



1776 Tribute Road, Suite 205  
Sacramento, CA 95815  
Office: 916.927.7223 Fax: 916.263.3341  
[www.calfairs.com](http://www.calfairs.com)

**AGENDA**  
**CALIFORNIA AUTHORITY OF RACING FAIRS**  
**BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING**  
**JOHN ALKIRE, CHAIR**  
**12:30 P.M., TUESDAY, APRIL 2, 2013**

Notice is hereby given that a meeting of the California Authority of Racing Fairs' Board of Directors will commence at 12:30 p.m., Tuesday, April 2, 2013. The meeting will be held in Sacramento. 1776 Tribute Road Conference Room, Sacramento, CA 95815

**AGENDA**

- I. Date, time and location of next meeting:
- II. Approval of minutes.
- III. Executive Session-Pending Litigation.
- IV. Report, discussion and action, if any, on Legislative Program for 2013.
- V. Report, discussion, and action, if any, on membership status of Sonoma County Fair in CARF, and joint power authority.
- VI. Report, discussion, and action, if any, on development of Satellite Wagering locations at Fairs.
- VII. Report on documentation of agency policies and procedures.
- VIII. Financials
- IX. Executive Director's Report



1776 Tribute Road, Suite 205  
Sacramento, CA 95815  
Office: 916.927.7223 Fax: 916.263.3341  
[www.calfairs.com](http://www.calfairs.com)

**NOTICE**  
**CALIFORNIA AUTHORITY OF RACING FAIRS**  
**BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING**  
**JOHN ALKIRE, CHAIR**  
**12:30 P.M., TUESDAY, APRIL 2, 2013**

Notice is hereby given that a meeting of the California Authority of Racing Fairs' Board of Directors will commence at 12:30 p.m., Tuesday, April 2, 2013. The meeting will be held at the CARF Conference Room located at 1776 Tribute Road, Sacramento, California 95815.

**CARF Board of Directors Meeting**  
**Toll Free Dial In Number: (800) 791-2345**  
**Participant Code: 83711 #**  
**Via Teleconference**

In order to ensure that all meeting participants are able to hear discussions during the teleconference, we ask that teleconference participants call from a land-line and place phone on MUTE while not speaking. The Public and members of the California Authority of Racing Fairs Board of Directors may participate from the following locations:

Alameda County Fair  
4501 Pleasanton Avenue  
Pleasanton, CA 94566

National Orange Show  
689 South E Street  
San Bernardino, CA 92408

Solano County Fair  
900 Fairgrounds Drive  
Vallejo, CA 94589

Antelope Valley Fair  
2551 West Ave. H, Suite 102  
Lancaster, CA 93536

Riverside National Date Festival  
46-350 Arabia Street  
Indio, CA 92201

Sonoma County Fair  
1350 Bennett Valley Road  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

The Big Fresno Fair  
1121 S. Chance Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93702

San Bernardino Co. Fair  
14800 Seventh Street  
Victorville, CA 92395

Southern CA Fair  
18700 Lake Perris Dr.  
Perris, CA 92570

California State Fair  
1600 Exposition Blvd.  
Sacramento, CA 95815

San Joaquin Fair  
1658 S. Airport Way  
Stockton, CA 95206

Stanislaus County  
900 North Broadway  
Turlock, CA 95380

Humboldt County Fair  
1250 5<sup>th</sup> Street  
Ferndale, CA 95536

Shasta District Fair  
1890 Briggs Street  
Anderson, CA 96007

Tulare County Fair  
215 Martin Luther King  
Tulare, CA 93274

Kern County Fair  
1142 South P Street  
Bakersfield, CA 93307

Monterey County Fair  
2004 Fairground Road  
Monterey, CA 93940

Ventura County Fair  
10 West Harbor Blvd  
Ventura, CA 93001-2706

**CALIFORNIA AUTHORITY OF RACING FAIRS****Board of Directors Meeting****Tuesday, March 5, 2013****MINUTES**

A teleconference meeting of the California Authority of Racing Fairs Board of Directors was held at 12:30 P.M., Tuesday, March 5, 2013. The meeting was hosted at the CARF offices, 1776 Tribute Road, Sacramento, California.

CARF Board Directors attending: John Alkire, Mike Paluszak, Rick Pickering and Kelly Violini.  
Joining by conference call: Chris Borovansky and Dan Jacobs

Staff and Guests attending: Christopher Korby, Larry Swartzlander, Heather Haviland, Amelia White, Raechelle Gibbons, Anne Grottveit, Tawny Tesconi, Ross Liscum and Richard Lewis.

**Agenda Item 1 – Date, Time and Location of Next Meeting.** The next CARF Live Racing Committee meeting will be held April 2, 2013 in Sacramento.

**Agenda Item 2 – Approval of Minutes.** Mr. Pickering moved to approve the meeting minutes as presented. Mr. Paluszak seconded, unanimously approved.

**Agenda Item 3 – Executive Session: Pending Litigation.** Mr. Alkire stated that pursuant to section 54954.5 of the California Government Code, the CARF Board of Directors will enter into Executive or Closed Session to discuss anticipated litigation related to a demand letter received from Sonoma County counsel related to the separation of Sonoma County Fair from CARF live racing services for its 2013 live racing Fair.

The CARF Board entered closed session.

After returning, Mr. Alkire stated that by reporting on closed session, agenda items 3 and 5 would be covered.

Mr. Alkire reported that the CARF Board, by unanimous vote, authorized Legal Counsel and the Executive Director to negotiate the following:

1. Financial settlement for funds in dispute.
2. Authority to negotiate Sonoma County Fair's membership status.
3. Waiver of notice provision in JPA Agreement, Article 20.
4. Negotiations are to be concluded by March 22, 2013.

The CARF Board appointed a committee of Chairman and Vice-Chairman to review and approve settlement documents.

Mr. Pickering requested that the report from closed session be provided in writing to the Sonoma County Fair.

**Agenda Item 4 – Report, Discussion and Action, if any, on Legislative Program for 2013.** Mr. Alkire stated that Mr. Brown was unavailable and that there was nothing further to report at this time.

**Agenda Item 5 – Discussion and Action, if any, on membership status of Sonoma County Fair in California Authority of Racing Fairs, as a Joint-Powers Authority.** Covered under agenda item 3.

**Agenda Item 6 – Discussion and Action Confirming Agency Policy Regarding Payment of Monies Due CARF by Member Fairs.** Mr. Korby reported that this item has been a continuing topic of discussion both with this group and the CARF Board of Directors. Mr. Korby stated that this issue originally arose with respect to money that was due as a reimbursement to CARF for racing expenses that CARF had advanced on behalf of member Fairs that conduct live racing. The meeting packets include minutes from the June 2, 2009 Board of Directors meeting at which the CARF Board adopted policy with respect to CARF holding commission payments until such time as the expenses are reimbursed.

Mr. Paluszak moved to confirm that the policy established on June 2, 2009 regarding payment of monies due CARF by member Fairs stands until changed. \* Ms. Violini seconded, unanimously approved.

\* Policy established on June 2, 2009 gives CARF authority to withhold monies equal to 65% of a Fair's projected expenses from racing commissions until such time that the Fair pays the CARF invoices for actual racing expenses.

Mr. Paluszak requested that CARF staff compile a consolidation of CARF's active policies. Mr. Korby reported that staff has already begun a review and documentation of CARF's agency operations and policies.

Mr. Jacobs stated that the agency policy regarding payment of monies due to CARF by member Fairs does not protect CARF from monies advanced for programs on behalf of Southern California satellite wagering facilities. Mr. Korby stated that as a pragmatic solution, most member Fairs have had a balance of funds secured in the CARF Equipment Replacement Fund (ERF) sufficient to cover the potential deficit. Mr. Jacobs stated that he is concerned that the existing policy is not sufficient to cover debts and that with Fairs leaving the ERF, the safety net that has been used in the past is no longer relevant. Mr. Jacobs asked if it is possible to implement a security agreement that would include the assignment of rights to pari-mutuel revenues to cover debts. Mr. Korby stated that he and Ms. Grottveit have drafted a security agreement that has been circulated in the meetings since last November. There is not yet consensus from all of the members of the Live Racing Committee level and the draft agreement is still a work in progress.

**Agenda Item 7 – Discussion and Action, if any, on Disposition of Overpayments from CARF Consolidated Purse Account in 2012.** Ms. Grottveit stated that to a certain extent this agenda item was addressed by the CARF Board of Directors in closed session, but correspondence with Sonoma County counsel indicated that Sonoma County Fair representatives wanted the opportunity to discuss certain items that were contained in a memorandum dated February 8, 2013. Ms. Grottveit stated that the memorandum has already been circulated and that additional copies are available as handouts. Ms. Grottveit concluded her introduction by stating that the item was open for discussion.

Ms. Tesconi stated that some of the items of concern in the memorandum have nothing to do with the consolidated purse account. Ms. Grottveit stated that Ms. Tesconi was correct, but that this was an opportunity to discuss any concerns contained in the memorandum. Ms. Tesconi asked if doing so was an agenda item conflict. Ms. Grottveit stated that concern would exist if Board action was required and that any potential conflict could be addressed after discussion.

Ms. Tesconi stated that Ms. Grottveit's response to Sonoma County counsel indicated that the memo would be circulated. Ms. Grottveit stated that the memorandum was circulated in closed session with counsel's letter and that counsel's letter was not appropriate for circulation in open session. Ms. Tesconi stated that her request to discuss the memorandum had more to do with the last sections of the memo and not consolidated purses. Ms. Grottveit stated that it wasn't clear from Sonoma County counsel's letter what exactly Sonoma County Fair representatives were requesting to discuss and even though it is not appropriately part of a Public Records Act request, the CARF Board of Directors would like to give Sonoma County Fair the opportunity for open discussion regarding the memorandum in question. Ms. Tesconi asked if the item could be tabled until negotiations between Sonoma County Fair and CARF are complete. Ms. Grottveit answered in the affirmative.

**Agenda Item 8 – Report and Action, if any, on Development of Satellite Wagering Facilities at Fairs.** Mr. Korby stated that after years of effort, the joint mini-satellite wagering facility project between the Monterey County Fair and Banker's Casino in Salinas is set for a March opening. Mr. Korby encouraged Fair Managers to visit the location.

Mr. Korby reported that there is momentum building in a joint effort between the Kern County Fair and a local card room to form a partnership that would move the existing satellite wagering facility off the Fairgrounds. This opportunity would create an open exhibit hall for the Fair and move the satellite into a modern and comfortable space equipped with all of the casino-related amenities.

**Agenda Item 9 – Financials.** Mr. Alkire stated that current financials are available in the meeting packet and stated that Ms. Gibbons was available to answer any questions.

Mr. Pickering asked CARF staff to provide as soon as possible a rough estimate of the increase in daily expenses, either dollar figure or percentage, that the Live Racing Fairs could expect to see in light of the Sonoma County Fair's departure from CARF services.

**Agenda Item 10 – Executive Director's Report.** Mr. Korby reported that there have been continuing discussions amongst many different parties in Southern California about what a future calendar might look like in the event that Hollywood Park goes out of business.

Mr. Korby reported that Lou Raffetto, President of TOC, has resigned his position.

Respectfully submitted,  
Heather Haviland

Attachment to Minutes  
for March 5, 2013

Reporting Close Session:

Agenda III. and IV. Items

Board by unanimous vote authorized Legal Counsel and Executive Director to negotiate the following:

1. Financial Settlement for funds in dispute.
2. Authority to negotiate Sonoma's membership status.
3. Waiver of notice provision in JPA agreement, Article 20.
4. Negotiations to be concluded by March 22, 2013.

The Board appointed a committee of Chairman and Vice-Chairman to review and approve settlement documents.

CK  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Aug

# Racing Fair

Financial

## Highlights 2012



Total Conventional & ADW Handle

**\$125 million**

Revenue Distributed by CARF

**\$26 million**

Total Commissions

**\$5.9 million**

Total Purses

**\$7.2 million**



	Total	PLN	SAC	SR	FER	STK	FNO
<b>Revenue</b>							
Takeout & Other Revenue	25,534,120	6,661,347	3,767,148	6,652,190	1,667,949	2,829,696	3,955,790
<b>Commissions</b>							
Total Commissions	5,906,506	1,558,336	890,101	1,311,189	545,023	653,881	947,976
<b>Purses</b>							
Total Purses	7,246,134	1,894,882	1,142,729	1,898,668	455,472	759,582	1,094,801
<b>Distributions</b>							
F&E Fund 19614d/License Fees	206,482	54,053	21,368	55,456	12,217	25,311	38,077
F&E Recapture	31,797	8,654	4,612	8,573	1,706	3,132	5,120
CHRB Support	403,131	110,172	58,381	108,520	21,601	39,644	64,813
Commingle Guest O/S	5,070,998	1,366,569	605,760	1,561,356	227,325	485,802	824,186
Interstate Host	894,616	234,117	145,284	226,444	43,132	120,887	124,752
Location Fee	1,500,929	382,358	253,760	381,884	91,525	179,955	211,447
Promotion	141,931	35,833	22,742	37,276	7,477	17,597	21,006
City/County	222,060	57,563	32,817	58,636	12,054	25,475	35,515
Stabling/Vanning	709,644	179,162	113,706	186,377	37,386	87,985	105,028
Equine Lab	67,292	17,444	9,945	17,768	3,653	7,720	10,762
Owners	49,037	12,640	7,173	12,890	2,700	5,696	7,938
Breeders	503,963	128,967	77,163	133,662	29,807	57,931	76,433
CHRIMS	28,386	7,167	4,548	7,455	1,495	3,520	4,201
Other ADW Distributions	279,129	51,172	34,740	84,459	28,404	36,243	44,111
NCOTW Simulcast Expense Fund	2,266,856	560,972	341,575	560,246	146,423	318,854	338,786



## CA Authority of Racing Fairs Legislative Report - Last 10 Days

3/15/2013

**AB 1074 (Atkins D) Horse racing: statewide marketing organization.****Current Text:** Introduced: 2/22/2013 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Introduced:** 2/22/2013**Status:** 3/7/2013-Referred to Com. on G.O.**Location:** 3/7/2013-A. G.O.

2Year Dead	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House					2nd House							

**Summary:** Existing law, operative until January 1, 2014, authorizes thoroughbred racing associations, fairs, and the organization responsible for contracting with thoroughbred racing associations and fairs with respect to the conduct of racing meetings, to form a private, statewide marketing organization to market and promote thoroughbred and fair horse racing. If a marketing organization is formed, existing law requires an amount not to exceed 0.25% of the total amount handled by each satellite wagering facility to be distributed to the marketing organization, and imposes certain requirements on the marketing organization, including that the marketing organization annually submit certain information to the California Horse Racing Board. Violations of the Horse Racing Law are generally misdemeanors. This bill would delete the repeal date, thereby extending the operation of those provisions indefinitely. By making these provisions of the Horse Racing Law permanent, a violation of which is a crime, the bill would create new crimes and would thereby impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

**Organization Position**

CARF

**AB 1154 (Gray D) Horse racing: equine drug testing: equine medical director.****Current Text:** Introduced: 2/22/2013 [pdf](#) [html](#)**Introduced:** 2/22/2013**Status:** 3/7/2013-Referred to Com. on G.O.**Location:** 3/7/2013-A. G.O.

2Year Dead	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House					2nd House							

**Summary:** Existing law provides that it is the intent of the Legislature that the California Horse Racing Board contract with the Regents of the University of California to provide equine drug testing. Existing law also requires the board to contract to provide compensation for an equine medical director, with specified duties and responsibilities, who shall advise the Kenneth L. Maddy Equine Analytical Chemistry Laboratory, located at the University of California at Davis,

and be appointed by the Dean of the School of Veterinary Medicine, as specified. This bill would instead authorize the board to contract to provide compensation for an equine medical director.

#### **Organization Position**

CARF

#### **AB 1226 (Hall D) Horse racing: jockey riding fees.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/22/2013 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/22/2013

**Status:** 3/7/2013-Referred to Com. on G.O.

**Location:** 3/7/2013-A. G.O.

2Year Dead	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House					2nd House							

**Summary:** Existing law establishes the California Horse Racing Board, which generally regulates horse racing in the state, including setting default riding fees for jockeys that apply in the absence of a contract or special agreement regarding riding fees to the contrary. Existing law establishes the default riding fees for jockeys as a certain percentage of the win purse, which is defined as the amount paid the winning horse less the fees paid by the owner to enter the horse in the race. Existing law also requires a jockey who finishes 2nd or 3rd in a race in which the purse is \$9,999 or less to be awarded a minimum amount, as specified. This bill would instead require a jockey whose horse finishes first, 2nd, or 3rd, to be paid percentages of the gross purse, as specified, except a jockey who finishes 2nd or 3rd in a race in which the purse is \$9,999 or less. The bill would define gross purse as the published amount of the purse before any deductions. By imposing requirements on the payments made to jockeys, the violation of which would be a misdemeanor, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

#### **Organization Position**

CARF

#### **AB 1267 (Hall D) Tribal gaming: compact ratification.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/22/2013 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/22/2013

**Status:** 3/11/2013-Referred to Coms. on G.O. and NAT. RES.

**Location:** 3/11/2013-A. G.O.

2Year Dead	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House					2nd House							

**Summary:** Existing federal law, the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988, provides for the negotiation and execution of tribal-state gaming compacts for the purpose of authorizing certain types of gaming on Indian lands within a state. The California Constitution authorizes the Governor to negotiate and conclude

compacts, subject to ratification by the Legislature. Existing law expressly ratifies a number of tribal-state gaming compacts, and amendments of tribal-state gaming compacts, between the State of California and specified Indian tribes, including the Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians. This bill would ratify the amendment to the tribal-state gaming compact entered into between the State of California and the Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians, executed on November 15, 2012. The bill would provide that, in deference to tribal sovereignty, certain actions may not be deemed projects for purposes of CEQA. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

#### Organization Position

CARF

#### [AB 1303](#) ([Hall](#) D) Horse racing: takeouts: disclosure to patrons.

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/22/2013 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/22/2013

**Status:** 3/11/2013-Referred to Com. on G.O.

**Location:** 3/11/2013-A. G.O.

2Year Dead	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
	1st House				2nd House							

**Summary:** Existing law, the Horse Racing Law, authorizes racing associations and fairs to make various deductions from the amounts handled, and specifies the manner in which the amounts deducted are required to be distributed. A violation of the Horse Racing Law, where no other penalty is expressed, is a misdemeanor. This bill would require each racing association or fair to inform patrons, through the official program, of the takeout being applied to the handle for the racing meeting. By creating a new crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

#### Organization Position

CARF

#### [AB 1342](#) ([Jones-Sawyer](#) D) Gambling activities and establishments.

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/22/2013 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/22/2013

**Status:** 3/14/2013-Referred to Com. on G.O.

**Location:** 3/14/2013-A. G.O.

2Year Dead	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
	1st House				2nd House							

**Summary:** The Gambling Control Act provides for the licensure and regulation of various legalized gambling activities and establishments by the California Gambling Control Commission and the investigation and enforcement of those activities and establishments by the Department of Justice. The act requires an application for a license or a determination of suitability to be accompanied by

the deposit of a sum of money that will be adequate to pay the anticipated costs and charges incurred in the investigation and processing of the application. The designated chief at the department is required to adopt a schedule of costs and charges of investigation for use as guidelines in fixing the amount of the required deposit. This bill would require the department and the commission to establish an enhanced fee schedule for applicants who wish to have their applications processed and background investigations conducted in an expedited manner.

#### Organization Position

CARF

#### [AB 1347](#) (Gray D) Horse racing: statewide marketing organization.

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/22/2013 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/22/2013

**Status:** 3/14/2013-Referred to Com. on G.O.

**Location:** 3/14/2013-A. G.O.

2Year Dead	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
	1st House				2nd House							

**Summary:** Existing law, operative until January 1, 2014, authorizes thoroughbred racing associations, fairs, and the organization responsible for contracting with thoroughbred racing associations and fairs with respect to the conduct of racing meetings, to form a private, statewide marketing organization to market and promote thoroughbred and fair horse racing. If a marketing organization is formed, existing law requires an amount not to exceed 0.25% of the total amount handled by each satellite wagering facility to be distributed to the marketing organization, and imposes certain requirements on the marketing organization, including that the marketing organization annually submit certain information to the California Horse Racing Board. This bill would extend the operation of those provisions to January 1, 2019. Because the bill would extend provisions of the Horse Racing Law, a violation of which is a crime, the bill would create new crimes and would thereby impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

#### Organization Position

CARF Sponsor

#### [HR 8](#) (Hall D) Relative to Problem Gambling Awareness Week.

**Current Text:** Amended: 3/7/2013 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/19/2013

**Last Amend:** 3/7/2013

**Status:** 3/7/2013-Read. Amended. Adopted. (Page 523.).

**Location:** 3/7/2013-A. ADOPTED

2Year Dead	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
	1st House				2nd House							

**Summary:** The Bureau of Gambling Control within Department of Justice, the California Gambling Control Commission, the California State Lottery, the California Friday Night Live Partnership, the California Gaming Association, California Tribal Business Alliance, Media Solutions, the NICOS Chinese Health Coalition, and the National Asian Pacific American Families Against Substance Abuse (NAPAFASA) have joined the Office of Problem and Pathological Gambling within the State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs and the California Council on Problem Gambling in a collaborative effort to promote problem gambling prevention and treatment programs; now therefore, be it Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, That the week of March 3, 2013, to March 9, 2013, inclusive, be recognized as Problem Gambling Awareness Week and the agencies and organizations described above be commended for their concerted effort to raise public awareness of problem gambling.

#### **Organization Position**

CARF

#### **SB 472 (Hill D) Gaming: licenses.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/21/2013 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/21/2013

**Status:** 3/11/2013-Referred to Com. on G.O.

**Location:** 3/11/2013-S. G.O.

2Year Dead	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House					2nd House							

**Summary:** The Gambling Control Act provides for the licensure of certain individuals and establishments involved in various gambling activities, and for the regulation of those activities, by the California Gambling Control Commission. The act requires every person who is required to hold a state license to obtain the license prior to engaging in the activity or occupying the position with respect to which the license is required, except as specified. Existing law requires every person who, by order of the commission, is required to apply for a gambling license or a finding of suitability to file an application within 30 calendar days after receipt of the order. This bill would instead require the application described above to be filed within 45 calendar days after receipt of an order of the commission.

#### **Organization Position**

CARF

#### **SB 601 (Yee D) Gambling activities and establishments.**

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/22/2013 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/22/2013

**Status:** 3/11/2013-Referred to Com. on G.O.

**Location:** 3/11/2013-S. G.O.

2Year Dead	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House					2nd House							

**Summary:** The Gambling Control Act provides for the licensure and regulation of various legalized gambling activities and establishments by the California Gambling Control Commission and the investigation and enforcement of those activities and establishments by the Department of Justice. Existing law declares the intent of the Legislature in enacting the act, to provide uniform, minimum standards of regulation of permissible gambling activities and the operation of lawful gambling establishments. This bill would require the Legislative Analyst to conduct an economic study to be submitted to the Legislature no later than December 1, 2014, analyzing the economic impact of all California laws and regulations on gambling establishments and the state's economy, as specified. The bill would authorize the Legislative Analyst to submit a claim to the Controller for its expenses associated with the study, to be paid from the Gambling Control Fund upon appropriation of the Legislature.

#### Organization Position

CARF

#### [SB 678](#) ([Correa](#) D) Internet gambling.

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/22/2013 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/22/2013

**Status:** 3/11/2013-Referred to Com. on G.O.

**Location:** 3/11/2013-S. G.O.

2Year Dead	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House					2nd House							

**Summary:** The Gambling Control Act provides for the licensure of certain individuals and establishments that conduct controlled games, as defined, and for the regulation of these gambling activities by the California Gambling Control Commission. The Department of Justice has related investigatory and enforcement duties under the act. Any violation of these provisions is punishable as a misdemeanor, as specified. This bill would authorize the operation of Internet poker Web sites within the borders of this state. The bill would require the commission to establish a regulatory framework for the licensure of eligible entities and the operation of Internet poker Web sites within the borders of the state.

#### Organization Position

CARF

#### [SB 721](#) ([Padilla](#) D) Horse racing: license fee retention.

**Current Text:** Introduced: 2/22/2013 [pdf](#) [html](#)

**Introduced:** 2/22/2013

**Status:** 3/11/2013-Referred to Com. on G.O.

**Location:** 3/11/2013-S. G.O.

2Year Dead	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
	1st House				2nd House							

**Summary:** Existing law, the Horse Racing Law, generally regulates horse racing and vests the administration and enforcement of the Horse Racing Law in the California Horse Racing Board. A violation of the act, where no other penalty is expressed, is a misdemeanor. Existing law authorizes any county or district agricultural association fair conducting racing meetings for the first time on or after January 1, 1979, to retain the applicable state license fee for payment of a capital expense loan incurred for the purpose of preparing its facilities for horse racing. This bill would repeal that authorization. Because this bill would expand the scope of a crime by removing an exception, it would create a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

### **Organization Position**

CARF

**Total Measures: 12**

**Total Tracking Forms: 12**

BILL NUMBER: AB 1388 INTRODUCED  
BILL TEXT

INTRODUCED BY Committee on Agriculture

MARCH 4, 2013

An act to amend Section 9641.5 of the Food and Agricultural Code, relating to animal quarantine.

# LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1388, as introduced, Committee on Agriculture. Animal quarantine: horses and Equidae: importation: test verification.

Existing law requires any horse or other Equidae brought into this state to be accompanied by a certificate of health and verification that the horse or Equidae has been tested within the preceding 6 months and found negative to a test for equine infectious anemia, except as otherwise specified. A violation of those provisions is a crime.

This bill would instead require verification that a horse or other Equidae has been tested, and found negative, for equine infectious anemia within the preceding 12 months. The bill would also make technical changes.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Section 9641.5 of the Food and Agricultural Code is amended to read:

9641.5. (a) Any horse or other Equidae brought into this state shall be accompanied by the following:

~~—(a)—~~

(1) A certificate of health from the state of origin issued by an accredited veterinarian. The certificate shall state that the horse or other Equidae is free from evidence of any communicable disease.

~~—(b)—~~

(2) Verification that any horse or Equidae has been tested within the preceding ~~six~~ 12 months and found negative to a test for equine infectious anemia. The test shall be approved by the ~~director~~ secretary and conducted by a laboratory approved by the United States Department of Agriculture, and the necessary sample shall be taken, and the verification signed by, an accredited veterinarian.

~~—A~~

(b) A nursing foal of less than six months of age when accompanied by a negative dam and any horse or other Equidae consigned for immediate slaughter shall be exempt from the testing requirements of this section.

~~—Any~~

(c) Any horse or other Equidae moved from California to another state for a period of not more than 14 days, are exempt from this section upon returning to California, provided, that the exemption does not apply to any horse or other Equidae that leaves the continental United States.



## CURRENT BILL STATUS

MEASURE : S.B. No. 741

AUTHOR(S) : Cannella (Coauthors: Senators Fuller, Gaines, and  
Nielsen) (Coauthors: Assembly Members Bigelow, Dahle,  
Gray, Olsen, Perea, V. Manuel Pérez, and Williams).

TOPIC : California fairs: funding.

HOUSE LOCATION : SEN

## TYPE OF BILL :

Active  
Urgency  
Appropriations  
2/3 Vote Required  
Non-State-Mandated Local Program  
Fiscal  
Non-Tax Levy

LAST HIST. ACT. DATE: 03/27/2013

LAST HIST. ACTION : Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of  
author.

COMM. LOCATION : SEN AGRICULTURE

TITLE : An act to amend Sections 19606.1, 19614, 19620, and  
19620.2 of, and to repeal Sections 19608.3 and 19620.1  
of, the Business and Professions Code, to amend Sections  
3954, 3965, 3965.1, and 3967 of, to repeal Sections  
4051.1, 4051.2, 4057, and 4401.5 of, and to repeal and  
add Sections 3200, 4051, and 4053 of, the Food and  
Agricultural Code, and to amend Section 11011.2 of the  
Government Code, relating to fairs, making an  
appropriation therefor, and declaring the urgency  
thereof, to take effect immediately.

COMPLETE BILL HISTORY

BILL NUMBER : S.B. No. 741  
AUTHOR : Cannella  
TOPIC : California fairs: funding.

TYPE OF BILL :  
Active  
Urgency  
Appropriations  
2/3 Vote Required  
Non-State-Mandated Local Program  
Fiscal  
Non-Tax Levy

BILL HISTORY

2013

Mar. 27 Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.  
Mar. 19 Set for hearing April 2.  
Mar. 11 Referred to Coms. on AGRI. and G.O.  
Feb. 25 Read first time.  
Feb. 23 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 25.  
Feb. 22 Introduced. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.

**CALIFORNIA AUTHORITY OF RACING FAIRS**  
**BALANCE SHEET**  
**December 31, 2012**

**VIII.**

**ASSETS**

	<b>CURRENT YTD</b>	<b>PRIOR YTD</b>
	<b>12/31/12</b>	<b>12/31/11</b>
<b>Current Assets</b>		
CASH - LAIF & INVESTMENTS	1,256,057	267,402
CASH - OPERATING/MM	312,679	2,453,797
CASH - TRUST & TOC	1,178,023	1,158,399
MARKETABLE SECURITIES	2,476,282	2,420,128
A/R - DUES	27,103	31,354
A/R - PROGRAMS	47,484	25,604
A/R - RACING FAIRS	291,321	126,322
A/R - ERF/OTHER A/R	383,816	1,256,618
PREPAIDS/DEPOSITS	54,283	62,773
OPEB ASSETS	89,564	89,564
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>6,116,613</b>	<b>7,891,960</b>
<b>Fixed Assets</b>		
AUTOMOBILE	62,571	34,040
FURNITURE & EQUIPMENT	259	926
COMPUTER HARDWARE/SOFTWARE	5,182	6,315
TRACK EQUIPMENT	150,234	150,234
<b>Total Fixed Assets (Net of Depr.)</b>	<b>218,246</b>	<b>191,515</b>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>6,334,859</b>	<b>8,083,475</b>

**LIABILITIES & NET ASSETS**

<b>Current Liabilities</b>		
A/P & WITHHOLDINGS	772,251	1,355,025
A/P - PROGRAM ROYALTIES TO HOST	27,947	54,471
RACING DISTRIBUTIONS	517,256	164,493
PURSES	575,162	1,153,138
TRACK SAFETY/MAINT.	223,921	426,164
INFOTEXT UPGRADE	0	0
CMC HORSEMENS PROGRAMS	11,855	0
LOU-1 - TIMING/TRACK SURFACE/AREA ENHANC	0	0
LOU-2 - SPECIAL EVENT CENTERS	0	0
LOU-3 - SATELLITE SURVEY/TURF STUDY	0	0
EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT FUND	0	0
LOU-5 - SYMPOSIUM	3,805	3,805
MINI SATELLITE FUNDS	500,905	503,436
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND	150,420	75,164
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>2,783,523</b>	<b>3,735,696</b>
<b>Non-Current Liabilities</b>		
CHRIMS FUNDS	92,348	91,661
CHANGE FUND	1,014,000	1,014,000
FAIRS - EQUIP REPLACEMENT FUNDS	1,523,273	2,122,079
<b>Total Non-Current Liabilities</b>	<b>2,629,621</b>	<b>3,227,739</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>5,413,144</b>	<b>6,963,436</b>
<b>Net Assets</b>		
FUND EQUITY	969,806	709,681
F&E Net Assets	150,234	150,234
CARF@GG	0	0
RETIREMENT CONTINGENCY	0	0
NET INCOME/LOSS	(198,324)	260,125
<b>Total Net Assets</b>	<b>921,716</b>	<b>1,120,040</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES &amp; NET ASSETS</b>	<b>6,334,859</b>	<b>8,083,475</b>

NY Times

IX.

HOME PAGE TODAY'S PAPER VIDEO MOST POPULAR U.S. Edition

Subscribe: Digital / Home Delivery Log In Register Now Help

The New York Times

## Sports

Search All NYTimes.com


 WORLD U.S. N.Y. / REGIONAL BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY SCIENCE HEALTH SPORTS OPINION ARTS STYLE TRAVEL JOBS REAL ESTATE AUTOS  
 BASEBALL N.F.L. COLLEGE FOOTBALL N.B.A. COLLEGE BASKETBALL HOCKEY SOCCER GOLF TENNIS GLOBAL SPORTS **BUY TICKETS**

**MANLY STYLE  
UNDER \$50**

Free shipping &amp; return

SHOP

Advertise on NYTimes.com

## Cash-Hungry States Eye Sports Betting, to Leagues' Dismay



**N.C.A.A.: Money Talks:** The Times's Joe Drape talks about who's getting in on the billions spent on gambling and previews the Round of 16.

By **JOE DRAPE**

Published: March 27, 2013

**LAS VEGAS** — It is a lucrative time of year for Nevada, where more than \$200 million will be wagered in sports books on the N.C.A.A. men's basketball tournament, a pot of money that has budget-crunched states across the country pushing for a piece of the action despite strong opposition from the N.C.A.A. and professional sports leagues.

[Enlarge This Image](#)


Isaac Brekken for The New York Times  
Patrons at the Las Vegas Hotel and Casino sports book last Thursday as the N.C.A.A. men's basketball tournament

Voters in New Jersey passed a referendum by a 2-to-1 margin making sports betting legal, and last year Gov. Chris Christie signed a law legalizing it at Atlantic City's 12 casinos and the state's 4 horse racing tracks. Illinois is considering allowing sports betting, and California lawmakers are looking to reintroduce a sports gambling bill that the



FACEBOOK

TWITTER

GOOGLE+

SAVE

E-MAIL

SHARE

PRINT

REPRINTS

### Buy Sports Tickets

Mar 31, 2013 Sony Open: Session 24: Men's  
Sun 12:00PM Final  
Tennis Center At Crandon Park

Buy

Mar 31, 2013 Boston Celtics vs. New York  
Sun 7:30PM Knicks  
Madison Square Garden

Buy

Apr 1, 2013 Boston Red Sox vs. New York  
Mon 1:05PM Yankees  
Yankee Stadium

Buy

Apr 3, 2013 Pittsburgh Penguins vs. New York  
Wed 7:30PM Rangers  
Madison Square Garden

Buy

Apr 7, 2013 WWE: WrestleMania XXIX  
Sun 6:30PM MetLife Stadium (Formerly New  
Meadowlands Stadium)

Buy

TicketNetwork is a resale marketplace and is not a box office or venue.

MOST E-MAILED

MOST VIEWED

fully got under way.

[Enlarge This Image](#)



Isaac Brekken for The New York Times  
Bill Keith filling out a betting slip.

[Enlarge This Image](#)



Isaac Brekken for The New York Times  
March Madness in Las Vegas.

## State Senate passed last year.

All this has the sports' governing bodies on high alert. The N.C.A.A. has filed a lawsuit with the N.F.L., the N.H.L., the N.B.A. and Major League Baseball claiming that sports betting in New Jersey would "irreparably" corrupt sports in the United States. This year they were joined by the Justice Department, which defended the constitutionality of a 1992 law banning sports betting outside Nevada and a few other states that had long allowed such gambling.

The N.C.A.A. also canceled several tournaments and sporting events in the state and said it would bar New Jersey from hosting events in the future if sports betting were put into effect.

Last month, a federal judge ruled against New Jersey and upheld the ban on sports betting. The state is appealing, and legal experts say the case will likely reach the Supreme Court.

As gamblers poured into Las Vegas in anticipation of three weeks of betting on unpredictable tournament action, the N.C.A.A. sounded this warning on its official Twitter account: "Student-athletes, coaches & admins: A reminder that betting on #MarchMadness isn't worth the risks," with a link to a release [detailing the arguments](#) against wagering on sports.

In a statement, the organization was concise in explaining its opposition. "The N.C.A.A. maintains that the spread of legalized sports wagering is a threat to the integrity of athletic competition and student-athlete well-being," it said.

Nevertheless, the money being wagered on the tournament will more than double the record \$98.9 million bet on last month's Super Bowl.

The federal law on sports betting, which was championed by then-Senator Bill Bradley of New Jersey, a former player for the Knicks, was intended to limit its expansion beyond Nevada, Delaware, Oregon and Montana. But New Jersey and advocates in other states say there are too many dollars at stake for that policy to continue to make sense.

Nevada took in more than \$3.4 billion in bets on sports last year, generating \$15 million to \$20 million in tax revenue. The F.B.I. estimates that \$2.6 billion is bet illegally on the college basketball tournament alone, while the National Gambling Impact Study



1. Bacteria in the Intestines May Help Tip the Bathroom Scale, Studies Show



2. Is Giving the Secret to Getting Ahead?



3. Job Prospects Are Dimming for Radiology Trainees



4. Rabbi Herschel Schacter Is Dead at 95; Cried to the Jews of Buchenwald: 'You Are Free'



5. 3rd Oral Drug to Treat MS Is Approved by the F.D.A.



6. WELL  
Easing Brain Fatigue With a Walk in the Park



7. OP-ED CONTRIBUTOR  
Antibiotics and the Meat We Eat



8. MAUREEN DOWD  
Courting Cowardice



9. Dairy Finds a Way to Let Cows Power Trucks



10. GAIL COLLINS  
Cooling on Warming

[Go to Complete List »](#)

[Show My Recommendations](#)



## Puerto Rico creates tax shelters in appeal to the rich


ALSO IN BUSINESS »

Searching for reasonable and understandable credit card processing

This week in Small Business: does Yelp help?

**nytimes.com**

**BUSINESS**



The New York Times

The NYTimes app for iPad®

[Download Now »](#)

Ads by Google

what's this?

## Join LPL Financial®

How do you build & grow independent advisor business? Free download.

[www.JoinLPL.com](http://www.JoinLPL.com)



Commission says \$380 billion is bet annually with bookies or offshore betting operations, often controlled by organized crime, on all sporting events together.

Last March, Nevada sports books handled \$288.5 million in bets on basketball, an estimated 70 percent of them — or \$201 million — on college games, according to the state's gambling commission.

In Britain, where bookmaking shops are ubiquitous and online wagering is readily available, bookmakers paid 900 million pounds in taxes (about \$1.36 billion), 24 percent of it, or about \$343 million, on sports and horse racing, according to a study by Deloitte on behalf of the Association of British Bookmakers.

The predawn scene at the Las Vegas Hotel and Casino last week served as a vivid illustration of Nevada's special lure. At 4:30 a.m., a group had claimed a table in the sports book area of the casino, and within three hours, hundreds of people were in a line that snaked through slot machines and onto the casino floor.

"This is the only place to be during the tournament," said Laurie Moss, a Denver software architect who has made the pilgrimage here for 13 years to bet on college games.

The growing acceptance of legalized sports betting has been reflected in an array of polls. Most recently, one from Fairleigh Dickinson University's [PublicMind in December](#) found that 51 percent of registered voters favor legalizing sports betting in states where it is not legal. That was up from its March 2010 poll, which showed 39 percent of voters supported expanding sports betting.

Even a Las Vegas stalwart like Bill Herzog, who often travels here from Los Angeles to gamble, says staying home to bet every now and then can be a good thing. "When people are going to gamble anyway, we might as well let some of that revenue stay in their home states," he said.

In court, the N.C.A.A. and sports leagues have argued that wider sports betting would compromise their image and offer a powerful temptation for their athletes to fix games. It is an argument advocates of sports betting claim is disingenuous, considering that the leagues have coexisted with gambling in Nevada since 1949.

Dennis Drazin, a lawyer who advises Monmouth Park racetrack, which has announced plans to set up a sports book, said the leagues long ago made gambling part of their enterprise.

"If gambling is really hurting the leagues, why does every sports show

talk about point spreads and favorites and underdogs? And why does every office in America have a pool on the N.C.A.A. tournament?" Drazin said. "Really, what they are doing is permitting the mob to control all the betting and, in effect, acting like co-conspirators."

He also points to policies at odds with their stated opposition. Las Vegas hosted several N.C.A.A. conference tournaments this month, and professional athletes are allowed to promote team-branded lottery cards and to encourage fantasy leagues on their Web sites.

A. G. Burnett, chairman of the Nevada State Gaming Control Board, said perhaps the best way for sports leagues to protect themselves from gambling was to allow betting to expand in legal and regulated fashion.

"We have been in this business for decades and haven't had any problems with Nevada or U.N.L.V. or any of our universities," Burnett said. "The game-fixing scandals have happened in other states where gambling is illegal. What we have here is a regulatory process specifically to monitor what happens on both sides of the counter. This is all we do, and we're good at it."

A version of this article appeared in print on March 28, 2013, on page A1 of the New York edition with the headline: Needy States Weigh Sport Betting As Leagues Line Up Against It.

SAVE E-MAIL SHARE



Get 50% Off The New York Times & Free All Digital Access.

#### Get Free E-mail Alerts on These Topics

[Gambling](#)

[Athletics and Sports](#)

[NCAA Basketball Championships \(Men\)](#)

[Basketball](#)

Ads by Google

[what's this?](#)

#### [3 Early Signs of Dementia](#)

Doctor: Know These 3 Warning Signs

You're About to Suffer Dementia

[www.newsmax.com](http://www.newsmax.com)

#### INSIDE NYTIMES.COM

FASHION & STYLE »

HEALTH »

N.Y. / REGION »

OPINION »

HOME & GARDEN »

OPINION »



**What's Next in Middle East Diplomacy?**  
Talks with Israelis and Palestinians



[Electric Blue Is the  
Spring Look for Men](#)

[Living With Cancer:  
The Scar Project](#)

[Cardinal Goes Behind  
Bars to Commune](#)

[show an interest,  
but, Room for  
Debate asks, can  
Obama make  
progress?](#)

[The Art of  
Unjumbling](#)

[Op-Ed: A No-Fly  
Zone for Knives](#)

© 2013 The New York Times Company [Site Map](#) [Privacy](#) [Your Ad Choices](#) [Advertise](#) [Terms of Sale](#) [Terms of Service](#) [Work With Us](#) [RSS](#)  
[Help](#) [Contact Us](#) [Site Feedback](#)





March 14, 2013

**PRESIDENT**

JAMES M. CASSIDY

**NO CAL VICE PRESIDENT**

GLORIA HALEY

**SO CAL VICE PRESIDENT**

RON ELLIS

**TREASURER**

JORGE GUTIERREZ

**SECRETARY**

ANGIE CARMONA

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

RENE AMESCUA

TIM BELLASIS

JAMES M. CASSIDY

BEN CECIL

RON ELLIS

JORGE GUTIERREZ

GLORIA HALEY

EOIN HARTY

JOHN W. SADLER

**IN MEMORIAM**

NOBLE THREEWITT

President & Director

**EXECUTIVE STAFF**

ALAN F. BALCH

Executive Director

CHARLES E. DOUGHERTY, JR.

Deputy Director

ANGIE CARMONA

Secretary/Deputy Director

**SO. CALIFORNIA - MAIN OFFICE**

Santa Anita Park

285 W. Huntington Drive

Arcadia, CA 91007

P.O. Box 660039

Arcadia, CA 91066-0039

(626) 447-2145 (Office)

(626) 446-0270 (Fax)

E-Mail: caltrnrs@pacbell.net

**NO. CALIFORNIA - FIELD OFFICE**

Golden Gate Fields

1100 Eastshore Highway

Berkeley, CA 94710

P.O. Box 6027

Berkeley, CA 94706

(510) 524-3081 (Office)

(510) 524-5280 (Fax)

E-Mail: nocactt@aol.com

www.caltrainers.org

Thoroughbred Owners of California (TOC)  
Attn: Chairman Mike Pegram and Directors  
Santa Anita Park  
285 West Huntington Drive  
Arcadia, California 91007

Dear Chairman Pegram and All TOC Directors:

As you know, some of the most important decisions in the history of California racing are before us, related to the impending closure of Hollywood Park, a situation which demands inclusion and transparency in evaluating the alternatives which will serve the best interest of the sport and all stakeholders.

Unfortunately, TOC's approach to this matter – which involves all of California racing, not just Southern California – has been neither inclusive nor transparent. It has led to suspicion, mistrust, and misinformation. The only organization to hold any open meetings or open discussion about this situation thus far has been ours, and we have been hampered in our efforts to make objective evaluations of the alternatives by our inability to receive critical, verified information. Given TOC's legislated role in California racing, its lack of correct governance and transparent consideration in the present circumstance is unconscionable.

There can be no doubt that individual principals of TOC have been involved in meetings for many months with track executives, members of the California Horse Racing Board, potential investors, and chosen stakeholders, apparently pursuing some kind of plan(s), without involving or even informing the full board of TOC, and without involving those individuals (and their elected organizational leadership) whose very livelihoods depend on a successful sport in California, the trainers. Only this much is clear: with critical time disappearing each day, the trainers and other equally important stakeholders will simply be informed of decisions once they are made, with the obvious intention that it will be too late at that point to consider any other alternatives than what have been chosen by a few principals of TOC and the existing tracks, who may or may not be in conflicted positions.

With the pending closure of Hollywood Park, it is apparent from published reports and presentations to the CTT Board of Directors, that TOC's principals have been pursuing some kind of arrangement with Los Alamitos – using the staff resources of Del Mar Thoroughbred Club – at the same time as Santa Anita's new chairman has been involved, to the exclusion of serious consideration of Barretts/Fairplex Park. We have been advised that the Los Alamitos "arrangement," whatever its details ultimately may be, depends and is conditioned on, a drastic

revision of the caliber and amount of Thoroughbred racing to be conducted at Los Alamitos throughout the rest of the year, during the Los Alamitos Quarter Horse meetings. This one fact by itself may threaten the very existence of Northern California racing, and may also bring serious harm to remaining Thoroughbred racing in the south as well, at all existing race meetings.

Moreover, the planned track at Los Alamitos presents serious safety issues, based as it is on a planned stabling of approximately 1,700 horses, training (and racing) on a track expanded to over 7/8 mile, without any expansion, let alone proportional expansion, of the current turn curvatures! The plan shows turn radii of 287' to 290', with far, far longer straightaways than now exist, and any suggestions for methods of expanding those turns proportionally or at all have apparently been rejected.

It doesn't take an engineer to understand the significant additional stress that will be put on horses training or racing on such a disproportionate track. Even the inner track at Aqueduct, used for winter racing there, has been criticized as a safety hazard due to its "tight turns," which measure a radius of 350 feet at a mile, or 21% greater than the Los Alamitos pending design. Let us also emphasize that the length of straightaway – that is, the length of track permitting a horse to gain speed into the turn – is directly related to the potential stress and safety hazards of the turn design. In addition, the greater cross-slope/banking required for such tight turns leads to engineering problems in the grades for the transitions, not just from the home stretch into the first turn, but particularly out of the backstretch chute into that straightaway. Simply connecting turns engineered for a 5/8 track to expanded straightaways, intended to transform that track to "just under a mile," amounts to forced engineering, without the welfare of the horses adequately considered.

These are just a few of the problems the Los Alamitos plan faces. What exactly is the investment plan contemplated? Who are the principals? How will management decisions be made? Who will make them? Are any TOC directors directly or indirectly involved in investment, planning, or business decisions? Who is speaking for the horsemen in the contemplated designs, and in the contemplated business plan? What is the business plan, in fact? Are any other track managements involved in the business or investment plan?

Then there are the issues of racing dates. Our understanding is that an uncertain number of racing weeks vacated by Hollywood Park would be used by the training site for additional racing, in order to finance the expansion plan. If this is the case, why aren't other industry stakeholders evaluating the plans, to ascertain their reliability and objectivity? Why isn't this being done transparently, if it is being done at all?

Above all, the owners of valuable racing and breeding stock should be committed to ensuring the safest, most productive, most reliable, and longest term plan for the future, in conjunction with their trainers and the organization representing trainers. Los Alamitos is apparently committed to a ten-year plan, with a minimum of four years' notice of closure. Is this sufficient for a statewide industry of the size, scope, and present investment level of Thoroughbred racing in California? Can a ten-year agreement

even be enforced, practically, should a principal owner or investor sell his or her interest, or die? Given the fundamental property value of the Los Alamitos facility, in a prime Orange Country location, will its most valuable use be as a training center?


There can be no question that the Barretts/Fairplex Park facility and alternative must be considered seriously, and carefully, given all the foregoing. To begin with, no one can question its true long-term future, which is far, far in excess of ten years. Second, its close proximity to Santa Anita, where most of the vacated Hollywood Park racing weeks would be run, is of critical importance to owners, trainers, and to Santa Anita, which must be expected to fill more races over more weeks than ever before. We must all remember that the new facility is – as a matter of first priority and proportional usage – principally a training center, not a racing facility. With the exceptionally high proportion of handle and purse generation now generated away from the actual racing facility, on-track handle during the few racing weeks of the training facility is of far less importance than total handle generated from all sources. Pomona's plans, particularly for turf racing as well as training, must be seriously considered in that light.

Given the time that TOC principals, and others, have apparently spent developing the Los Alamitos alternative, at least the same attention must be provided to Barretts/Fairplex, prior to any decisions being reached. In addition, potential racing calendars must be considered in an inclusive and transparent manner, rather than by track executives and TOC representatives meeting behind closed doors, to the exclusion of important stakeholders.

Furthermore, virtually no mention has been made anywhere, except in CTT's open meetings, about the existing one-mile training facility at San Luis Rey Downs, apparently owned by an entity of The Stronach Group. This facility's possible use in whatever plans are considered must also be a factor.

In conclusion, the TOC has a responsibility to all its constituents, all licensed owners in California, including trainers also holding an owners license, to see that appropriate, inclusive, and informed discussion and consideration is provided every alternative. We look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "James Cassidy", is written over the typed name.

James Cassidy, President

copy: TOC Directors  
CTT Directors  
California Horse Racing Board  
CTBA Directors



Published on *Daily Racing Form* (<http://www.drf.com>)

[Home](#) > [News](#) > [Categories](#) > [Headlines](#) > Los Alamitos withdraws offer to expand track for Thoroughbreds

03/26/2013 4:16 PM

## Los Alamitos withdraws offer to expand track for Thoroughbreds

By Steve Andersen

0

Los Alamitos withdrew an offer Tuesday to expand its racetrack to accommodate Thoroughbred racing and training beginning in 2014, citing disagreements with the California Thoroughbred Trainers over the proposed racetrack expansion and a desire to avoid a showdown with Fairplex Park on acquiring racing dates.

Brad McKinzie, a consultant with Los Alamitos, said Tuesday that track owner Ed Allred could resume discussions about an expansion, if Thoroughbred industry officials deem the Fairplex Park proposal unsatisfactory.

"Ed has said all along that we won't be part of a beauty contest," McKinzie said. "They should judge Fairplex Park on its merits – stabling, the racetrack, and what it will cost. If at that time, they want to talk to Los Alamitos, I'm sure Los Alamitos will have an open mind. At this time, we won't get involved with industry infighting."

Los Alamitos, in Cypress, Calif., and Fairplex Park, in Pomona, have submitted proposals to the industry in recent months to expand their properties to gain racing dates and host training as a replacement for Hollywood Park in Inglewood, Calif. Hollywood Park has committed to racing and training through December, but is not expected to be open in 2014. The track's parent company has indicated a desire to develop the property for residential and commercial uses.

In the event Hollywood Park closes, Del Mar and Santa Anita are expected to

request more racing dates, leaving approximately six to eight weeks of racing in early summer and in the fall for another venue.

Tuesday, McKinzie challenged safety concerns expressed earlier this month by the CTT regarding the expansion of the current five-eighths-mile track at Los Alamitos to a circumference of just less than a mile.

In a letter from the CTT to the Thoroughbred Owners of California, CTT officials said they were unhappy with the “tight turns” proposed at Los Alamitos.

“We’ve gone out of our way to make sure it’s as safe as possible,” McKinzie said.

The Los Alamitos proposal did not include plans for a turf course.

Fairplex Park has proposed an expansion of its current five-eighths-mile track to six furlongs and construction of a five-eighths-mile turf course on its infield.

CTT president Jim Cassidy reiterated Tuesday that his organization was not comfortable with the Los Alamitos track expansion.

“I’ve described the racetrack they designed as a cucumber,” he said.

“Obviously, they’re upset with our accounts, but I don’t think they were false.”

© 2013 Daily Racing Form. All rights reserved. | [Credits](#)

**Source URL (retrieved on 03/28/2013):** <http://www.drf.com/news/los-alamitos-withdraws-offer-expand-track-thoroughbreds>



# CALIFORNIA FAIRS SATELLITE NETWORK

## PARI-MUTUEL HANDLE & DISTRIBUTIONS (LIVE & SIMULCAST)

### 1987-2011

Handle & Distributions Figures Do Not Include Adadvanced Deposit Wagering (ADW).

Detailed Distribution Report																			
Date Range:		01/01/1987 - 12/31/2011																	
Race Type:		All Races																	
Hosts:		All Hosts																	
Locations:		All Locations																	
Tracks:		All Tracks																	
Report By:		Location																	
Location Types:		California																	
Location	Handle	License Fee	CHRB Support Fee	F & E	City Tax	Equine	Workers Comp	Satellite Location	Expense Fund	Promotion	Chrims	Van Stable	Proxy Fees	Track	Purses	SB1072	Owners	Breeders Sires	To Out of State Tracks
Anderson	80,326,332	1,735,350	21,782	107,492	259,350	79,876	35,889	1,561,052	2,590,031	375,187	665	477,976	5	3,573,453	3,554,070	11,720	73,688	353,553	485,662
Bakersfield	332,889,862	7,195,451	80,071	286,427	1,078,953	324,000	144,536	6,521,346	11,585,888	1,898,923	3,119	1,870,351	27,400	14,786,473	14,721,231	46,641	253,305	1,432,857	1,560,638
Eureka	50,441,318	1,136,636	1,498	64,349	162,942	49,268	19,097	963,000	1,530,973	239,657	0	282,824	0	2,210,479	2,202,696	0	45,955	221,303	309,455
Ferndale	24,253,924	237,130	12,174	216,928	57,407	17,122	17,917	88,856	270,195	17,584	84	34,457	16	1,811,479	1,933,428	9,984	22,310	102,365	21,031
Fresno	538,571,201	11,525,428	119,225	1,627,752	1,750,322	468,796	207,180	8,371,971	14,577,681	2,180,333	2,722	2,092,976	19,666	27,777,158	28,296,042	71,522	464,513	2,248,679	1,919,004
Fresno Club One	57,316,755	536,659	42,092	40,307	187,477	57,332	62,799	1,139,563	2,208,314	230,978	1,612	422,308	11,622	2,887,194	2,897,736	23,633	34,404	287,018	593,630
Imperial	63,558	1,310	0	0	2	64	0	1,084	1,355	217	0	303	0	2,631	2,593	0	38	293	1,915
Lake Perris	427,495,310	8,809,745	280,714	299,350	1,392,429	427,509	349,298	8,443,556	12,964,749	2,143,619	6,266	2,969,499	32,690	20,302,762	20,177,793	126,913	325,512	2,051,114	2,747,504
Lakeport	100,835	1,281	0	33	0	101	0	1,737	2,522	347	0	608	0	4,258	4,304	0	61	462	2,680
Lancaster	449,439,696	10,276,825	287,994	284,420	1,469,915	449,030	302,550	8,907,423	14,124,713	2,793,460	6,872	3,177,612	51,612	20,600,824	20,448,357	117,243	330,302	2,070,048	2,524,441
Merced	131,242	1,623	0	41	0	131	0	2,309	3,535	462	0	808	0	5,700	5,721	0	81	611	3,377
Mobile Unit	2,578,629	81,157	0	2,675	2,952	2,518	0	50,882	73,558	19,517	0	17,032	0	92,785	90,371	0	2,414	9,890	4,888
Monterey	321,483,483	6,086,931	86,724	293,002	1,043,884	320,172	154,657	6,300,773	11,719,882	1,582,997	3,680	1,602,658	39,355	15,075,852	15,035,434	50,941	257,078	1,412,750	2,171,679
Paso Robles	790,507	16,733	0	108	176	791	0	14,170	17,078	3,045	0	4,087	0	34,178	33,705	0	535	3,652	18,335
Pleasanton	1,439,548,074	26,266,464	532,315	2,927,869	4,676,666	1,338,988	825,038	24,304,043	45,181,546	5,527,227	17,198	7,617,220	158,214	72,998,837	73,974,704	307,418	1,192,543	6,401,708	8,207,819
Pomona	1,715,548,666	38,591,766	1,259,981	4,527,320	5,612,065	1,511,154	1,220,104	25,793,425	39,541,328	6,195,668	27,963	9,659,712	215,284	93,034,165	94,470,984	518,753	1,449,127	7,788,438	8,650,237
Sacramento	1,324,635,197	23,159,271	357,089	1,862,194	2,312,092	1,212,454	704,952	20,763,303	38,722,144	4,802,672	11,743	5,873,365	71,154	71,752,423	71,419,207	183,177	900,007	7,183,355	6,833,502
San Bernardino	1,386,571,292	35,601,264	532,143	819,773	4,534,633	1,384,410	652,918	27,436,466	42,104,794	9,723,308	11,960	9,938,707	65,693	61,023,804	60,350,493	233,765	1,094,863	6,116,804	5,850,656
San Jose	1,100,491,878	18,574,360	418,399	1,063,714	3,580,078	1,097,416	650,709	21,638,622	41,552,108	5,066,391	16,574	6,029,697	207,780	52,105,055	52,182,653	242,133	849,580	4,966,150	7,703,544
San Mateo	256,109,303	837,529	908,765	217,438	840,638	256,111	472,980	5,165,625	9,929,623	954,813	33,624	2,563,299	271,320	12,819,269	12,867,715	528,458	152,083	1,360,120	3,437,649
Santa Barbara	305,518,466	8,556,013	17,756	273,058	495,865	295,272	103,208	6,028,195	8,689,892	1,980,057	0	1,925,164	1,101	13,325,353	13,090,997	0	264,746	1,315,830	1,330,918
Santa Maria	241,413,382	6,252,744	87,383	210,121	787,001	236,904	103,347	4,758,888	6,885,375	1,490,336	1,493	1,611,461	3,326	10,690,951	10,530,839	27,883	203,092	1,072,886	1,140,910
Santa Rosa	661,991,173	13,118,803	186,913	1,961,396	2,153,748	584,091	322,581	9,754,458	18,336,772	2,337,627	5,188	2,798,135	49,600	35,146,399	35,900,668	113,906	560,017	2,841,800	3,024,369
Shalimar (Indio)	296,321,883	7,984,659	107,392	162,512	967,720	295,810	134,188	5,844,043	8,452,383	2,119,676	2,263	2,214,636	1,905	12,914,178	12,730,672	54,849	243,544	1,301,389	1,276,367
Sonora	151,478	2,191	0	19	0	151	0	2,636	3,394	558	0	903	0	6,581	6,671	0	116	680	3,659
Stockton	745,344,897	14,059,003	215,688	1,347,579	2,425,929	695,804	392,985	13,406,071	24,846,497	3,124,640	7,767	3,664,931	89,256	36,579,378	36,825,755	122,654	602,297	3,270,737	4,146,346
Surfside Race Place	2,276,102,789	59,597,875	942,197	988,188	7,427,714	2,273,020	1,073,728	44,893,519	63,720,400	15,498,650	21,001	17,328,335	120,507	99,418,199	97,987,455	403,728	1,897,237	10,185,620	11,833,875
Tulare	125,718,798	2,593,228	40,135	148,980	405,778	125,313	56,532	2,447,118	4,211,680	601,268	1,348	784,119	2,193	5,593,650	5,569,079	24,303	109,921	556,833	778,061
Turlock	177,509,805	2,753,210	95,868	193,246	572,104	177,425	122,780	3,453,798	5,917,010	682,901	3,185	1,331,506	1,785	8,463,774	8,476,923	56,466	151,962	838,700	1,577,598
Vallejo	617,363,414	11,094,894	197,832	1,396,380	1,259,100	561,746	345,063	10,267,781	20,092,206	2,396,382	7,412	3,001,104	99,222	32,261,765	32,731,278	119,662	497,708	2,721,119	3,546,622
Ventura	1,040,507,473	25,678,695	522,770	739,757	3,396,556	1,039,373	529,195	20,559,521	30,709,799	6,600,249	11,347	7,522,199	46,630	47,117,735	46,548,065	205,571	848,722	4,694,887	5,577,424
Victorville	305,484,368	7,090,111	122,268	190,910	998,379	305,229	185,341	6,044,453	9,693,570	1,897,966	2,929	1,999,574	31,993	13,949,882	13,857,336	55,189	223,955	1,392,410	1,638,781
Yuba City	137,875	1,998	0	26	0	138	0	2,329	3,183	501	0	800	0	5,843	5,825	0	111	591	4,032
TOTALS	16,302,352,865	349,456,337	7,477,167	22,253,364	49,851,874	15,587,519	9,189,572	294,932,014	490,264,178	82,487,215	208,014	98,818,367	1,619,331	788,372,463	788,930,799	3,656,513	13,051,827	74,204,650	88,926,609

# 2015 (United States)

January						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

February						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28

March						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

April						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30		

May						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

June						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				

July						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

August						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

September						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

October						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

November						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

December						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		



# 2014 (United States)

January						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

February						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	

March						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

April						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

May						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

June						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

July						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

August						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

September						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				

October						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

November						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30						

December						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			



# 2013 (United States)

January						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

February						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28		

March						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

April						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				

May						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

June						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30						

July						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

August						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

September						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

October						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

November						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

December						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				