Rotted trim
- 6. Cracked/peeling exterior paint

Restrooms (2) Located at Back Stretch

- 1. Outdated facilities
- 2. Roof replacement needed
- 3. Cracked and peeling exterior paint



Deteriorated Roof at Grandstand (close-up)



Existing Press Box and Structural Support of Roof w/ no lateral bracing



Outdated Toilet Room Jockeys' Quarters



ATTACHMENT D—DEFICIENCIES—continued

Restroom (1) Behind Grandstand

- 1. Lack of ADA access to toilet rooms
- 2. Outdated facilities
- 3. Roof Replacement needed
- 4. Cracked and peeling exterior paint

Barns (23) Including Receiving Barn

- 1. Roof replacement needed
- 2. Non-structural repairs due to damage by horses
- 3. Cracked/peeling exterior paint

Tack Sheds

- 1. Roof replacement needed
- 2. Inadequate as sleeping quarters for grooms yet are used as such
- 3. Repairs
- 4. Cracked and peeling exterior paint

Holding and Testing Building

- 1. Roof replacement needed
- 2. Repairs
- 3. Cracked and peeling exterior paint

Horseman RV Park

1. Inadequate electrical capacity at hook-ups

South Grandstand Addition

1. Poor condition; rotted wood

Site Parking Lots and Roadways

1. Repair existing paving

Southwest Quadrant of Site

- 1. Flooding and standing water
- 2. Lack of facilities to handle waste management



Existing Groom's Dormitory (at right). Deteriorated roof, trim and siding.



Beam Support at Column at Grandstand Splaying connection. No lateral bracing.



Press Box Interior at 3rd level of Grandstand



ATTACHMENT E—RECOMMENDATIONS

Grandstand

- 1. Roof replacement
- 2. ADA and code compliant, updated Toilet Rooms
- 3. Two new enclosed stair towers at 1967 addition
- 4. Elevator addition
- 5. ADA and companion seating in box and general seating areas
- 6. Adequate lighting
- 7. Emergency lighting and exit signs
- 8. New Press Box -1,800 sq. ft.
- 9. Photo Finish and Official Area 1,800 sq. ft.
- 10. Updated and ADA compliant mutuel windows/counter/betting Area
- 11. Updated concession facilities
- 12. Painting exterior and interior

Jockeys' Quarters

- 1. Update existing Jockey facilities and expend existing facilities by 2400 square feet
- 2. Update Kitchen and support facilities
- 3. Roof replacement
- 4. Painting exterior and interior
- 5. Emergency lighting and exiting
- 6. Addition for Men/Women Jockey Facilities—2,400 sq. ft.

Grooms Dormitory

- 1. Code compliant, update Toilet/Shower Rooms
- 2. Roof replacement
- 3. Interior/exterior painting
- 4. Emergency lighting and exiting
- 5. Smoke detectors
- 6. New interior lighting
- 7. New Grooms Dormitory -2,500 sq. ft.

Holding and Testing Barn

- 1. Roof replacement
- 2. Exterior painting
- 3. Barn repairs

Barns (13 of 23 Including Receiving Barn)

- 1. Roof replacement
- 2. Exterior painting
- 3. Barn repairs
- 4. Replacement lighting



Accessibility Issues and lack of structural bracing



Groom's Dormitory (right)



Typical Stall w/ sheathing added.



ATTACHMENT E—RECOMMENDATIONS—continued

Barns (13 of 23 Including Receiving Barn), Continued

1. Waste management system

Barns (Remaining 10)

1. Roof replacement (if kept for future use)

Tack Sheds (12)

- 1. Roof replacement
- 2. Exterior painting
- 3. Repairs

Tack Sheds (Remaining 13)

- 1. Renovate to accommodate grooms for overnight use
- 2. Roof replacement
- 3. Painting exterior/interior
- 4. New window installation
- 5. Emergency lighting and exits
- 6. Smoke detectors
- 7. New lighting

Restroom (Behind Grandstand)

- 1. ADA and code compliant updated facilities
- 2. Roof replacement
- 3. Exterior and interior painting

Restrooms/Showers (Backstretch) (2)

- 1. Code compliant, updated facilities
- 2. Roof replacement
- 3. Repairs

Site

- 1. Repair paving at parking lot/s
- 2. Repair paving at roadways
- 3. Repair existing A/C Standee Ramp
- 4. Landscape entry with marquee
- 5. Grandstand entry plaza

Site Drainage Southwest Quadrant

- 1. -Construct retention ponds
- 2. -New pump station
- 3. -Drainage system extended through 'Backstretch'

Horseman RV Park

1. Electrical upgrade at 56 hook-ups



Outdoor Eating at Jockeys' Quarters



Grandstand Mutuel Windows and Concessions at 2nd Level



Laundry Room at Jockey Quarters.







Del Mar considers reducing race card



Economy a factor in five-day format

By <u>Hank Wesch</u> Union-Tribune Staff Writer

2:00 a.m. February 28, 2009

Track insiders and general fans who have opined that the Del Mar Thoroughbred Club summer meeting would be better with a reduction from its six-day racing week could get their wish this summer.

DMTC's top officials acknowledged yesterday that the organization, which leases the facility from the state to run the race meeting, is exploring the possibility of dropping Mondays from the Wednesday-to-Monday format that has stood for decades.

"It's certainly something we've been looking at and the more we look at it the better it gets," said Joe Harper, DMTC president, CEO and general manager. "I feel confident saying it will be our recommendation that we go to a five-day week."

Executive Vice President Craig Fravel emphasized that the notion is "not any more than conceptual right now," and the DMTC is "still doing an internal analysis." Harper said it is not a fait accompli, because approval must be gained by the DMTC board of directors, the board of the 22nd District Agricultural Association (Del Mar Fair), state racetrack leasing committee and the California Horse Racing Board.

The analysis, being conducted by DMTC chief financial officer Michael Ernst, could be finished within a month, Fravel said. Approval from other agencies would conclude with the licensing to run the meeting with specific dates from the CHRB at its meeting in May.

The current state of the economy, a declining California thoroughbred horse population and perceived downturn in both the number and financial commitment of owners are all contributing factors in the decision to explore the five-day option.

"We've always been a big proponent of when you make a change here you better make it for the benefit of the patron," Harper said. "I think this is certainly to the benefit of the patron. If we're right, we're going to have better (racing) cards, basically."

Six-day racing weeks have been standard at Del Mar since 1946. The track, founded by Bing Crosby and some Hollywood friends, opened in 1937. It presented races on a Tuesday-to-Saturday basis before being closed from 1942-44 during World War II and maintained that schedule for the re-opening season in 1945.

A Monday-to-Saturday format was maintained from 1946 until 1973 when – sports competition on Sundays having gained social acceptance – conducting racing and betting on Sunday was legalized.

In recent decades many horsemen – who generally live and work in the Los Angeles area with the exception of two months in the summer – have said five-day weeks at Del Mar would be easier on them and their horses.

Gulfstream Park in Florida and Golden Gate Fields in Northern California have reduced days and felt the overall impact was positive, Harper said the DMTC studies have shown.

"You start off thinking about it as a defensive move, to give the horses, the trainers, jockeys and the track employees a break," Harper said. "But the more you look at the revenue side and the savings side in light of what's going on now, all of a sudden it makes a whole lot of sense financially.

"It turns out, after I think a fairly conservative financial analysis, we think there's a sizable benefit here. That, going along with better racing, it seems like a pretty easy decision to make."

Last year, Del Mar averaged 8.59 horses per race, the Daily Racing Form reported, down from an average of 8.63 in 2007.

If approved, the reduction would mean fewer person-hours for the track's labor force – which draws especially from teachers and others who have summertime availability. But Harper said avenues will be explored so that, while salaries may be cut, as close to the current number of employees as possible will be maintained.

Hank Wesch: (619) 293-1853; hank.wesch@uniontrib.com

Find this article at:

http://www3.signonsandiego.com/stories/2009/feb/28/1s28delmar224559-del-mar-considers-reducing-race-c/?zIndex=59939

Check the box to include the list of links referenced in the article.

© Copyright 2007 Union-Tribune Publishing Co. • A Copley Newspaper Site



Some Magna Entertainment units in default



THE CANADIAN PRESS FEBRUARY 27, 2009 AT 6:56 PM EST

Magna Entertainment Corp. [MEC.A-T] said Friday that it has received notice from its lenders that several of its subsidiaries are in default.

The company said that Pimlico Racing Association Inc., Laurel Racing Association LP, Laurel Racing Assoc. Inc. and the Maryland Jockey Club of Baltimore City Inc. are in default under the PNC Bank loan agreement.

The horse racing and gambling company said PNC Bank has told the company that it has chosen not to exercise its rights under the agreement at this time, but may choose to in the future.

MEC also said that it has told Wells Fargo Bank, National Association and a Canadian chartered bank with which it has a \$40-million (U.S.) credit facility that MEC has not met certain financial covenants of the loan agreements.

Magna Entertainment





Last week, MI Developments Inc. cancelled plans that would have seen the company disentangle itself from Magna Entertainment.

MID, the property management spinoff of Magna International Inc., said it was unlikely that it would be able to arrange the new debt financing associated with the proposal given the turmoil in the financial markets and the automotive industry.

MID said it was in talks with Magna Entertainment concerning alternatives.

Investors in MI Developments have been fighting for years to jettison heavily indebted Magna Entertainment.

The deal would have seen all of MID's corporate ownership of Magna Entertainment spun off to MID shareholders, with Frank Stronach holding control of the company.



Recommend this article? 4 votes

View the most recommended



Autos

Daily Racing Form Page 1 of 1

Headlines | Posted 2/19/2009, 5:07 pm

Failed plan results in Magna's loans coming due

By Matt Hegarty

MI Developments has abandoned a complex proposal to spin-off the troubled racing company Magna Entertainment Corp., according to both companies, a decision that leaves Magna with few options to retire a crushing debt load.

In a release, MI Developments said it had canceled the plan because of "current global economic conditions." The plan, which was announced in late November but was immediately opposed by several large shareholders, would have transferred all of MI Development's stock in Magna Entertainment to a company controlled by Magna's founder, Frank Stronach.

Magna Entertainment owes MI Developments approximately \$175 million under three loans. As a result of the cancellation, a loan worth \$75 million will become due on March 13, and a \$100 million loan will now become due on March 20, 2009. Under the spin-off plan, Magna Entertainment would not have had to begin to repay the loans until the end of the year.

According to the companies, if Magna Entertainment defaults on any of those loans, all of its other long-term debt will immediately become due.

Magna Entertainment, which owns 10 tracks, including Santa Anita Park and Gulfstream Park, has lost more than \$500 million over the past five years and holds \$500 million in debt. It recently hired a company specializing in bankruptcy reorganizations as an advisor.

Magna Entertainment was spun-off in 2000 from the auto-parts company Magna International. In 2003, MI Developments, a property-holding company, was spun-off from Magna International as well, and became Magna Entertainment's parent.

Copyright © 2007 Daily Racing Form, LLC. All rights reserved.



Print

Another director leaves MEC

by Frank Angst

For the second time in less than a week, a board member of financially strapped racetrack owner Magna Entertainment Corp. has resigned.

Anthony Campbell, of Knott Partners, has resigned from the Magna Entertainment board. Magna Entertainment is in danger of defaulting on loans worth a total of \$275-million in March.

Magna issued a press release about Campbell's resignation late Wednesday night. Chairman Frank Stronach wished Campbell success in his future endeavors.

Campbell's resignation follows the February 20 resignation of Jerry Campbell. Jerry Campbell, who is chairman of Citizens Republic Bancorp, had served as a member of Magna's audit committee. As a result of his departure, Magna Entertainment is not in compliance with requirements to maintain its listing on the NASDAQ exchange.

The two resignations this month follow the January 26 resignation of Magna director Charlie Williams.

Magna Entertainment has failed to carry out a debt elimination plan it announced in September 2007 that called for the sale of Great Lakes Downs, Thistledown, Portland Meadows, and Remington Park. Since then, the track also has looked at selling at least shares in its top tracks Gulfstream Park and Santa Anita Park.

On February 18, Magna Entertainment's parent company MI Developments announced it would not proceed with a reorganization plan that would have infused another \$125-million into Magna Entertainment. The plan had been favored by Stronach, who also chairs MI Developments.

Frank Angst is senior writer for Thoroughbred Times

© Copyright 2009, Thoroughbred Times. This information may not be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, without written permission of the copyright owner, Thoroughbred Times Company,

Inc.



Print

Laurel argues bid should be reconsidered

by Frank Angst

Attorneys for the Laurel Racing Association argued Thursday that its license bid to operate slot machines at Laurel Park should be reconsidered.

Because Laurel Racing Association, a subsidiary of financially troubled racetrack owner Magna Entertainment Corp., did not submit a \$28.5-million initial license fee to the Maryland Education Trust Fund, the Maryland Video Lottery Facility Location Commission denied the license bid on February 12.

Alan Rifkin, attorney for Laurel Racing Association, argued Thursday that Maryland did not outline refund procedures for the fee if the bid was not approved. Rifkin said that because the refund procedures were not included by the state, the fee request was unconstitutional.

Magna has since put \$28.5-million in an escrow account to cover its application for 4,750 video lottery terminals. Also, the facility location commission has grated a standstill agreement on any decision until the Anne Arundel Circuit Court makes a ruling.

Judge William Mulford II said he would make a ruling in about three weeks.

© Copyright 2009, Thoroughbred Times. This information may not be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means,

electronic or mechanical, without written permission of the copyright owner, Thoroughbred Times Company, Inc.